



Objection 322

Oliver Wu

211 pages

[REDACTED]

From: Oliver Wu [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 26 June 2024 11:14 AM
To: FedRedistribution - VIC
Subject: Objection of Higgins

Categories: [REDACTED]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Yes, I wanted to submit a objection to abolishing Higgins

Thanks Oliver
Sent from my iPad



Proposed redistribution of Victoria into electoral divisions

MAY 2024

Report of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria

Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918



Proposed redistribution of Victoria into electoral divisions

MAY 2024

Report of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria

Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918

Feedback and enquiries Feedback on this report is welcome and should be directed to the contact officer.

Contact officer National Redistributions Manager
Disclosure, Party Registration and Redistribution Branch
Australian Electoral Commission

10 Mort Street
Canberra ACT 2600

Locked Bag 4007
Canberra ACT 2601

Telephone: 02 6271 4411

Fax: 02 6215 9999

Email: info@aec.gov.au

AEC website www.aec.gov.au

Accessible services Visit the AEC website for telephone interpreter services in languages other than English.

Readers who are deaf or have a hearing or speech impairment can contact the AEC through the National Relay Service (NRS):

- TTY users phone 133 677 and ask for 13 23 26
- Speak and Listen users phone 1300 555 727 and ask for 13 23 26
- Internet relay users connect to the NRS and ask for 13 23 26

ISBN: 978-0-6458765-7-4 [print]
978-0-6458765-8-1 [digital]

© Commonwealth of Australia 2024

© Victoria 2024

The report should be cited as *Redistribution Committee for Victoria, Proposed redistribution of Victoria into electoral divisions*.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are advised that this report and any associated documents may reference or contain the names or images of deceased persons.

The Redistribution Committee for Victoria (the Redistribution Committee) has undertaken a proposed redistribution of Victoria. In developing and considering the impacts of the redistribution proposal, the Redistribution Committee has satisfied itself that the proposed boundaries meet the requirements of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act). The Redistribution Committee commends its redistribution proposal for Victoria.

This report is prepared to fulfil the requirements of sections 66 and 67 of the Electoral Act.

Redistribution Committee for Victoria, Melbourne and Canberra

Mr Tom Rogers

Chair

Mr Aneurin Coffey

Member

Mr Craig Sandy LS

Member

Mr Andrew Greaves

Member

May 2024

Contents

- 1 About this report
- 1 Abbreviations and glossary
- 3 Executive summary**
- 3 Background
- 5 Legislative requirements
- 6 Proposed redistribution
- 7 Elector movements
- 7 Objection process
- 8 Implementation of this redistribution
- 9 Chapter 1: Background and context**
- 9 Compliance with legislative requirements
- 9 Requirement to conduct a redistribution of electoral divisions in Victoria
- 10 Direction for a redistribution of Victorian electoral divisions
- 10 Projection time for equality of enrolments
- 11 Redistribution quota
- 12 Enrolment projections and projected enrolment quota
- 13 Appointment of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria
- 14 Invitation for interested individuals and organisations to submit suggestions and comments on suggestions
- 15 Redistribution Committee's consideration of suggestions and comments on suggestions
- 15 Statutory requirements for the making of a proposed redistribution
- 16 Population and enrolment in Victoria
- 28 Chapter 2: Proposed redistribution and reasons for proposal**
- 28 Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution
- 32 Redistribution Committee's approach to identifying the electoral division proposed to be abolished
- 33 Redistribution Committee's approach to naming electoral divisions
- 36 Redistribution Committee's approach to formulating proposed electoral boundaries
- 38 Proposed redistribution of Victoria – by electoral division
- 78 Chapter 3: What's next?**
- 78 Invitation for objections
- 78 What can objections be about?
- 78 Invitation to provide comments on objections
- 79 What can comments on objections be about?
- 79 Who considers objections and comments on objections?
- 80 The second redistribution proposal
- 80 What factors will the augmented Electoral Commission consider when making its proposed redistribution of Victoria?
- 81 Final determination of boundaries and names for electoral divisions
- 81 How to lodge an objection or comment on an objection
- 82 Further information

83 Appendices

- 83 Appendix A: Summary of compliance with legislative requirements
- 85 Appendix B: Calculating the representation entitlements of Victoria
- 88 Appendix C: Operation of statutory requirements for the making of a proposed redistribution
- 90 Appendix D: Provision of revised projected enrolment data
- 95 Appendix E: Suggestions for the Victorian redistribution
- 98 Appendix F: Comments on suggestions for the Victorian redistribution
- 103 Appendix G: Redistribution Committee response to themes contained in suggestions and comments on suggestions
- 157 Appendix H: Constructing proposed electoral boundaries
- 158 Appendix I: Proposed distribution of electors in the Division of Higgins
- 159 Appendix J: Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions
- 160 Appendix K: Summary of existing electoral division names
- 161 Appendix L: Additional potential names of electoral divisions considered by the Redistribution Committee
- 162 Appendix M: Timetable for the remainder of the redistribution of Victoria
- 163 Appendix N: General description of how proposed electoral divisions are constituted

Tables

- 11 Table A: Redistribution quota and permissible range for Victoria
- 12 Table B: Projected enrolment quota and permissible range for Victoria
- 13 Table C: Membership of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria
- 14 Table D: Options to make a suggestion or comment on suggestions
- 19 Table E: Electoral divisions in Victoria with enrolment growth between Monday 26 July 2021 and Wednesday 9 August 2023 of less than two per cent or more than five per cent
- 20 Table F: Summary of existing electoral divisions in Victoria
- 24 Table G: How Victoria's existing electoral divisions will need to change to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
- 32 Table H: Electoral divisions suggested for abolition, by demographic classification
- 33 Table I: Electoral divisions the Redistribution Committee investigated for abolition
- 34 Table J: Alternative names advocated in suggestions and comments on suggestions for Victorian electoral divisions
- 36 Table K: Names of Victorian electoral divisions the Redistribution Committee proposes to retain
- 38 Table L: Summary of movement of electors between proposed electoral divisions
- 38 Table M: Summary of proposed electoral divisions
- 79 Table N: Membership of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria
- 86 Table O: Populations ascertained by the Electoral Commissioner on Thursday 27 July 2023
- 87 Table P: Population quota calculated on Thursday 27 July 2023
- 87 Table Q: Calculation of the number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria is entitled
- 90 Table R: Timetable for review and revision of enrolment projection data

- 91 Table S: Total projected enrolment data – comparison between original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023 and revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024
- 93 Table T: Electoral divisions which meet the legislative requirement to be within 96.5 per cent and 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time – comparison between original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023 and revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024
- 103 Table U: Suggestions and comments on suggestions relating to which electoral division should be abolished
- 108 Table V: Suggestions and comments on suggestions relating to the names of Victorian electoral divisions
- 114 Table W: Suggestions and comments on suggestions relating to the placement of electoral divisions and divisional boundaries

Figures

- 17 Figure A: Growth of estimated resident population of Victoria and Australia in the 12 months to 30 June, for the period 2020 to 2023
- 22 Figure B: Existing electoral divisions in Victoria – enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023, redistribution quota and permissible range of electors
- 23 Figure C: Existing electoral divisions in Victoria – projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028, projected enrolment quota and permissible range of electors
- 25 Figure D: Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028 – regional Victoria
- 26 Figure E: Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028 – Melbourne surrounds
- 27 Figure F: Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028 – Melbourne urban
- 30 Figure G: Proposed electoral divisions in Victoria – enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023, redistribution quota and permissible range of electors
- 31 Figure H: Proposed electoral divisions in Victoria – projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028, projected enrolment quota and permissible range of electors

About this report

This report outlines the proposed redistribution of Victoria's federal electoral divisions and the Redistribution Committee's reasons supporting this proposal.

The report consists of the following sections:

- **Executive summary**

- **Chapter 1: Background and context**

This chapter outlines the legislative requirements of the redistribution, ranging from the initial triggering of the process to the release of the proposed redistribution by the Redistribution Committee. The chapter explains how these requirements were met during the redistribution process, and provides relevant information about Victoria.

- **Chapter 2: Proposed redistribution and reasons for proposal**

This chapter outlines the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution and the reasons for this proposal. Also included is the Redistribution Committee's approach to formulating the proposed names and proposed boundaries of proposed electoral divisions.

- **Chapter 3: What's next?**

This chapter outlines the legislative requirements to be met following the release of the proposed redistribution, through to the final determination of the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in Victoria.

- **Appendices**

Abbreviations and glossary

Word or acronym	Meaning
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
AEC	Australian Electoral Commission
AEDT	Australian Eastern Daylight Time – AEDT is equal to Coordinated Universal Time plus 11 hours (UTC + 11)
AEST	Australian Eastern Standard Time – AEST is equal to Coordinated Universal Time plus 10 hours (UTC + 10)
augmented Electoral Commission	augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria
augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria	The Electoral Commission, augmented by the members of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria
CS	comment on suggestion received
EBMS	Electoral Boundary Mapping System – a modification of commercially available mapping software which automatically calculates the revised actual and projected enrolments when boundaries are moved
Electoral Act	<i>Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918</i>
Electoral Commission	The Electoral Commission is headed by a Chairperson, who is selected from a list of names of three eligible Judges submitted to the Governor-General by the Chief Justice of the Federal Court of Australia. The other members are the Electoral Commissioner and a non-judicial member, currently the Australian Statistician

Word or acronym	Meaning
Gazette	<i>Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette</i> – gazette notices contain a range of information about legislation, including proclamations and notices of Commonwealth government departments and courts, and other notices required under Commonwealth law
general election	a general election of the members of the House of Representatives
guidelines	Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions
Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters	Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters – the role of this Committee of the Australian Parliament is to inquire into and report on such matters relating to electoral laws and practices and their administration as may be referred to it by either House of the Parliament or a Minister
localities	Also known as a suburb. An administrated geographical area which is uniquely and clearly defined to enable property street addressing and to support the prompt delivery of services. In a redistribution context, locality and suburb boundaries can be strong indicators of a community of interest.
projected enrolment quota	(Number of electors projected to be enrolled in a state or territory at the projection time) / (Number of members of the House of Representatives the state or territory is entitled to) The projected enrolment quota for this redistribution is 127,238 electors
projection time	The projection time is generally the end of the period of three years and six months after the final determination of electoral division boundaries and names are published in the Gazette. There are circumstances where this time may be varied. The projection time for this redistribution is Monday 17 April 2028.
redistribution	A redistribution of electoral divisions is the process where electoral divisions and their names and boundaries are reviewed, and may be altered, to ensure, as near as practicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ each state and territory gains representation in the House of Representatives in proportion to their population, and ▪ there are a similar number of electors in each electoral division for a given state or territory
Redistribution Committee	Redistribution Committee for Victoria
Redistribution Committee for Victoria	The Electoral Commissioner, Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria, the Surveyor-General of Victoria, and Auditor-General for Victoria
redistribution quota	(Number of electors enrolled in a state or territory on the day the redistribution commences) / (Number of members of the House of Representatives the state or territory is entitled to) The redistribution quota for this redistribution is 116,894 electors
S	suggestion to the redistribution
SA1	Statistical Area 1 – SA1s are the smallest unit at which the ABS makes available disaggregated Census data. The SA1s which have been used in this redistribution are those which applied at the 2021 Census of Population and Housing
SA2	Statistical Area 2 – SA2s consist of one or more SA1s and wherever possible are based on officially gazetted state/territory suburbs and localities. In urban areas, SA2s largely conform to whole suburbs but can be a combination of suburbs. The SA2s which have been used in this redistribution are those which applied at the 2021 Census of Population and Housing

Executive summary

This report provides the Redistribution Committee for Victoria's (the Redistribution Committee) proposed redistribution of Victoria into 38 electoral divisions.

The Redistribution Committee proposes:

- abolishing the Division of Higgins,
- retaining the names of 38 electoral divisions in Victoria,
- altering the boundaries of 34 electoral divisions in Victoria, and
- retaining the boundaries of four electoral divisions in Victoria.

Victoria's entitlement to electoral divisions has reduced from 39 to 38 due to a relatively slower rate of population growth compared to other states and territories. Of Victoria's existing 39 electoral divisions, 29 do not meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. This has resulted in alterations to the boundaries of a significant number of Victoria's electoral divisions.

This proposal is made available for public discussion, and for appropriate modifications or adjustment by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria (the augmented Electoral Commission) through the objections and comments on objections process (and inquiry process, if required). The augmented Electoral Commission will finalise the names and boundaries of electoral divisions after carefully considering objections and comments on objections (and oral submissions presented at any inquiry which may be held).

Background

A redistribution of electoral divisions is the process where electoral divisions and their names and boundaries are reviewed, and may be altered, to ensure, as near as practicable:

- each state and territory gains representation in the House of Representatives in proportion to their population, and
- there are a similar number of electors in each electoral division for a given state or territory.

The *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act) makes provision for the conduct of redistributions, including procedures, processes and timelines to be followed and how and when public consultation is to occur.

A redistribution of electoral divisions in Victoria was required as the number of members of the House of Representatives that is to be chosen for Victoria at the next general election has decreased from 39 to 38.

The redistribution process commenced with a direction from the Electoral Commission on Wednesday 9 August 2023. Interested individuals and parties were invited to make written suggestions and written comments on suggestions relating to the redistribution via notices published in:

- the *Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette* (the Gazette) on Wednesday 25 October 2023,
- the *Age*, *Herald Sun* and *Weekend Australian* on Saturday 28 October 2023; and
- the *Koori Mail* and *Weekly Times* on Wednesday 1 November 2023.

