



THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
TASMANIA

Submission OB14

Anonymous 1

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - TAS](#)
Subject: Feedback on proposed boundary redistribution
Date: Saturday, 28 February 2026 9:57:11 AM

[REDACTED]

It is clear that the AEC has not listened to the needs of wishes of the Brighton LGA community in drafting the redistribution proposal and have instead opted for entirely wrong approach of tying us to Clarence and the east coast.

Rather, a better redistribution in terms of sociopolitical layout would be for Glenorchy city to remain in Clark and for Brighton to remain in Lyons. That is where both LGAs rightfully and properly belong.

Brighton LGA, as a community is the reason the Midlands is populated at all in the first place, we have deep social and cultural ties to the identity of regional Tasmania and more of our issues are shared with our neighbours in the Derwent Valley and Midlands than are with the any of the four LGAs that make up Greater Hobart.

We're not even considered a part of Greater Hobart, and rightly so, it is only by very loose application of the word that you could call us a northern suburb of the city, we are properly the major population centre for South Central Tasmania. We do not sit on any organisation related to it, and are constantly denigrated by the four city LGAs that compromise Greater Hobart.

This of course may reduce the population of Lyons to the least voters per seat but given the rapid speed at which residential infill and housing developments are being completed within it, it would not take long to catch up again, buying more time between necessary redistributions.

I understand that there are those who will oppose this position, including apparently those responsible for reviewing the redistribution, and they're fully entitled to be wrong, that is their prerogative. But they *are* very much wrong.

I would hope the AEC rectify their error in moving Brighton LGA from Lyons, and Glenorchy from Clark.