Sixty-three written suggestions to the redistribution were made available for public perusal on Monday 27 November 2023, with 75 written comments on suggestions also made available on Monday 11 December 2023.

The Redistribution Committee found the written suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions to be valuable and it appreciates the time and effort expended by all those who contributed. In developing this proposal, the Redistribution Committee carefully considered all matters in each of these suggestions and the comments on suggestions.

The Redistribution Committee acknowledges that, during its considerations, it received information from the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) that:

- there was an error in the initial enrolment projections supplied for use in the redistribution of Victoria, and
- a similar error had been identified in the initial enrolment projections supplied for use in the redistribution of Western Australia.

This report addresses the error in the enrolment projections supplied for the Victorian redistribution only (for further information about the error see Appendix D).¹

The Redistribution Committee initially used the original enrolment projection data provided by the ABS in its early considerations of the proposed redistribution. However, following notification by the ABS of the error and supply of the revised enrolment projection data, the Redistribution Committee used the revised enrolment projection data to:

- reconsider the suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions, and
- develop its proposed redistribution of Victoria.

The revised enrolment projection data was also made publicly available, noting that the identification of the error by the ABS and supply of the revised data occurred after the period in which individuals and organisations could reflect this information in their suggestions to the redistribution or comments on suggestions. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges that ideas presented in suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions may have differed had the revised enrolment projection data been available prior to their submission.

The reconsideration of suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions was one component of the Redistribution Committee's broader examination of the impact of the revised enrolment projections. The Redistribution Committee has also sought to understand any impacts on proposed electoral divisions and the overall redistribution process. Importantly, the error does not have any impact on the determination of each state's and territory's entitlement to the number of members in the House of Representatives.

Throughout the process, the Redistribution Committee has committed to providing information about the initial error, including by publishing information on the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC) website and corresponding with those individuals and organisations who had submitted suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions.

The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the future opportunities for interested individuals and organisations to provide input on the proposed redistribution via the objections and comments

¹ Information about the error identified in the enrolment projections supplied for the Western Australian redistribution is available in: Redistribution Committee for Western Australia, *Proposed redistribution of Western Australia into electoral divisions*, 2024.

on objections processes, and the responsibilities of the augmented Electoral Commission in considering these objections and comments on objections, before making its determination of the names and boundaries of Victorian electoral divisions.

The Redistribution Committee is confident the redistribution process has not been materially impacted by the errors in the initial enrolment projections, and that there has been no impediment to following the required redistribution process. The redistribution proposal complies with all relevant provisions of the Electoral Act.

Legislative requirements

The Electoral Act requires Victoria to be divided into the same number of electoral divisions as the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen in Victoria at a general election. As Victoria is entitled to 38 members of the House of Representatives, the Redistribution Committee has proposed 38 electoral divisions for Victoria.

In developing this proposal, the Redistribution Committee is required to adhere to two strict numerical requirements, as defined by the Electoral Act. These two numerical requirements provide an overall constraint to ensure that there are approximately equal numbers of electors in each electoral division so that each elector in Victoria has equality of representation in the House of Representatives.

All proposed electoral divisions are required to be within the range of plus and minus 10 per cent of the redistribution quota. At the end of Wednesday 9 August 2023, the day on which the redistribution commenced, 4,441,980 electors were enrolled in Victoria. The redistribution quota was therefore 116,894 electors. As the Electoral Act requires electoral divisions to be within plus or minus 10 per cent of this quota, the Redistribution Committee was required to construct electoral divisions which contain between 105,205 and 128,583 electors.

All proposed electoral divisions are also required to be within the range of plus and minus 3.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028. As the number of electors projected to be enrolled in Victoria at this time is 4,835,048, and the projected enrolment quota is 127,238, the Redistribution Committee was required to construct electoral divisions which are projected to contain between 122,785 and 131,691 electors on Monday 17 April 2028.

In relation to each proposed electoral division, the Redistribution Committee is also required by the Electoral Act to give due consideration to:

- i. community of interests within the proposed electoral division, including economic, social and regional interests,
- ii. means of communication and travel within the proposed electoral division,
- iv. the physical features and area of the proposed electoral division, and
- v. the boundaries of existing electoral divisions in Victoria, with this factor being subordinate to the consideration of i, ii and iv.

The Redistribution Committee can only balance the different criteria against each other so far as they affect each of the electoral divisions in Victoria, and try and achieve the best balance overall. Given the primacy of the two numerical requirements, it is impossible to satisfy all the statutory criteria to the same extent in each electoral division.

The redistribution proposal complies with all relevant provisions of the Electoral Act.

Proposed redistribution

The redistribution proposal covers:

- which electoral division to abolish,
- the names of the 38 proposed electoral divisions, and
- where to draw the boundaries between proposed electoral divisions in Victoria to accommodate the abolition of an electoral division.

Proposed abolition of an electoral division

The Redistribution Committee's consideration of which electoral division to abolish was guided by the provisions of the Electoral Act, namely the numerical requirements and the obligations relating to community of interests, means of communication and travel, the physical features and area of the proposed electoral division, and the boundaries of existing electoral divisions.

Suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions advocated 12 different electoral divisions to abolish, the majority of which are located within metropolitan Melbourne. In addition, some suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions argued against the abolition of particular electoral divisions.

The Redistribution Committee proposes abolishing the Division of Higgins.

The Redistribution Committee's reasons for this proposal are set out in Chapter 2 of this report.

Naming of proposed electoral divisions

The Redistribution Committee proposes:

- retiring the name 'Higgins' following the abolition of the Division of Higgins, and
- retaining the names of all 38 existing electoral divisions in Victoria.

Twenty-nine of the 63 suggestions and 28 of the 75 comments on suggestions to the redistribution addressed the issue of the names of electoral divisions, and whether existing electoral divisions should be renamed.

The Redistribution Committee considered whether there were strong reasons to change the names of existing electoral divisions, in accordance with the 'Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions' (the guidelines).

This proposal is consistent with:

- the guidelines, and
- those suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions which advocated retaining the names of electoral divisions.

The Redistribution Committee's reasons for this proposal are set out in Chapter 2 of this report.

Boundaries of proposed electoral divisions

The Redistribution Committee was required to redraw Victoria into 38 electoral divisions, as Victoria's entitlement to members of the House of Representatives has decreased from 39 to 38.

In abolishing an electoral division, the redistribution quota and projected enrolment quota were increased, as were the ranges for the permissible maximum and minimum number of

electors around these quotas. More electoral divisions fell outside these ranges than may have otherwise been the case, with the Redistribution Committee required to alter the electoral division boundaries of 29 of Victoria's 39 existing electoral divisions in order to ensure that all requirements of the Electoral Act could be met.

As a consequence of ensuring the proposed redistribution met the numerical requirements, and accounting for the other factors to be considered under the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee made changes to the boundaries of 34 existing electoral divisions in Victoria. The Redistribution Committee has redrawn Victoria's electoral divisions such that:

- most divisions have a rural, provincial, or metropolitan focus, with future growth more evenly distributed across electoral divisions,
- one metropolitan division crosses the Yarra River,
- in a number of cases, localities or local government areas are united within one, or are shared between fewer, electoral divisions, and
- proposed electoral boundaries are clearly defined, to the extent possible.

In making these changes, the Redistribution Committee was mindful of the legislative requirements of the Electoral Act.

The Redistribution Committee considers the proposed redistribution of Victoria will result in electoral divisions which:

- are more equitably balanced numerically across the state,
- can accommodate the differing rates of expected growth and decline across Victoria,
- retain or improve existing communities of interest, in some cases represented by local government areas, suburbs and localities, where possible, and
- use strong and readily identifiable features such as major roads, rivers or established administrative boundaries to define electoral division boundaries.

This proposal is also consistent with elements of the 63 suggestions to the redistribution and 75 comments on suggestions which identified electoral boundary changes to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

Elector movements

Overall, 369,249 electors enrolled in Victoria (or 8.31 per cent of all electors enrolled in Victoria on Wednesday 9 August 2023) will change their federal electoral division as a result of the proposed redistribution.

Objection process

Interested individuals and organisations are able to consider the Redistribution Committee's proposed names and boundaries of electoral divisions for Victoria, together with the Redistribution Committee's reasons for this proposed redistribution. Those interested can then provide objections to the proposal, together with comments on objections, for consideration by the augmented Electoral Commission.

All objections and comments on objections received, together with oral submissions made at any inquiry, will be considered by the augmented Electoral Commission as part of developing its proposed redistribution prior to making a final determination of the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in Victoria.

The Redistribution Committee encourages all those with an interest in the names and locations of electoral divisions in Victoria to participate in this redistribution process.

Chapter 3 outlines the timetable for this determination to be made.

Implementation of this redistribution

Changes to electoral divisions as a result of this redistribution process will apply from the day on which a notice of determination is published in the Gazette. This notice will be published on Thursday 17 October 2024.

Electoral events will not be contested on these new federal electoral divisions until a writ is issued for a federal general election following the expiry or dissolution of the House of Representatives.

Chapter 1

Background and context

This chapter outlines the legislative requirements of the redistribution, ranging from the initial triggering of the process to the release of the proposed redistribution by the Redistribution Committee. The chapter explains how these requirements were met during the redistribution process, and provides relevant information about Victoria.

1. A redistribution of electoral divisions is the process where electoral divisions and their names and boundaries are reviewed, and may be altered, to ensure, as near as practicable:
 - each state and territory gains representation in the House of Representatives in proportion to its population, and
 - there are a similar number of electors in each electoral division for a given state or territory.

Compliance with legislative requirements

2. The *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act) specifies that a redistribution process should be undertaken when:
 - the number of members of the House of Representatives to which a state or territory is entitled has changed (population change),
 - the number of electors in more than one-third of the electoral divisions in a state (or one of the electoral divisions in the Australian Capital Territory or the Northern Territory) deviates from the average divisional enrolment by over ten per cent for a period of more than two months, or
 - a period of seven years has elapsed since the last redistribution process was determined.²
3. The procedures to be followed in conducting a redistribution process are also specified in the Electoral Act. Appendix A summarises the legislative requirements which have been followed in conducting this redistribution. Each of these requirements is discussed in further detail in this chapter.

Requirement to conduct a redistribution of electoral divisions in Victoria

4. On Thursday 27 July 2023, the Electoral Commissioner made a determination under sub-section 48(1) of the Electoral Act of the number of members of the House of Representatives each state and territory would be entitled to at the next general election.³

² Sub-section 59(2) of the Electoral Act specifies when a redistribution process should be undertaken.

³ A copy of this determination is available on the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC) website.

5. Paragraph 59(2)(a) of the Electoral Act requires that a redistribution process commence forthwith after a determination has been made which results in an alteration of the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen in the State at a general election. As a determination made on Thursday 27 July 2023 resulted in a change in the number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria was entitled, a redistribution process was required to commence forthwith after this date.
6. An explanation of how the representation entitlement of Victoria has been calculated is in Appendix B.

Direction for a redistribution of Victorian electoral divisions

7. In accordance with sub-section 59(1) of the Electoral Act, the Electoral Commission published a notice in the *Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette* (the Gazette) on Wednesday 9 August 2023 directing that a redistribution of Victoria into 38 electoral divisions commence.

Projection time for equality of enrolments

8. Section 63A of the Electoral Act provides for the calculation of a projection time for the equality of enrolments. Determining the projection time is an important part of the redistribution process as one of the requirements of the Electoral Act is that the number of electors projected to be enrolled in a proposed electoral division at a specified point in the future, known as the 'projection time', falls within a mandated range.
9. The starting time from which the projection time is calculated, as defined by sub-section 63A(5) of the Electoral Act, is the date at which the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria (the augmented Electoral Commission) will determine the names and boundaries of electoral divisions via publication of a notice in the Gazette. The starting time to calculate the projection time for the redistribution process for Victoria is Thursday 17 October 2024 as this is the date when the augmented Electoral Commission will cause the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in Victoria to be published in the Gazette.
10. The Electoral Act provides for:
 - the 'standard' projection time, which is the period three years and six months after the starting time,⁴ or
 - an 'earlier' projection time, when the Electoral Commission is of the opinion a redistribution process will or may be required to be undertaken as a result of a change in the number of electoral divisions a state or territory is entitled to sooner than seven years after the starting time.⁵
11. On Monday 7 August 2023, the Electoral Commission noted there was no basis for an earlier projection time and the standard projection time of three years and six months would apply. The projection time for Victoria is therefore Monday 17 April 2028.

⁴ The 'standard' projection time is specified by sub-section 63A(2) of the Electoral Act.

⁵ Sub-section 63A(3) provides that when the Electoral Commission considers a redistribution will be required sooner than seven years after the starting time, the earlier projection time is half-way between the projection time and the time when the Electoral Commission believes the redistribution will or may be required. To make this decision, the Electoral Commission uses projected populations and the same process used to calculate the number of members of the House of Representatives the state would be entitled to in each of the years following the starting time. The calculations are the same as those detailed in Appendix B, but are made using projected population data purchased from the ABS. Importantly, population projections used in these calculations are not predictions or forecasts, but illustrate what would happen to Australia's population if assumed levels for the components of population change (births, deaths and migration) were to occur between 2023 and 2030.

Redistribution quota

12. Section 65 of the Electoral Act requires the Electoral Commissioner, as soon as practicable after the redistribution process commences, to determine the redistribution quota using the following formula:⁶

$$\frac{\text{Number of electors enrolled in Victoria as at the end of the day on which the redistribution commenced (Wednesday 9 August 2023)}}{\text{Number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria is entitled}}$$

13. In calculating this quota, sub-section 65(2) of the Electoral Act provides that:
- where the result includes a fraction less than 0.5, the number is rounded down to the nearest whole number, or
 - where the result includes a fraction equal to or greater than 0.5, the number is rounded up to the nearest whole number.
14. Table A shows the figures used to calculate the redistribution quota and the resultant range of the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division (discussed further in the section titled ‘Statutory requirements for the making of a proposed redistribution’).

Table A: Redistribution quota and permissible range for Victoria

Number of electors enrolled in Victoria as at the end of the day on which the redistribution commenced (Wednesday 9 August 2023)	4,441,980
Number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria is entitled	38
Redistribution quota for Victoria	116,894
Permissible maximum number of electors in an electoral division (redistribution quota plus 10 per cent)	128,583
Permissible minimum number of electors in an electoral division (redistribution quota minus 10 per cent)	105,205

15. The Electoral Commissioner signed a written instrument on Friday 11 August 2023, as required by sub-section 65(1) of the Electoral Act, determining the quota of electors for the purposes of the redistribution to be 116,894.
16. As part of the redistribution process, the Redistribution Committee is required to ensure that the number of electors in a proposed electoral division is within the range of plus 10 per cent and minus 10 per cent of the redistribution quota. Appendix C outlines the operation of statutory requirements in making a proposed redistribution.
17. The number of electors as at the commencement date of Wednesday 9 August 2023 at both the electoral division and Statistical Area 1 (SA1) level were published on the AEC website when the invitation for interested parties to submit suggestions and comments on suggestions was made.⁷

6 The redistribution quota is to be calculated using the number, as nearly can be ascertained by the Electoral Commissioner, of electors enrolled in Victoria at the end of the day on which the redistribution commenced. This number is extracted from the AEC’s Roll Management System.

7 See Appendix H for a discussion of how the AEC uses SA1s. SA1s are the smallest unit at which ABS makes available disaggregated Census data. At the time of the 2021 Census, there were 61,845 SA1s, each of which generally has a population of 200 to 800 people, with the average population in each being about 400 people. SA1s in remote and regional areas generally have smaller populations than those in urban areas. SA1s, which are part of the Australian Statistical Geography Standard, are defined by the ABS and remain stable between censuses. The SA1s currently in use were defined for the 2021 Census.

Enrolment projections and projected enrolment quota

18. When making a proposed redistribution, the Redistribution Committee is required by paragraph 66(3)(a) to ensure, as far as practicable, that the number of electors enrolled in the proposed electoral division at the projection time will not be more than plus 3.5 per cent or less than minus 3.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota (see Appendix C). This quota is calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Estimated total number of electors enrolled in Victoria at the projection time (Monday 17 April 2028)}}{\text{Number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria is entitled}}$$

19. For the purposes of this redistribution, projected enrolment was provided by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS).⁸
20. Projected enrolment at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028, together with the processes used by the ABS to calculate these projections were published on the AEC website on Wednesday 25 October 2023. Publication occurred when the invitations were made for interested individuals and organisations to submit suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions. The projections were made available at both the electoral division and SA1 level.
21. The ABS later advised errors had been identified in these enrolment projections and subsequently supplied a revised set of enrolment projections. Appendix D outlines the timing and impact of the provision of revised enrolment projections. The projected enrolment quota for Victoria did not need to be recalculated following the provision of revised enrolment projection data by the ABS as the estimated total number of electors enrolled in Victoria at the projection time did not change. All references to enrolment projections in this report refer to the revised enrolment projections.
22. Table B shows the figures used to calculate the projected enrolment quota and the resultant range of the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time (discussed further in the section titled 'Statutory requirements for the making of a proposed redistribution').

Table B: Projected enrolment quota and permissible range for Victoria

Estimated total number of electors enrolled in Victoria at the projection time (Monday 17 April 2028)	4,835,048
Number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria is entitled	38
Projected enrolment quota for Victoria	127,238
Permissible maximum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time (projected enrolment quota plus 3.5 per cent)	131,691
Permissible minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time (projected enrolment quota minus 3.5 per cent)	122,785

⁸ These enrolment projections are not predictions or forecasts. They illustrate what would happen to Victoria's enrolment if assumed levels of the components of population change (births, deaths and migration) were to occur between the redistribution's commencement time of Wednesday 9 August 2023 and projection time of Monday 17 April 2028.

Appointment of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria

23. Sub-section 60(1) of the Electoral Act specifies that a Redistribution Committee for Victoria is required to be appointed by the Electoral Commission, via a written instrument, as soon as practicable after the commencement of the redistribution process. Section 60 also specifies the membership of the Redistribution Committee.
24. The membership of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria (the Redistribution Committee) is outlined in Table C.

Table C: Membership of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria

Position on Redistribution Committee	Name	Basis for membership
Chair	Mr Tom Rogers	Electoral Commissioner
Member	Mr Aneurin Coffey	Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria
Member	Mr Craig Sandy LS	Surveyor-General of Victoria
Member	Mr Andrew Greaves	Auditor-General for Victoria

Note: Between Friday 26 January and Wednesday 21 February 2024, Ms Natasha Scandrett was the Acting Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria while Mr Coffey was absent from duty, as per sub-section 31(3) of the Electoral Act.

25. The Redistribution Committee is responsible for:
 - considering all suggestions to the redistribution and all comments on suggestions which were received by the specified lodgement times,
 - developing a proposed redistribution of Victoria in accordance with the requirements of the Electoral Act, and
 - making the proposed redistribution, including maps showing the names and boundaries of proposed electoral divisions, and the Redistribution Committee’s reasons for the proposed redistribution available for public perusal.
26. The Redistribution Committee met on:
 - Wednesday 13 December 2023
 - Thursday 11 January 2024
 - Thursday 18 January 2024
 - Thursday 15 February 2024
 - Friday 23 February 2024
27. The Redistribution Committee conducted additional meetings to reconsider suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions following receipt of revised enrolment projections from the ABS. These meetings are included in the above dates.

Invitation for interested individuals and organisations to submit suggestions and comments on suggestions

28. The Electoral Commissioner is required by sub-sections 64(1) and 64(2) of the Electoral Act to invite written suggestions relating to the redistribution of Victoria and written comments on suggestions via:
- a notice published in the Gazette on a Wednesday, and
 - a notice published in two newspapers circulating throughout Victoria.
29. The notice in the Gazette was published on Wednesday 25 October 2023. Newspaper notices were published in:
- the *Age*, *Herald Sun* and *Weekend Australian* on Saturday 28 October 2023; and
 - the *Koori Mail* and *Weekly Times* on Wednesday 1 November 2023.^{9 10}
30. These notices included information about the steps followed in conducting a redistribution, how to participate in the process and where to find further information. Table D presents information on the options for making a suggestion or comment on suggestions, and the extent to which these options were used.

Table D: Options to make a suggestion or comment on suggestions

Options	Suggestions		Comments on suggestions	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Form upload on AEC website	49	77.78%	48	64.00%
Email	14	22.22%	27	36.00%
Mail	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Fax	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	63	100.00%	75	100.00%

31. Interested persons and organisations were able to submit written suggestions relating to the redistribution from Wednesday 25 October 2023 until 6pm AEDT on Friday 24 November 2023, the 5th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice.¹¹ During this time, the Redistribution Committee received 63 suggestions (see Appendix E).
32. As required by paragraph 64(3)(a) of the Electoral Act, copies of these suggestions were made available to the public for perusal at the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria in Melbourne from Monday 27 November 2023. The suggestions were also available on the AEC website from this date.
33. Interested persons and organisations were able to submit written comments on suggestions from Monday 27 November 2023 until 6pm AEDT on Friday 8 December 2023, the 7th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice.¹² During this time, the Redistribution Committee received 75 comments on suggestions (see Appendix F).

9 The *Koori Mail* is a national Indigenous newspaper and is published fortnightly. The *Weekly Times* is a ruraly oriented newspaper published in Victoria on a weekly basis.

10 Sub-section 64(2) of the Electoral Act notes that the newspaper notices need not be published on the same day as the Gazette notice.

11 This requirement is specified by paragraph 64(1)(a) of the Electoral Act.

12 This requirement is specified by paragraph 64(1)(b) of the Electoral Act.

34. From Monday 11 December 2023, interested parties were able to view these comments on suggestions on the AEC website.¹³

Redistribution Committee's consideration of suggestions and comments on suggestions

35. The Redistribution Committee is required by sub-section 64(4) of the Electoral Act to consider all suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions which were received by the required specified lodgement times.
36. In formulating its proposed redistribution of Victoria, the Redistribution Committee considered each of the 63 suggestions to the redistribution and 75 comments on suggestions which were received.
37. In order to further understand the impact of the revised projected enrolment data and ensure due consideration was given to these impacts, the Redistribution Committee reconsidered the suggestions and comments on suggestions received using the revised projected enrolment data in place of the original projected enrolment data.
38. The Redistribution Committee found the suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions valuable and appreciates the time and effort expended by all those who contributed.
39. Appendix G outlines the key themes contained in these suggestions and comments on suggestions, and how the Redistribution Committee responded to them, having regard to the requirements of the Electoral Act.

Statutory requirements for the making of a proposed redistribution

40. Section 66 of the Electoral Act required the Redistribution Committee to adhere to specific criteria in forming the proposed boundaries.
41. The Redistribution Committee endeavoured to ensure that the number of electors in each proposed Victorian electoral division would:
 - meet the requirement of sub-section 66(3) of the Electoral Act for the number of electors in a proposed electoral division to not be less than 90 per cent or more than 110 per cent of the redistribution quota of 116,894 electors. Table A indicates the number of electors enrolled in each proposed electoral division in Victoria must therefore be between 105,205 and 128,583, and
 - meet the requirement of paragraph 66(3)(a) of the Electoral Act for the number of electors projected to be in a proposed electoral division to not be less than 96.5 per cent or more than 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota of 127,238 electors at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028. Table B indicates the number of electors projected to be enrolled in each proposed electoral division in Victoria at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028 must be between 122,785 and 131,691.

¹³ Copies of comments on suggestions are not required to be made available for public perusal until the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution is made available (see sub-section 68(1) of the Electoral Act). In previous redistributions, comments on suggestions have been made available at an earlier time.

42. The Redistribution Committee also gave due consideration to the requirements of paragraph 66(3)(b) of the Electoral Act:
 - i. community of interests within the proposed electoral division, including economic, social and regional interests,
 - ii. means of communication and travel within the proposed electoral division,
 - iv. the physical features and area of the proposed electoral division, and
 - v. the boundaries of existing electoral divisions in Victoria, with this factor being subordinate to consideration of factors i, ii and iv.¹⁴
43. Further details about these requirements are in Appendix C.
44. Appendix H outlines the mechanics of constructing proposed electoral divisions.

Population and enrolment in Victoria

45. A redistribution of electoral divisions takes into account changes in a state or territory's population, and the number of electors on the electoral roll and in the geographic distribution of this population and electors.¹⁵ Specifically, redrawing electoral division boundaries addresses changes in:
 - the size of the population of a state or territory, which may result from natural increase, natural decrease, net overseas migration or net interstate migration,
 - the number of electors on the electoral roll for a state or territory,
 - the projected number of electors on the electoral roll for a state or territory, and/or
 - the geographic distribution of electors in the state or territory.

The population of Victoria

46. On Thursday 27 July 2023, the Electoral Commissioner ascertained Victoria's population was 6,704,281.¹⁶ This represents growth of 0.80 per cent in population since the previous ascertainment of the population on Friday 3 July 2020.¹⁷
47. Similarly, Figure A shows the estimated resident population of Victoria has grown annually over this period.¹⁸ ¹⁹ However, from Figure A it is also evident that:
 - growth of the estimated resident population of Victoria has increased post-COVID, and
 - the estimated resident population for Australia as a whole is growing at a slower rate than that of Victoria in 2023, but Australian growth was faster than that of Victoria during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic.

¹⁴ The requirement for sub-paragraph 66(3)(b)(v) to be subordinate is specified in sub-section 66(3A) of the Electoral Act.

¹⁵ Electors are a sub-set of the population, comprising those who are on the Commonwealth electoral roll and who are therefore an Australian citizen, or eligible British subject, who is aged 18 years of age or over and who has lived at their address for at least one month.

¹⁶ An explanation of how this population was ascertained is in Appendix B.


¹⁷ The certificate containing the ascertained population was published in Gazette No. C2020G00521.

¹⁸ Estimated resident population is the official measure of the Australian population, which links people to a place of usual residence in Australia. It refers to all people, regardless of their country of citizenship or legal status, who usually live in Australia, with the exception of foreign diplomatic personnel and their families. It includes usual residents who are overseas for less than 12 months over a 16-month period. It excludes overseas visitors who are in Australia for less than 12 months over a 16-month period.

For further information, ABS (June 2023) 'National, state and territory population methodology', ABS Website, accessed Friday 15 March 2024.

¹⁹ The ABS estimated resident population is calculated on a different basis to the population ascertained on Thursday 27 July 2023.

Figure A: Growth of estimated resident population of Victoria and Australia in the 12 months to 30 June, for the period 2020 to 2023



Source: ABS (December 2023) 'Table 3. Estimated resident population and percentage, States and territories' [time series spreadsheet], National, state and territory population, June 2023, ABS Website

ABS (December 2022) 'Table 3. Estimated resident population and percentage, States and territories' [time series spreadsheet], National, state and territory population, June 2022, ABS Website

ABS (December 2021) 'Table 3. Estimated resident population and percentage, States and territories' [time series spreadsheet], National, state and territory population, June 2021, ABS Website

ABS (December 2020) 'Table 3. Estimated resident population and percentage, States and territories' [time series spreadsheet], National, state and territory population, June 2020, ABS Website

Where is population growth in Victoria located?

48. At June 2023, 76.40 per cent of Victoria's total population was located in the Greater Capital City Statistical Area of Greater Melbourne.²⁰ Between June 2022 and June 2023, Victoria's total population grew by 2.79 per cent, while the population of Greater Melbourne grew by 3.32 per cent, reflecting higher growth in Greater Melbourne compared to the remainder of Victoria. This also indicates rebounding population growth following the COVID-19 pandemic, with Greater Melbourne and Victoria at-large witnessing a decline in population in the 2021–22 financial year.
49. Between June 2022 and June 2023, Victoria had 12 SA2s grow by more than 2,000 people,²¹ all within the Greater Melbourne area, including the SA2 of Rockbank – Mount Cottrell, which grew by 4,299 people.²²

20 Greater Capital City Statistical Areas are a statistical geography unit defined by the ABS. They represent a broad socioeconomic definition of each of the eight state and territory capital cities and contain not only the urban areas of the city, but also the surrounding and non-urban areas where much of the population has strong links to the capital city through, for example, commuting to work. The Greater Capital City Statistical Area of Greater Melbourne covers all or part of most of Victoria's electoral divisions, with the exceptions of Ballarat, Corangamite, Corio, Gippsland, Mallee, Monash, Nicholls, and Wannon. Analysis of data published in: ABS (March 2024), Regional population, ABS website, accessed Tuesday 26 March 2024.

21 SA2s are an area defined in the Australian Statistical Geography Standard, and consist of one or more whole SA1s. Wherever possible, SA2s are based on officially gazetted state/territory suburbs and localities. In urban areas SA2s largely conform to whole suburbs and combinations of whole suburbs, while in rural areas they define functional zones of social and economic links. Geography is also taken into account in SA2 design.

22 The other eleven SA2s include: Carlton, Clayton (North) – Notting Hill, Clyde North – South, Cranbourne South, Fraser Rise – Plumpton, Melbourne CBD – North, Melbourne CBD – West, Mickleham – Yuroke, Tarneit (West) – Mount Cottrell, Werribee – West, and Wollert.

50. Expanding this timeframe to the three years between June 2020 and June 2023, Victoria had ten SA2s (including eight of the 12 above) grow in population by more than 5,000.²³ The population of the Rockbank – Mount Cottrell SA2 doubled over these three years, growing by 13,918, closely followed by the SA2 of Mickleham – Yuroke, which grew by a comparable 13,319. Of these ten SA2s, six are in the outer western suburbs of Melbourne, including three in the Division of Lalor and two in the Division of Gorton, two in the outer northern suburbs, and two in the outer south-eastern suburbs.
51. In June 2023, Victoria had a population density of 30.0 people per square kilometre, the second highest of any state or territory after the Australian Capital Territory. The Greater Melbourne area had a population density of 521.09 people per square kilometre.²⁴
52. The ABS calculated Victoria's centre of population in June 2023 was in the locality of Coburg North, in the inner northern suburbs of Melbourne. It moved 270 metres south from 2022 to 2023, reflecting higher growth in Greater Melbourne. Within Greater Melbourne, the centre moved 150 metres north-west to be in Malvern near the Monash Freeway, reflecting stronger growth in Melbourne's outer northern and outer western suburbs.²⁵

Enrolment in Victoria

53. When the redistribution of Victoria commenced on Wednesday 9 August 2023, 4,441,980 electors were enrolled to vote. This is a growth of 143,540 electors, or 3.34 per cent, since Monday 26 July 2021.²⁶
54. During this period, all electoral divisions in Victoria grew, ranging from 0.06 per cent in the Divisions of Aston and Menzies to 11.82 per cent in the Division of Lalor. The lowest growth rates are predominantly in the eastern and south-eastern suburbs of Melbourne, which account for 12 of the 19 electoral divisions where enrolment grew by less than two per cent over this period, as shown in Table E. By contrast, higher enrolment growth is more concentrated in outer western suburbs, outer northern suburbs, or outer south-eastern suburbs. Many of these electoral divisions exhibited similarly low or high rates of enrolment between the determination of the 2018 redistribution and commencement of the 2021 redistribution.

²³ These other eight SA2s include: Cranbourne South, Clyde North – South, Fraser Rise – Plumpton, Tarneit – North, Tarneit (West) – Mount Cottrell, Truganina – North, Werribee – West, and Wollert.

²⁴ Analysis of data published in: ABS (March 2024), Regional population, ABS website, accessed Tuesday 26 March 2024.

²⁵ Analysis of data published in: ABS (March 2024), Regional population, ABS website, accessed Tuesday 26 March 2024.

²⁶ The AEC releases enrolment statistics by electoral division on a monthly basis. The enrolment data as at Monday 26 July 2021 captures the change to electoral divisions applied at the previous redistribution of Victoria which was determined the same day.

Table E: Electoral divisions in Victoria with enrolment growth between Monday 26 July 2021 and Wednesday 9 August 2023 of less than two per cent or more than five per cent

Electoral divisions which have grown by less than two per cent		Electoral divisions which have grown by more than five per cent	
Existing electoral division	Percentage change	Existing electoral division	Percentage change
Aston	0.06%	Lalor	11.82%
Menzies	0.06%	Calwell	11.00%
Deakin	0.38%	La Trobe	10.31%
Jagajaga	0.44%	Gorton	9.49%
Flinders	0.53%	McEwen	8.71%
Kooyong	0.68%	Corangamite	8.20%
Maribyrnong	0.86%	Holt	8.03%
Casey	0.87%	Hawke	5.87%
Dunkley	1.00%	Melbourne	5.37%
Nicholls	1.07%	Monash	5.07%
Mallee	1.23%		
Bruce	1.24%		
Wannon	1.39%		
Chisholm	1.47%		
Goldstein	1.53%		
Higgins	1.74%		
Wills	1.77%		
Hotham	1.86%		
Corio	1.91%		

Note: Shading indicates electoral divisions which appeared in the equivalent table, Table E, in Redistribution Committee for Victoria, *Proposed redistribution of Victoria into electoral divisions, 2021*.

55. By the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028, it is projected the size of the federal electoral roll for Victoria would have grown by 8.85 per cent to 4,835,048 electors.
56. Table F shows that all Victorian electoral divisions are expected to grow in the period from Wednesday 9 August 2023 to Monday 17 April 2028, although the percentage growth differs for each electoral division, varying from 2.43 per cent in the Division of Aston to 23.87 per cent in the Division of Lalor.

Table F: Summary of existing electoral divisions in Victoria

Existing electoral division	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023		Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028		Projected growth
	Number	Percentage variation from the redistribution quota	Number	Percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota	
Aston	110,768	-5.24%	113,457	-10.83%	2.43%
Ballarat	112,875	-3.44%	124,120	-2.45%	9.96%
Bendigo	113,381	-3.01%	121,056	-4.86%	6.77%
Bruce	114,307	-2.21%	119,135	-6.37%	4.22%
Calwell	115,327	-1.34%	140,187	10.18%	21.56%
Casey	115,636	-1.08%	118,846	-6.60%	2.78%
Chisholm	110,672	-5.32%	119,806	-5.84%	8.25%
Cooper	110,943	-5.09%	119,120	-6.38%	7.37%
Corangamite	116,531	-0.31%	138,344	8.73%	18.72%
Corio	113,985	-2.49%	116,944	-8.09%	2.60%
Deakin	113,714	-2.72%	118,427	-6.92%	4.14%
Dunkley	112,715	-3.58%	117,651	-7.53%	4.38%
Flinders	114,469	-2.07%	118,874	-6.57%	3.85%
Fraser	113,089	-3.26%	119,856	-5.80%	5.98%
Gellibrand	112,851	-3.46%	124,789	-1.92%	10.58%
Gippsland	116,666	-0.20%	123,685	-2.79%	6.02%
Goldstein	111,083	-4.97%	118,919	-6.54%	7.05%
Gorton	118,708	1.55%	129,465	1.75%	9.06%
Hawke	111,225	-4.85%	128,336	0.86%	15.38%
Higgins	109,335	-6.47%	116,654	-8.32%	6.69%
Holt	113,159	-3.20%	135,088	6.17%	19.38%
Hotham	117,704	0.69%	126,984	-0.20%	7.88%
Indi	118,876	1.70%	125,526	-1.35%	5.59%
Isaacs	113,084	-3.26%	120,165	-5.56%	6.26%
Jagajaga	114,336	-2.19%	118,664	-6.74%	3.79%
Kooyong	113,586	-2.83%	121,455	-4.55%	6.93%
Lalor	116,506	-0.33%	144,313	13.42%	23.87%
La Trobe	113,306	-3.07%	139,719	9.81%	23.31%
Macnamara	112,881	-3.43%	122,119	-4.02%	8.18%
Mallee	121,563	3.99%	125,051	-1.72%	2.87%
Maribyrnong	110,438	-5.52%	115,904	-8.91%	4.95%
McEwen	114,082	-2.41%	135,348	6.37%	18.64%


Existing electoral division	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023		Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028		Projected growth
	Number	Percentage variation from the redistribution quota	Number	Percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota	
Melbourne	115,139	-1.50%	128,869	1.28%	11.92%
Menzies	112,994	-3.34%	120,222	-5.51%	6.40%
Monash	113,398	-2.99%	127,031	-0.16%	12.02%
Nicholls	114,691	-1.88%	120,106	-5.61%	4.72%
Scullin	111,244	-4.83%	121,829	-4.25%	9.52%
Wannon	116,485	-0.35%	119,402	-6.16%	2.50%
Wills	110,228	-5.70%	119,582	-6.02%	8.49%
Total	4,441,980		4,835,048		8.85%

Source: Data available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Note: Shading indicates electoral divisions which do not meet the requirements of the Electoral Act and will consequently require boundaries to be altered.


57. Victoria has 106 SA2s which are projected to grow by more than 1,000 electors by the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028, of which:
 - eight are located in the Division of Corangamite,
 - seven are located in the Division of McEwen,
 - seven are located in the Division of Lalor,
 - seven are located in the Division of La Trobe,
 - six are located in the Division of Calwell,
 - six are located in the Division of Hawke, and
 - six are located in the Division of Holt.
58. In making a proposed redistribution, the Electoral Act requires the Redistribution Committee to ensure the number of electors in each proposed Victorian electoral division would:
 - not be less than 90 per cent or more than 110 per cent of the redistribution quota of 116,894 electors, and
 - not be less than 96.5 per cent or more than 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota of 127,238 electors at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028.
59. Figure B shows that, on existing boundaries, the number of electors enrolled in each of the 39 electoral divisions in Victoria meets the requirements to be not less than 90 per cent or not more than 110 per cent of the redistribution quota.
60. However, as demonstrated in Figure C, only 10 of the 39 electoral divisions meet the numerical requirements for projected enrolment figures.

Figure B: Existing electoral divisions in Victoria – enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023, redistribution quota and permissible range of electors



Source: Data available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Figure C: Existing electoral divisions in Victoria – projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028, projected enrolment quota and permissible range of electors



Source: Data available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

61. Table G shows that, of the 29 electoral divisions that do not meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act:


- 23 will need an increase in the number of electors, and
- six will need a decrease in the number of electors.

Table G: How Victoria’s existing electoral divisions will need to change to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act

No.	Electoral divisions which are required to increase in the number of electors	Electoral divisions which are required to decrease in the number of electors	Electoral divisions which are not required to change
1	Aston	Calwell	Ballarat
2	Bendigo	Corangamite	Gellibrand
3	Bruce	Holt	Gippsland
4	Casey	Lalor	Gorton
5	Chisholm	La Trobe	Hawke
6	Cooper	McEwen	Hotham
7	Corio		Indi
8	Deakin		Mallee
9	Dunkley		Melbourne
10	Flinders		Monash
11	Fraser		
12	Goldstein		
13	Higgins		
14	Isaacs		
15	Jagajaga		
16	Kooyong		
17	Macnamara		
18	Maribyrnong		
19	Menzies		
20	Nicholls		
21	Scullin		
22	Wannon		
23	Wills		


62. Figures D, E and F show that the 29 electoral divisions requiring alteration by the Redistribution Committee are mostly adjacent to each other, and it is not always the case that an electoral division which needs to gain electors is next to an electoral division which needs to lose electors. As a consequence, the Redistribution Committee needed to alter the boundaries of electoral divisions which meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act in order to ensure that all Victoria’s electoral divisions meet the numerical requirements.

Figure D: Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028 – regional Victoria




Source: Data available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Figure E: Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028 – Melbourne surrounds



Source: Data available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Figure F: Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028 – Melbourne urban



Source: Data available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Chapter 2

Proposed redistribution and reasons for proposal


This chapter outlines the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution and the reasons for this proposal. Also included is the Redistribution Committee's approach to formulating the proposed names and proposed boundaries of proposed electoral divisions.

Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution

63. There are three components to the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution:
 - abolishing an existing electoral division,
 - the names of the 38 proposed electoral divisions, and
 - where to draw the boundaries for the 38 proposed electoral divisions.
64. The Redistribution Committee proposes abolishing the existing Division of Higgins, with electors to be transferred to the proposed Divisions of Chisholm, Hotham, Kooyong, Macnamara, and Melbourne.
65. The Redistribution Committee's reasoning is set out in the section 'Redistribution Committee's approach to identifying the electoral division proposed to be abolished'.
66. The Redistribution Committee proposes that the name of the abolished Division of Higgins be retired, and that the names of the remaining electoral divisions are retained.
67. This proposal is consistent with:
 - the guidance provided in 'Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions' (the guidelines), and
 - elements of suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions which discussed the names of electoral divisions.
68. The Redistribution Committee's reasoning is set out in the section 'Redistribution Committee's approach to naming electoral divisions'.
69. As a consequence of removing an electoral division, the Redistribution Committee was required to make more changes to the boundaries of electoral divisions than may otherwise have been required. The Redistribution Committee notes, as demonstrated in Table F, that at the commencement of the redistribution only 10 of the existing 39 electoral divisions satisfied the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

70. To meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and to accommodate the abolition of an electoral division, the Redistribution Committee proposes adjusting the boundaries of Victoria's existing electoral divisions such that:
- the boundaries of 34 electoral divisions are changed, which in some cases involved minimal elector movements,
 - most divisions have a rural, provincial, or metropolitan focus, with future growth more evenly distributed across electoral divisions,
 - one metropolitan division crosses the Yarra River,
 - in a number of cases, localities or local government areas are united within one, or are shared between fewer, electoral divisions, and
 - where possible, the opportunity has been taken to provide more clearly defined electoral boundaries, which in some cases involved no or minimal elector movements.
71. Figure G shows that, on the proposed boundaries, the number of electors enrolled in the proposed electoral divisions meet the requirement to be not less than 90 per cent or more than 110 per cent of the redistribution quota. Figure H shows that, on the proposed boundaries, the number of electors projected to be enrolled in the proposed electoral divisions meets the requirement to be not less than 96.5 per cent or more than 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028.
72. The Redistribution Committee considers that these movements will result in electoral divisions which:
- are more equitably balanced numerically across the state,
 - can accommodate the differing rates of expected growth and decline across Victoria,
 - keep together or improve representation of existing communities of interest, in some cases represented by local government areas, suburbs and localities, where possible, and
 - use strong and readily identifiable features as electoral division boundaries, to the extent possible.
73. This proposal is also consistent with elements of the 63 suggestions to the redistribution and 75 comments on suggestions which identified electoral division boundary changes to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. A summary of themes drawn from the suggestions and comments on suggestions received by the Redistribution Committee is included at Appendix G.
74. The Redistribution Committee's reasoning is detailed in the section 'Redistribution Committee's approach to formulating proposed electoral boundaries'.

Figure G: Proposed electoral divisions in Victoria – enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023, redistribution quota and permissible range of electors



Source: Available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Figure H: Proposed electoral divisions in Victoria – projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028, projected enrolment quota and permissible range of electors



Source: Available from www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

Redistribution Committee's approach to identifying the electoral division proposed to be abolished

- 75. The Redistribution Committee's approach to identifying which electoral division to propose for abolition was guided by the provisions of the Electoral Act; specifically, the numerical requirements and the obligations relating to community of interests, means of communication and travel, the physical features and area of the proposed electoral division, and the boundaries of existing electoral divisions.
- 76. In a number of the 63 suggestions to the redistribution and 75 comments on suggestions, arguments were made to abolish 12 different electoral divisions. Table H shows the majority of the 12 electoral divisions suggested for abolition are located in metropolitan electoral divisions, with half located in inner metropolitan electoral divisions.²⁷

Table H: Electoral divisions suggested for abolition, by demographic classification

Inner metropolitan electoral divisions	Outer metropolitan electoral divisions	Provincial electoral divisions	Rural electoral divisions
Goldstein	Deakin	La Trobe	Casey
Higgins	Jagajaga		McEwen
Hotham	Menzies		
Macnamara			
Maribyrnong			
Wills			

Note:

Demographic classification of electoral divisions is based on the following criteria:

- Inner Metropolitan – situated in capital cities and consisting of well-established built-up suburbs.
- Outer Metropolitan – situated in capital cities and containing large areas of recent suburban expansion.
- Provincial – outside capital cities, but with a majority of enrolment in major provincial cities.
- Rural – outside capital cities and without majority of enrolment in major provincial cities.

- 77. Further, the Redistribution Committee identified there is a clear geographic divide in the location of these electoral divisions:
 - four electoral divisions located to the north or west of the Yarra River (the Divisions of Jagajaga, Maribyrnong, McEwen, and Wills), and
 - eight electoral divisions located to the east or south of the Yarra River (the Divisions of Casey, Deakin, Goldstein, Higgins, Hotham, La Trobe, Macnamara, and Menzies).
- 78. Arguments were also made that 29 electoral divisions, including many of those listed in Table H, should not be abolished.²⁸
- 79. The Redistribution Committee investigated a number of potential redistributions of Victoria, each of which involved abolition of a different electoral division listed in Table I.

²⁷ Those arguing for the abolition of a particular electoral division are indicated in Table U.

²⁸ Those arguing a specific electoral division should not be abolished are indicated in Table U.

Table I: Electoral divisions the Redistribution Committee investigated for abolition

Electoral divisions investigated for abolition				
Aston	Chisholm	Higgins	Kooyong	McEwen
Casey	Dunkley	Hotham	Maribyrnong	Wills

80. It became apparent that an abolition of a western or northern division would result in a high number of electors across those electoral divisions situated within the growth corridor in the outer west and north of Melbourne, paired with lower number of electors in the comparatively slower growing east.
81. By contrast, the Redistribution Committee considered abolition of an eastern electoral division was a better way to address all growth corridors across Melbourne while minimising the movement of electors between electoral divisions. In considering the different potential redistributions, the Redistribution Committee contemplated how each different abolition would impact communities of interest.
82. As a consequence of making the necessary adjustments to ensure that all electoral divisions would meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee unanimously proposes abolishing the existing Division of Higgins.
83. The Redistribution Committee proposes moving electors from the existing Division of Higgins to the proposed Divisions of Chisholm, Hotham, Kooyong, Macnamara, and Melbourne. The proposed distribution of electors is displayed in Appendix I.

Redistribution Committee’s approach to naming electoral divisions

84. The naming of federal electoral divisions has been the subject of a number of recommendations from parliamentary committees. The guidelines were developed by the AEC from recommendations made by the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters in 1995 in *Electoral Redistributions: Report on the Effectiveness and Appropriateness of the Redistribution Provisions of Parts III and IV of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*. The guidelines were offered to interested persons when this redistribution was advertised and are publicly available on the AEC website (see Appendix J).
85. Appendix K presents some summary information on the extent to which electoral divisions meet these guidelines.

Retiring the name ‘Higgins’

86. ‘Higgins’ has been used as the name of a federal electoral division in Victoria since 1949.²⁹
87. In proposing to abolish the existing Division of Higgins, the Redistribution Committee considered whether it would be appropriate to rename another electoral division ‘Higgins’; however, it considered there was insufficient justification to do so.

²⁹ The electoral division is named in honour of Henry Bourne Higgins KC, 1851–1929. Higgins was an active member of the Constitutional Conventions that led to the Commonwealth of Australia, Member of the House of Representatives (1901–06), a Justice of the High Court (1906–29) and President of the Commonwealth Conciliation and Arbitration Court (1907–21). Higgins is known for the Harvester Decision.

88. The Redistribution Committee notes that suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions advocated:
- retiring the name 'Higgins',³⁰ and
 - renaming the Division of Higgins.³¹
89. The Redistribution Committee proposes retiring the name 'Higgins'.

Renaming of electoral divisions in Victoria

90. The guidelines note that the names of electoral divisions should not be changed or transferred to new areas without very strong reasons. Any decision to alter the name of an electoral division is therefore one which is not taken lightly.
91. The Redistribution Committee received a number of suggestions and comments on suggestions proposing that 15 of Victoria's electoral divisions be renamed, as displayed in Table J. While noting the contribution to Australian society of those individuals submitted for its consideration, the Redistribution Committee was first required to determine whether the name of each existing electoral division should be changed or retained.

Table J: Alternative names advocated in suggestions and comments on suggestions for Victorian electoral divisions

Existing name of electoral division	Alternative names advocated in suggestions or comments on suggestions
Ballarat	Yollie – in honour of the Yollie family. Taken to mean the Yuille family, whose patriarch, William Cross Yuille, 1819–94, was a Scottish-born pastoralist who farmed near, and was among the founders of, Ballarat.
Bendigo	Hoddle – in honour of Robert Hoddle, 1794–1881, Victoria's first Surveyor-General (appointed 1851) who is credited with planning the 'grid layout' of the City of Melbourne's CBD.
Casey	Barak – in honour of William Barak, 1823–1903, a Woi wurrung man who was a major advocate for Aboriginal rights and protecting Aboriginal culture, fighting to prevent the closure of Coranderrk and the relocation of its residents. McEwen – in honour of the Rt Hon. Sir John McEwen GCMG CH, 1900–80. McEwen was Prime Minister of Australia (1967–68) and a Member of the House of Representatives (1934–71).
Corangamite	Connewarre – to recognise the lake situated in the proposed Division of Corangamite. Connewarre is a name from the Wathawurrung language meaning 'swan lake'.
Gellibrand	Tucker – in honour of Margaret Elizabeth Tucker MBE, 1904–96, an Aboriginal rights activist and community leader who was the first woman to be appointed to the Victorian Aborigines Welfare Board and the first Aboriginal woman to join the Commonwealth's Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs.
Hawke	Burke – in honour of Robert O'Hara Burke, 1821–61, explorer and expeditioner on 1860 government attempt to cross Australia, along with William John Wills (1834–61), for whom the Division of Wills is named.

30 Retiring the named was advocated by CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb.

31 Changing the name of 'Higgins' was advocated by S41 – Phillip Drake.

Existing name of electoral division	Alternative names advocated in suggestions or comments on suggestions
Higgins	Macnamara – in honour of Dame Annie Jean Macnamara DBE, 1899–1968. Macnamara was a medical researcher and doctor who discovered there was more than one type of the polio virus and worked extensively with children suffering from poliomyelitis, training doctors and physiotherapists in the management of the disease.
Hotham	Deakin – in honour of the Hon. Alfred Deakin, 1856–1919. Deakin was an active member of the Constitutional Conventions that led to the Commonwealth of Australia, Prime Minister of Australia (1903–04, 1905–08 and 1909–10) and a Member of the House of Representatives (1901–13). Tchen – in honour of Tsebin Tchen, 1941–2019, the second Chinese-born member of the Australian Senate (1999–2005).
Jagajaga	McEwen – in honour of the Rt Hon. Sir John McEwen GCMG CH, 1900–80. McEwen was Prime Minister of Australia (1967–68) and a Member of the House of Representatives (1934–71).
Kooyong	Humphries – in honour of John Barry Humphries AO CBE, 1934–2023, actor, comedian, and creator of satirical Australian characters. Menzies – in honour of the Rt Hon. Sir Robert Gordon Menzies KT CH QC, 1894–1978. Menzies was Prime Minister of Australia (1939–41 and 1949–66) and a Member of the House of Representatives (1934–66).
La Trobe	Flack – in honour of Edwin Harold Flack, 1873–1935, athlete and first Australian Olympian in 1896 in Athens.
Macnamara	Melbourne – after the city of Melbourne. The settlement of Port Phillip was named ‘Melbourne’ on 5 March 1837 in honour of Lord Melbourne who was the Prime Minister of Great Britain at the time.
Maribyrnong	Wills – in honour of William John Wills, 1834–61. Wills was the surveyor and astronomer of an ultimately unsuccessful 1860 government expedition to cross Australia from Melbourne to the Gulf of Carpentaria.
Melbourne	Batman – in honour of John Batman, 1801–39, an early settler and explorer, known as the ‘Founder of Melbourne’. Birrarung – to recognise the Woi wurrung name for the Yarra River or ‘river’s edge’. Peacock – in honour of Lady Millie Gertrude Peacock, 1870–1948, the first woman elected to the Parliament of Victoria. Naarm – to recognise the Woi wurrung name for the Melbourne region, meaning ‘place’.
Wannon	Corangamite – after Lake Corangamite. ‘Corangamite’ is believed to be derived from the Gulidjan word for ‘bitter water’.

92. As indicated in Table J and Table V, several suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions support renaming an electoral division, with the alternative names offered being to recognise a mix of men, women, and Indigenous people. An idea offered by several contributors was that electoral divisions be renamed for an Indigenous person or word and/or a woman.
93. Noting the considerations outlined in the preceding paragraphs, the Redistribution Committee decided to consider a wider range of potential names, including those of prominent women.
94. Appendix L presents the additional potential names of electoral divisions considered by the Redistribution Committee.

Retaining the names of Victoria’s remaining 38 electoral divisions

95. Many suggestions to the redistribution and comments on suggestions advocated changing or retaining the names of existing electoral divisions, as indicated in Table V.
96. While the Redistribution Committee acknowledges the merit in the alternative names that were advocated, it does not consider that strong enough reasons to alter existing electoral division names have been provided in suggestions and comments on suggestions. In considering the names of Victoria’s remaining 38 electoral divisions, the Redistribution Committee concluded that the existing names were appropriate within the context of the guidelines.
97. The Redistribution Committee proposes retaining the names of the electoral divisions indicated in Table K.³²

Table K: Names of Victorian electoral divisions the Redistribution Committee proposes to retain

Proposed names of electoral divisions				
Aston	Corangamite	Goldstein	Kooyong	Menzies
Ballarat	Corio	Gorton	Lalor	Monash
Bendigo	Deakin	Hawke	La Trobe	Nicholls
Bruce	Dunkley	Holt	Macnamara	Scullin
Calwell	Flinders	Hotham	Mallee	Wannon
Casey	Fraser	Indi	Maribyrnong	Wills
Chisholm	Gellibrand	Isaacs	McEwen	
Cooper	Gippsland	Jagajaga	Melbourne	

Redistribution Committee’s approach to formulating proposed electoral boundaries

98. The Redistribution Committee’s strategy for formulating the proposed electoral boundaries was based on, and conforms to, the requirements of the Electoral Act.
99. The Redistribution Committee acknowledged the importance of the principle of relative equality of the number of electors in electoral divisions and the flexibility provided by the tolerances around the numerical requirements contained in the Electoral Act. The Redistribution Committee considered that, where necessary, the use of these tolerances allowed it to construct proposed electoral divisions which addressed:
 - all other required factors, and
 - the differences in projected growth of enrolment in Victoria.
100. Within the limits imposed by the numerical criteria and the other considerations, the Redistribution Committee acknowledged that it is also highly desirable that electoral boundaries be readily recognisable. Suggestions and comments on suggestions also advocated for divisional boundaries that are simple, strong and easily recognisable. Local government area boundaries, locality and suburb boundaries, main roads, waterways, and other linear features able to be used as boundaries guided the Redistribution Committee, where appropriate.

³² This is consistent with several suggestions to the redistribution, as indicated in Table V, including those which advocated for minimising the number of electoral divisions undergoing name changes.

101. The Redistribution Committee noted that a range of methods could be applied to achieve a proposed redistribution outcome for Victoria. Suggestions to the redistribution addressing the state as a whole adopted varying approaches and proposed moving between, approximately, 6.64 and 17.79 per cent of electors into a different electoral division.
102. Suggestions and comments on suggestions dealing with specific regions generally sought to unite split local government areas and localities, or areas with a recognisable community of interest, and to avoid splitting those which are not currently split. In its deliberations, the Redistribution Committee noted that those advocating electoral boundaries for specific regions of the state would need to be considered in the broader context of their impact on the state as a whole.
103. In complying with the requirements of the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee also sought to apply the following principles in developing the boundaries of the proposed electoral divisions:
 - limit the movement of electors between divisions, where possible,
 - provide strong boundaries between electoral divisions, to the extent possible,
 - avoid splitting local government areas, particularly in rural areas, noting these can be strong and recognisable boundaries,
 - accept that splitting local government areas or localities may be appropriate where doing so provides for a strong physical boundary and/or a clearer community of interest, or where doing so meets the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act,
 - avoid splitting SA1s to the extent possible, while accepting that splitting some SA1s may be appropriate where doing so allows for a strong boundary, and
 - to utilise the ranges around the redistribution quota and projected enrolment quota, to the extent possible.
104. In formulating the redistribution proposal, the Redistribution Committee noted that, in order to meet the requirements of the Electoral Act, it was required to abolish an electoral division.
105. Of the existing 39 electoral divisions, the Redistribution Committee was required to increase or decrease the number of electors in at least 29 electoral divisions. Due to the respective geographical locations of the electoral divisions requiring change, and the abolition of an electoral division among this, the Redistribution Committee acknowledged that some consequential change to additional electoral divisions was unavoidable.
106. As a consequence of ensuring the proposed redistribution met the numerical requirements, and accounting for the other factors required to be considered under the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee made changes to 34 electoral divisions in Victoria, with no changes proposed for the Divisions of Gippsland, Indi, Mallee and Monash.
107. Table L outlines the extent of elector movements resulting from the proposed electoral divisions. As a result of the requirement for the Redistribution Committee to abolish an electoral division, 8.31 per cent of Victoria's electors are proposed to move electoral division.

Table L: Summary of movement of electors between proposed electoral divisions

	Number	Percentage
Electors transferred to a proposed electoral division	369,249	8.31%
Electors remaining in their existing electoral division	4,072,731	91.69%
Total	4,441,980	100.00%

Proposed redistribution of Victoria – by electoral division

108. The Redistribution Committee has examined each proposed electoral division, giving due consideration to the requirements of the Electoral Act. For each of the proposed electoral divisions in Victoria, had the proposed electoral divisions been in place, Table M presents:

- initial enrolment based on enrolment figures as at Wednesday 9 August 2023,
- percentage variation from the redistribution quota,
- projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028,
- percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota, and
- the approximate area of each proposed electoral division.

Table M: Summary of proposed electoral divisions

Proposed electoral division	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023		Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028		Approximate area
	Number	Percentage variation from the redistribution quota	Number	Percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota	
Aston	121,246	3.72%	124,051	-2.50%	124 km ²
Ballarat	111,733	-4.42%	122,920	-3.39%	5,052 km ²
Bendigo	115,109	-1.53%	122,879	-3.43%	5,922 km ²
Bruce	125,348	7.23%	131,654	3.47%	142 km ²
Calwell	109,202	-6.58%	130,110	2.26%	191 km ²
Casey	119,538	2.26%	122,825	-3.47%	2,624 km ²
Chisholm	121,443	3.89%	130,602	2.64%	73 km ²
Cooper	115,881	-0.87%	124,817	-1.90%	61 km ²
Corangamite	106,695	-8.72%	127,797	0.44%	599 km ²
Corio	120,410	3.01%	124,168	-2.41%	1,216 km ²
Deakin	124,392	6.41%	130,314	2.42%	98 km ²
Dunkley	121,348	3.81%	127,015	-0.18%	148 km ²
Flinders	124,111	6.17%	128,659	1.12%	887 km ²
Fraser	121,865	4.25%	129,206	1.55%	97 km ²
Gellibrand	113,796	-2.65%	127,547	0.24%	145 km ²
Gippsland	116,666	-0.20%	123,685	-2.79%	33,131 km ²
Goldstein	122,654	4.93%	131,413	3.28%	56 km ²

Proposed electoral division	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023		Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028		Approximate area
	Number	Percentage variation from the redistribution quota	Number	Percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota	
Gorton	118,599	1.46%	129,373	1.68%	207 km ²
Hawke	111,435	-4.67%	128,529	1.01%	1,986 km ²
Holt	106,399	-8.98%	127,222	-0.01%	252 km ²
Hotham	118,284	1.19%	129,953	2.13%	81 km ²
Indi	118,876	1.70%	125,526	-1.35%	29,188 km ²
Isaacs	118,457	1.34%	124,846	-1.88%	158 km ²
Jagajaga	118,529	1.40%	123,151	-3.21%	137 km ²
Kooyong	122,936	5.17%	130,949	2.92%	59 km ²
Lalor	106,137	-9.20%	131,433	3.30%	180 km ²
La Trobe	105,499	-9.75%	131,469	3.33%	1,303 km ²
Macnamara	113,403	-2.99%	122,946	-3.37%	38 km ²
Mallee	121,563	3.99%	125,051	-1.72%	83,412 km ²
Maribyrnong	119,489	2.22%	125,759	-1.16%	70 km ²
McEwen	105,617	-9.65%	128,403	0.92%	2,330 km ²
Melbourne	113,439	-2.96%	126,519	-0.57%	39 km ²
Menzies	120,713	3.27%	128,860	1.27%	102 km ²
Monash	113,398	-2.99%	127,031	-0.16%	8,255 km ²
Nicholls	121,271	3.74%	127,563	0.26%	14,642 km ²
Scullin	114,895	-1.71%	126,602	-0.50%	132 km ²
Wannon	120,813	3.35%	123,757	-2.74%	34,311 km ²
Wills	120,791	3.33%	130,444	2.52%	47 km ²
Total	4,441,980		4,835,048		

109. Numerical summaries of the proposed electoral divisions are provided in Appendix N. These summaries are provided to assist electors in identifying whether their electoral division would alter as a result of this proposed redistribution.
110. Appendix N lists the SA2s which comprise each proposed electoral division. Wherever possible, SA2s are based on officially gazetted Victorian suburbs and localities. In urban areas, SA2s largely conform to whole suburbs and combinations of whole suburbs, while in rural areas they define functional zones of social and economic links.
111. The Redistribution Committee's proposed electoral divisions are discussed in greater detail in the following paragraphs. In this discussion, the local government areas which comprise each proposed electoral division are indicated.
112. Proposed electoral divisions are presented in alphabetical order.

Proposed Division of Aston

113. The proposed Division of Aston shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Casey, Chisholm and Deakin.
114. Enrolment in the current Division of Aston on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 113,457 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Aston must therefore gain at least 9,328 electors, or up to 18,234 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
115. Five suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions propose the Division of Aston gain all or part of the localities of Bayswater North and Kilsyth South from the Division of Deakin.³³ An additional suggestion proposes the Division of Aston should also gain part of the locality of Heathmont, using Canterbury Road as its northern boundary.³⁴
116. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Aston gain:
 - 10,594 projected electors from the locality of Kilsyth South, and those portions of the localities of Bayswater North, Heathmont and Ringwood currently located within the Division of Deakin.
117. This change adopts a boundary following Eastlink to Canterbury Road, which the Redistribution Committee agrees is a strong northern boundary. The boundary extends along Canterbury Road to join and follow the boundary of Maroondah City Council along Liverpool Road south to the Knox City Council boundary.
118. Making this alteration will lead the proposed Division of Aston to a net gain of 10,594 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 124,051 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 2.50 per cent.
119. The proposed Division of Aston will consist of:
 - Knox City Council, and
 - part of the Maroondah City Council.

Proposed Division of Ballarat

120. The proposed Division of Ballarat shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bendigo, Corio, Hawke, Mallee, McEwen and Wannon.
121. Enrolment in the current Division of Ballarat on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 124,120 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Ballarat can therefore gain up to 7,571 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 1,335 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
122. Three comments on suggestions to the redistribution propose the Division of Ballarat retain the localities of Creswick, Daylesford, and Trentham.³⁵ Two comments on suggestions also propose retaining the entirety of Hepburn Shire Council within the Division of Ballarat.³⁶

33 This matter was supported by: S35 – Jeff Waddell, S37 – Punjabi Club of Victoria, S53 – Trent Wilson, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S62 – Luke Dixon, CS10 – Nimalan Sivakumar and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

34 This matter was supported by: S39 – Dr Paul Rival.

35 This matter was supported by: CS19 – Jeremy Harper, CS42 – Robert J White and CS44 – Warren and Karen Maloney.

36 This matter was supported by: CS42 – Robert J White and CS44 – Warren and Karen Maloney.

123. The Redistribution Committee proposes to retain the localities of Creswick, Daylesford and Trentham within the electoral division, and notes the desire to keep Hepburn Shire Council united. However, the Redistribution Committee also recognises the requirement for the Division of Bendigo to gain electors to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act and proposes that part of the Hepburn Shire Council be transferred to the Division of Bendigo.
124. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the current Division of Ballarat transfers:
 - 926 projected electors from the Hepburn Shire Council, including the localities of Clydesdale, Drummond, Drummond North, Franklinford, Guildford, Malmsbury, Porcupine Ridge, Sandon, Strangways, Taradale, Tarilta and Yandoit, and part of the localities of Dry Diggings and Glenlyon, to the proposed Division of Bendigo.
125. Easily identifiable physical boundaries were used where possible, including sections of Daylesford-Malmsbury Road, Daylesford-Newstead Road, Green Gully Road, the Midland Highway and the Loddon River.
126. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 274 projected electors in the localities of Maude and Sutherlands Creek within the Golden Plains Shire Council to the Division of Corio.
127. Making these alterations will transfer 1,200 projected electors out of the Division of Ballarat. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 122,920 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 3.39 per cent.
128. The proposed Division of Ballarat will consist of:
 - Ballarat City Council,
 - part of the Golden Plains Shire Council,
 - part of the Hepburn Shire Council, and
 - part of the Moorabool Shire Council.

Proposed Division of Bendigo

129. The proposed Division of Bendigo shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Mallee, McEwen and Nicholls.
130. Enrolment in the current Division of Bendigo on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 121,056 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Bendigo must therefore gain at least 1,729 electors, or up to 10,635 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
131. Two suggestions and one comment on suggestions propose the Division of Bendigo gain all or part of the Hepburn Shire Council from the Division of Ballarat.³⁷

37 This matter was supported by: S16 – Ben, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch and CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division.

132. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Bendigo gain:
- 926 projected electors from the Hepburn Shire Council, including the localities of Clydesdale, Drummond, Drummond North, Franklindale, Guildford, Malmsbury, Porcupine Ridge, Sandon, Strangways, Taradale, Tarilta and Yandoit, and part of the localities of Dry Diggings and Glenlyon currently located within the Division of Ballarat, and
 - 897 projected electors from part of Mitchell Shire Council, including the localities of Nulla Vale, Pyalong and Tooborac, currently located within the Division of Nicholls.
133. These movements ensure the Division of Bendigo meets the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The proposed southern boundary adopts sections of Daylesford-Malmsbury Road, Daylesford-Newstead Road, Green Gully Road, the Midland Highway and the Loddon River, while the proposed alterations to the eastern boundary follow locality boundaries, aligning in part with Seymour-Tooborac Road.
134. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Bendigo to a net gain of 1,823 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 122,879 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 3.43 per cent.
135. The proposed Division of Bendigo will consist of:
- Greater Bendigo City Council,
 - Mount Alexander Shire Council,
 - part of the Hepburn Shire Council,
 - part of the Macedon Ranges Shire Council, and
 - part of the Mitchell Shire Council.

Proposed Division of Bruce

136. The proposed Division of Bruce shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Aston, Casey, Chisholm, Holt, Hotham, Isaacs and La Trobe.
137. Enrolment in the current Division of Bruce on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 119,135 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Bruce must therefore gain at least 3,650 electors, or up to 12,556 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
138. Three suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions propose the Division of Bruce should gain all or part of the localities of Berwick and Harkaway from the Division of La Trobe.³⁸
139. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges that the Division of Bruce must gain electors from the neighbouring Divisions of Holt and La Trobe, both of which have projected enrolment above the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act.

³⁸ This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S43 – Louis Desribes and CS4 – Benjamin Close.

140. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary such that the proposed Division of Bruce gain:
 - 7,866 projected electors from part of the locality of Cranbourne North currently located within the Division of Holt, and
 - 8,250 projected electors from the locality of Harkaway, and part of the localities of Beaconsfield and Berwick currently located within the Division of La Trobe. This will unite the locality of Harkaway within the proposed Division of Bruce.
141. Through these changes, the electoral division extends its existing boundary with the Division of Holt along Berwick-Cranbourne Road and Narre Warren Road further south to Thompsons Road.
142. The Redistribution Committee proposes that the eastern boundary of the electoral division, shared with the Division of La Trobe, be adopted to deviate from Clyde Road, following the Princes Freeway and Old Princes Freeway, from which it follows the boundary of Casey City Council and Cardinia Shire Council until it rejoins its existing border with the Division of Casey.
143. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 3,597 projected electors from part of the localities of Dandenong and Noble Park to the proposed Division of Isaacs. Here, the Redistribution Committee proposes adopting a boundary following Lonsdale Street and the Princes Highway.
144. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Bruce to a net gain of 12,519 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 131,654 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 3.47 per cent.
145. The proposed Division of Bruce will consist of:
 - part of the Cardinia Shire Council,
 - part of the Casey City Council,
 - part of the Greater Dandenong City Council, and
 - part of the Monash City Council.

Proposed Division of Calwell

146. The proposed Division of Calwell shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Hawke, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Scullin and Wills.
147. Enrolment in the current Division of Calwell on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 140,187 electors, which is more than the maximum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. A net reduction of at least 8,496 electors, or up to 17,402 electors, is therefore required for this electoral division to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
148. Four suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions propose the boundaries of the Division of Calwell remain unchanged or see minimal alteration.³⁹

³⁹ This matter was supported by: S16 – Ben, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S53 – Trent Wilson, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, CS30 – Joshua F Solomon and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

149. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges these recommendations, noting that the required transfer of electors out of the Division of Calwell is owing to consistently high enrolment growth over recent years, which has resulted in the geographic area of the electoral division contracting over previous redistributions. To reduce the number of electors and cater for continued growth, transferring part of the growth area within the current Division of Calwell to another electoral division is a logical solution.
150. The Division of Calwell shares borders with three divisions that need to gain electors, the Divisions of Maribyrnong, Scullin, and Wills. However, the Redistribution Committee is satisfied with the current boundaries between the Division of Calwell and the Divisions of Maribyrnong and Wills, noting that both have more logical avenues to gain electors and meet the requirements of the Electoral Act while maintaining their existing communities of interest.
151. By contrast, the comparably outer metropolitan Division of Scullin is positioned well to gain electors from the eastern flank of the Division of Calwell. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes the Division of Calwell transfer 2,444 projected electors to the proposed Division of Scullin, comprising:
- the sections of the localities of Campbellfield and Somerton located to the east of the Hume Highway and Sydney Road,
 - the section of the locality of Fawkner located to the east of Sydney Road and north of the Western Ring Road, and
 - the section of the locality of Craigieburn located to the east of the Hume Highway and south of Craigieburn Road.
152. Additionally, the Redistribution Committee proposes to transfer 7,633 projected electors from the Division of Calwell to the proposed Division of McEwen:
- in the locality of Kalkallo, and
 - the section of the locality of Craigieburn located to the east of the Hume Highway and north of Craigieburn Road.
153. This proposed change consolidates the eastern boundary of the Division of Calwell along one continuous road in its boundaries with both the proposed Divisions of McEwen and Scullin. By consequence, the Division of McEwen will be required to transfer additional electors to neighbouring electoral divisions to meet the requirements of the Electoral Act, however, it shares boundaries with six electoral divisions that need to gain electors.
154. Making these alterations will transfer 10,077 projected electors out of the Division of Calwell. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 130,110 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 2.26 per cent.
155. The proposed Division of Calwell will consist of:
- part of the Hume City Council.

Proposed Division of Casey

156. The proposed Division of Casey shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Aston, Bruce, Deakin, Indi, Jagajaga, La Trobe, McEwen, Menzies and Monash.
157. Enrolment in the current Division of Casey on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 118,846 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Casey must therefore gain at least 3,939 electors, or up

to 12,845 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

158. Fifteen comments on suggestions propose the boundaries of the Division of Casey be left unchanged or be minimally altered and remain centred on the Yarra Ranges Shire Council.⁴⁰
159. Three suggestions to the redistribution propose the Division of Casey gain all or part of the Nillumbik Shire Council which includes the localities of Panton Hill and St Andrews from the Division of McEwen.⁴¹
160. Two suggestions to the redistribution propose the Division of Casey gain all or part of the locality of Wonga Park from the Division of Menzies.⁴²
161. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the merit of these recommendations, noting each are logical solutions for the electoral division to meet the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act. As such, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary so that the proposed Division of Casey gain:
 - 1,589 projected electors from the Division of McEwen in:
 - part of Nillumbik Shire Council, including the localities of Bend of Islands, Christmas Hills, Smiths Gully and Watsons Creek, and
 - part of the localities of Kangaroo Ground, Kinglake, Panton Hill and St Andrews, and
 - 2,390 projected electors from the locality of Wonga Park, currently located within the Division of Menzies.
162. Following these changes, the boundary between the proposed electoral division and the proposed Division of Menzies follows the locality boundary of Wonga Park, while its boundary with the proposed Division of McEwen is along locality boundaries and sections of main and local roads, including Alma Road, Burns Street, Heidelberg-Kinglake Road and Kangaroo Ground-St Andrews Road.
163. This change allows the proposed Division of Casey to meet the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act and retain the Yarra Ranges Shire Council within its boundaries.
164. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Casey to a net gain of 3,979 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 122,825 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 3.47 per cent.
165. The proposed Division of Casey will consist of:
 - part of the Cardinia Shire Council,
 - part of the Manningham City Council,
 - part of the Nillumbik Shire Council, and
 - part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council.

40 This matter was supported by: CS17 – Casey Sporting Clubs, CS23 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS33 – Punjabi Club of Victoria, CS38 – Dr Holly Cargill, CS40 – Anonymous 1, CS45 – Elizabeth Neville, CS49 – Michelle de Lima, CS50 – Brad Sanders, CS54 – Maree Chandler, CS55 – Dr Kerry Wardlaw, CS61 – Yarra Valley Business Inc, CS67 – David G Clarke, CS70 – Sue Thompson, CS73 – Josh Parris and CS74 – Voices for Casey.

41 This matter was supported by: S25 – Darren McSweeney, S45 – Zoe Cook and S61 – Australian Greens Victoria.

42 This matter was supported by: S25 – Darren McSweeney and S62 – Luke Dixon.

Proposed Division of Chisholm

166. The proposed Division of Chisholm shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Aston, Bruce, Deakin, Hotham, Kooyong, Macnamara and Menzies.
167. Enrolment in the current Division of Chisholm on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 119,806 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Chisholm must therefore gain at least 2,979 electors, or up to 11,885 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
168. The Division of Chisholm currently shares its western boundary with the Divisions of Higgins and Kooyong. In proposing to abolish the Division of Higgins, the Redistribution Committee proposes to move a substantial number of electors from the abolished electoral division to the proposed Divisions of Chisholm and Kooyong.
169. The Redistribution Committee proposes to transfer 34,782 projected electors in the localities of Ashburton, Glen Iris, and Malvern East from the Division of Higgins to the proposed Division of Chisholm. This proposed movement will assist the Division of Chisholm meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.⁴³
170. As a consequence of the proposed changes from the abolition of the Division of Higgins, the proposed Division of Kooyong is required to transfer electors. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes a transfer of 7,247 projected electors from the Division of Kooyong in the localities of Camberwell and Glen Iris to the proposed Division of Chisholm. These two proposals will shift the electoral division boundary west from Warrigal Road to follow along Riversdale Road, Highfield Road, Toorak Road, and Tooronga Road, before meeting the proposed Division of Macnamara at Dandenong Road.
171. However, the consequences of these two proposed changes will lead the proposed Division of Chisholm to exceed the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. As a result, the Redistribution Committee also proposes the following transfers out of the Division of Chisholm:
 - 1,548 projected electors from part of the locality of Burwood East, to the proposed Division of Deakin,
 - 10,142 projected electors from the localities of Clayton, Notting Hill and Oakleigh, and part of the localities of Chadstone, Glen Waverley, Mount Waverley, and Mulgrave to the proposed Division of Hotham, and
 - 19,543 projected electors from the locality of Box Hill, and part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn South, and Box Hill South to the proposed Division of Menzies.
172. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Chisholm to a net gain of 10,796 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 130,602 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 2.64 per cent.

43 The Division of Chisholm gaining all or part of the localities of Ashburton, Carnegie, Glen Iris, Malvern East, and Murrumbeena from the Division of Higgins was supported by: S35 – Jeff Waddell and CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb.

173. The proposed Division of Chisholm will consist of:

- part of the Boroondara City Council,
- part of the Monash City Council,
- part of the Stonnington City Council, and
- part of the Whitehorse City Council.

Proposed Division of Cooper

174. The proposed Division of Cooper shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Jagajaga, Kooyong, Melbourne, Scullin and Wills.

175. Enrolment in the current Division of Cooper on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 119,120 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Cooper must therefore gain at least 3,665 electors, or up to 12,571 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

176. Five suggestions to the redistribution and five comments on suggestions propose the Division of Cooper gain all or part of the locality of Clifton Hill.⁴⁴

177. The Redistribution Committee included this movement in its proposal to assist the proposed Division of Cooper meet the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act. Major roads are proposed as the boundary, following the Eastern Freeway, Alexandra Parade, Smith Street, Queens Parade, Heidelberg Road and Hoddle Street.

178. As such, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary such that the proposed Division of Cooper gain:

- 5,697 projected electors from the locality of Clifton Hill currently within the Division of Melbourne.

179. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Cooper to a net gain of 5,697 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 124,817 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 1.90 per cent.

180. The proposed Division of Cooper will consist of:

- Darebin City Council,
- part of the Merri-bek City Council, and
- part of the Yarra City Council.

44 This matter was supported by: S25 – Darren McSweeney, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S49 – Colin McLaren, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, CS1 – Colin McLaren, CS39 – Yuan Lin, CS69 – Anonymous 4, CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria and CS73 – Josh Parris.

Proposed Division of Corangamite

181. The proposed Division of Corangamite shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Corio and Wannon.
182. Enrolment in the current Division of Corangamite on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 138,344 electors, which is more than the maximum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. A net reduction of at least 6,653 electors, or up to 15,559 electors, is therefore required for this electoral division to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
183. Three suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions propose the boundaries of the Division of Corangamite remain unchanged.⁴⁵
184. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges these recommendations, and notes that the Division of Corangamite has experienced high enrolment growth in recent years, which has resulted in the geographic area of the Division of Corangamite progressively contracting eastwards to centre more upon the Bellarine Peninsula in previous redistributions. The Division of Corangamite continues to experience very high enrolment growth, and so, to reduce the need of boundary changes at the next federal redistribution of Victoria, more electors were transferred out of the Division of Corangamite than required to better cater for future enrolment growth.
185. The Division of Corangamite is bordered by three electoral divisions and two of which, the Divisions of Corio and Wannon, are required to gain electors to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
186. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes that the Division of Corangamite transfer:
 - 6,192 projected electors from part of the Golden Plains Shire Council, including the localities of Bannockburn, Gheringhap, Murgheboluc, Russells Bridge and Stonehaven and part of the locality of Batesford to the proposed Division of Corio,
 - 1,230 projected electors from parts of the Golden Plains Shire Council, including the locality of Hesse and parts of the localities of Inverleigh and Wingeel to the proposed Division of Wannon, and
 - 3,125 projected electors from parts of the Surf Coast Shire Council, including the localities of Barrabool, Gherang, Gnarwarre, Modewarre, Moriac, Mount Moriac, Paraparap, and Wurdiboluc, and parts of the localities of Bellbrae, Buckley, Freshwater Creek and Inverleigh to the proposed Division of Wannon.
187. Making these alterations will transfer 10,547 projected electors out of the Division of Corangamite. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 127,797 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 0.44 per cent.
188. The proposed Division of Corangamite will consist of:
 - Borough of Queenscliffe Council,
 - part of the Greater Geelong City Council, and
 - part of the Surf Coast Shire Council.

45 This matter was supported by: S25 – Darren McSweeney, S42 – David Lumsden, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria and CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb.

Proposed Division of Corio

189. The proposed Division of Corio shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Corangamite, Hawke, Lalor and Wannon.
190. Enrolment in the current Division of Corio on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 116,944 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Corio must therefore gain at least 5,841 electors, or up to 14,747 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
191. Three suggestions to the redistribution propose the Division of Corio gain electors in sections of the Golden Plains Shire Council from the Divisions of Ballarat and Corangamite.⁴⁶
192. The Redistribution Committee considers the transfer of localities centred around Bannockburn will provide the proposed Division of Corio with a logical expansion to its boundary.
193. One suggestion to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions propose the Division of Corio gain electors in the localities of Cocoroc and Little River, currently in the Division of Lalor.⁴⁷
194. The Redistribution Committee agrees with this movement as a suitable method to assist the proposed Division of Lalor to remain within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. This boundary change unites the locality of Little River within the proposed Division of Corio, and the electoral division gains the growth corridor separating the outskirts of Melbourne from Geelong.
195. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Corio gain:
 - 274 projected electors from that part of the Golden Plains Shire Council currently located within the Division of Ballarat, in the localities of Maude and Sutherlands Creek,
 - 6,192 projected electors from that part of the Golden Plains Shire Council currently located within the Division of Corangamite, in the localities of Bannockburn, Batesford, Gheringhap, Murgheboluc, Russells Bridge and Stonehaven, and
 - 758 projected electors from that part of the Wyndham City Council currently located within the Division of Lalor, including the localities of Cocoroc and Little River, and part of the locality of Mambourin.
196. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Corio to a net gain of 7,224 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 124,168 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 2.41 per cent.
197. The proposed Division of Corio will consist of:
 - part of the Golden Plains Shire Council,
 - part of the Greater Geelong City Council, and
 - part of the Wyndham City Council.

⁴⁶ This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S58 – Peter Mitchell and S60 – Dr Charles Richardson.

⁴⁷ This matter was supported by: S9 – PJ and CS48 – David Beard.

Proposed Division of Deakin

198. The proposed Division of Deakin shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Aston, Casey, Chisholm and Menzies.
199. Enrolment in the current Division of Deakin on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 118,427 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Deakin must therefore gain at least 4,358 electors, or up to 13,264 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
200. Ten suggestions to the redistribution and five comments on suggestions propose the Division of Deakin gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Blackburn South, Donvale, Mitcham, Nunawading, Park Orchards, Ringwood North and Wonga Park from the Division of Menzies.⁴⁸
201. The Redistribution Committee proposes to adopt some of these changes:
 - uniting the localities of Mitcham, Nunawading and Ringwood North within the proposed Division of Deakin,
 - expanding this division into the Manningham City Council, and
 - adopting major arterials and significant local roads for its boundary. The proposed boundary adopts Surrey Road, the Eastern Freeway, Springvale Road, Old Warrandyte Road, Tindals Road, Stintons Road, Falconer Road, Ringwood-Warrandyte Road and Croydon Road.
202. Three suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions argue for the Division of Deakin to gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn South, Box Hill, Burwood and Burwood East from the Division of Chisholm.⁴⁹
203. The Redistribution Committee proposes minimal change to the south-west corner of the Division of Deakin, whereby the electoral division gains part of Burwood East bound by Blackburn Road and Burwood Highway. This proposed change adopts a stronger physical boundary than the existing division, which follows the locality boundary and the smaller Hawthorn and Mahoneys Roads.
204. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Deakin gain:
 - 1,548 projected electors from that part of the locality of Burwood East currently located within the Division of Chisholm.
 - 20,933 projected electors from those parts of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Donvale, Mitcham, Nunawading, Park Orchards, Ringwood North and Warrandyte South currently located within the Division of Menzies.
205. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 10,594 projected electors in the localities of Bayswater North, Heathmont, Kilsyth South and Ringwood to the proposed Division of Aston.

48 This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S16 – Ben, S24 – Maroondah Business Group, S37 – Punjabi Club of Victoria, S39 – Dr Paul Rival, S41 – Phillip Drake, S50 – Henry Rees, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon, CS28 – Maroondah Business Group, CS30 – Joshua F Solomon, CS31 – Dr Paul Rival, CS59 – John Ryan and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

49 This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S53 – Trent Wilson and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

206. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Deakin to a net gain of 11,887 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 130,314 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 2.42 per cent.
207. The proposed Division of Deakin will consist of:
- part of the Manningham City Council,
 - part of the Maroondah City Council, and
 - part of the Whitehorse City Council.

Proposed Division of Dunkley

208. The proposed Division of Dunkley shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Flinders, Holt and Isaacs.
209. Enrolment in the current Division of Dunkley on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 117,651 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Dunkley must therefore gain at least 5,134 electors, or up to 14,040 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
210. Nine suggestions to the redistribution and three comments on suggestions advocate the Division of Dunkley gain all or part of the localities of Carrum and Patterson Lakes from the Division of Isaacs, with some also suggesting it gain all or part of the localities of Bonbeach, Chelsea and Chelsea Heights.⁵⁰
211. The Redistribution Committee agrees with these ideas, which offset a transfer of electors from the Division of Dunkley to the proposed Division of Flinders.
212. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Dunkley gain:
- 19,149 projected electors from the localities of Bonbeach, Carrum and Patterson Lakes, and parts of Chelsea and Chelsea Heights, currently located within the Division of Isaacs.
213. The proposed boundary extends along Thompsons Road to the Mornington Peninsula Freeway, which is followed north to Thames Promenade, where it bisects Chelsea and Chelsea Heights. The boundary deviates along a short distance south before following The Beachway to Port Phillip Bay.
214. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 9,785 projected electors in the locality of Mount Eliza to the proposed Division of Flinders. This proposal continues to reduce the section of the Mornington Peninsula Shire Council within the Division of Dunkley, which aligns with the decisions of prior Redistribution Committees.
215. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Dunkley to a net gain of 9,364 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 127,015 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 0.18 per cent.

⁵⁰ This matter was supported by: S16 – Ben, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S53 – Trent Wilson, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS39 – Yuan Lin and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

216. The proposed Division of Dunkley will consist of:

- Frankston City Council,
- part of the Kingston City Council, and
- part of the Mornington Peninsula Shire Council.

Proposed Division of Flinders

217. The proposed Division of Flinders shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Dunkley and Holt.

218. Enrolment in the current Division of Flinders on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 118,874 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Flinders must therefore gain at least 3,911 electors, or up to 12,817 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

219. Four suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions advocate the Division of Flinders gain part of the locality of Mount Eliza from the Division of Dunkley.⁵¹

220. The Redistribution Committee concurs with this idea, noting a partial move of Mount Eliza into the proposed Division of Flinders is another step toward uniting the Mornington Peninsula Shire Council within this electoral division, aligning with the decisions of prior Redistribution Committees.

221. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Flinders gain:

- 9,785 projected electors from the locality of Mount Eliza currently within the Division of Dunkley.

222. The proposed change adopts major roads to form the divisional boundary along Canadian Bay Road from Moorooduc Highway to meet and follow Nepean Highway to Kackeraboite Creek and the bounds of Frankston City Council. This change retains the section of Mount Eliza east of Nepean Highway and Canadian Bay Road within the Division of Dunkley.

223. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Flinders to a net gain of 9,785 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 128,659 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 1.12 per cent.

224. The proposed Division of Flinders will consist of:

- part of the Mornington Peninsula Shire Council, and
- the unincorporated area of French-Elizabeth-Sandstone Islands.

Proposed Division of Fraser

225. The proposed Division of Fraser shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Gellibrand, Gorton, Macnamara, Maribyrnong and Melbourne.

226. Enrolment in the current Division of Fraser on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 119,856 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Fraser must therefore gain at least 2,929 electors, or up to

⁵¹ This matter was supported by: S9 – PJ, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

11,835 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

227. Seven suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions propose the locality of Yarraville be united within the Division of Fraser.⁵²
228. The Redistribution Committee proposes that both the localities of Brooklyn and Yarraville be united within the proposed Division of Fraser, with the electoral division also gaining the locality of Spotswood and a small section of Newport.
229. This proposed change unites Maribyrnong City Council within one electoral division and strengthens the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Fraser and Gellibrand by shifting south to the West Gate Freeway. The boundary deviates at the Williamstown Railway Line, and again at the Spotswood locality boundary to follow High Street and the north of Digman Reserve to Douglas Parade and on to the Yarra River. This proposal unites two industrial properties, which are currently bisected by the locality boundary between Newport and Spotswood, entirely within one electoral division.
230. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Fraser gain:
 - 9,350 projected electors from the locality of Spotswood, and part of the localities of Brooklyn and Yarraville, currently within the Division of Gellibrand.
231. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Fraser to a net gain of 9,350 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 129,206 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 1.55 per cent.
232. The proposed Division of Fraser will consist of:
 - Maribyrnong City Council,
 - part of the Brimbank City Council, and
 - part of the Hobsons Bay City Council.

Proposed Division of Gellibrand

233. The proposed Division of Gellibrand shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Fraser, Gorton, Lalor and Macnamara.
234. Enrolment in the current Division of Gellibrand on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 124,789 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Gellibrand can therefore gain up to 6,902 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 2,004 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
235. Nine suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions recommend the Division of Gellibrand gain all or part of the localities of Point Cook, Truganina and Williams Landing from the Division of Lalor.⁵³

52 This matter was supported by: S5 – Roger Nye, S10 – Nimalan Sivakumar, S16 – Ben, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S53 – Trent Wilson, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson and CS62 – Dr Charles Richardson.

53 This matter was supported by: S5 – Roger Nye, S16 – Ben, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S53 – Trent Wilson, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS36 – Colin McLaren and CS62 – Dr Charles Richardson.

236. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the merit of these recommendations, which assists the proposed Division of Lalor to remain within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, noting the Division of Lalor has high projected enrolment. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Gellibrand gain:
- 12,108 projected electors from the localities of Point Cook, Truganina, and Williams Landing currently within the Division of Lalor.
237. This change adopts a proposed boundary between the Divisions of Gellibrand and Lalor following the Princes Freeway and Sneydes Road to unite the locality of Point Cook within the Division of Gellibrand. The proposed boundary deviates from the Princes Freeway to follow Forsyth Road and Skeleton Creek, which moves the locality of Williams Landing into the Division of Gellibrand, together with part of Truganina.
238. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 9,350 projected electors in the localities of Brooklyn, Spotswood and Yarraville to the proposed Division of Fraser. A small section of the locality of Newport is also transferred to the proposed Division of Fraser; however, this section of Newport does not contain any electors.
239. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Gellibrand to a net gain of 2,758 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 127,547 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 0.24 per cent.
240. The proposed Division of Gellibrand will consist of:
- part of the Hobsons Bay City Council, and
 - part of the Wyndham City Council.

Proposed Division of Gippsland

241. The proposed Division of Gippsland shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Indi and Monash.
242. Enrolment in the current Division of Gippsland on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 123,685 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Gippsland can therefore gain up to 8,006 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 900 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
243. In assessing the Division of Gippsland, the Redistribution Committee formed the view that altering its boundaries with neighbouring electoral divisions would not assist in balancing the numerical requirements in surrounding electoral divisions, nor would it lead to improved communities of interest.
244. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes no alteration to the existing boundaries of the Division of Gippsland.
245. The Redistribution Committee also notes ten suggestions and five comments on suggestions concerning the Division of Gippsland recommend the electoral division remains unchanged.⁵⁴

⁵⁴ This matter was supported by: S16 – Ben, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S27 – Anonymous 1, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S41 – Phillip Drake, S49 – Colin McLaren, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb, CS23 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS39 – Yuan Lin and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

246. Projected enrolment for the proposed Division of Gippsland remains at 123,685 electors, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 2.79 per cent.
247. The proposed Division of Gippsland will consist of:
- East Gippsland Shire Council,
 - Wellington Shire Council,
 - part of the Baw Baw Shire Council,
 - part of the Latrobe City Council, and
 - the unincorporated area of Gabo Island.

Proposed Division of Goldstein

248. The proposed Division of Goldstein shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Hotham, Isaacs and Macnamara.
249. Enrolment in the current Division of Goldstein on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 118,919 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Goldstein must therefore gain at least 3,866 electors, or up to 12,772 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
250. Six suggestions to the redistribution and three comments on suggestions advocate the Division of Goldstein gain all or part of the locality of Bentleigh East from the Division of Hotham.⁵⁵
251. One suggestion to the redistribution and six comments on suggestions support the Division of Goldstein moving its boundary with the Division of Isaacs east, either shifting from the Frankston Railway Line to the Nepean Highway, or further east to include all of Southland Shopping Centre.⁵⁶
252. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Goldstein gain:
- 3,288 projected electors in part of the locality of Bentleigh East from the Division of Hotham, adopting a boundary along Centre Road and East Boundary Road, and
 - 9,206 projected electors in part of the localities of Cheltenham, Highett and Moorabbin from the Division of Isaacs, adopting a boundary along Chesterville Road and Charman Road, consolidating with part of the existing boundary along Charman Road.
253. These proposed gains maintain strong physical boundaries for the proposed Division of Goldstein, uniting the locality of Highett within the electoral division and uniting electors in the immediate proximity of Southland Shopping Centre.
254. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Goldstein to a net gain of 12,494 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 131,413 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 3.28 per cent.

55 This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S10 – Nimalan Sivakumar, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S62 – Luke Dixon, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS39 – Yuan Lin, CS69 – Anonymous 4 and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

56 This matter was supported by: S34 – Zoe Daniel MP, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS7 – Sue Barrett, CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb, CS15 – John Macdonald, CS26 – Melissa Marshall, and CS53 – Highett Progress Association.

255. The proposed Division of Goldstein will consist of:

- Bayside City Council,
- part of the Glen Eira City Council, and
- part of the Kingston City Council.

Proposed Division of Gorton

256. The proposed Division of Gorton shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Fraser, Gellibrand, Hawke, Lalor and Maribyrnong.

257. Enrolment in the current Division of Gorton on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 129,465 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Gorton can therefore gain up to 2,226 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 6,680 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

258. Three suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions propose the boundaries of the Division of Gorton remain unchanged.⁵⁷

259. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the merit of this idea; however, proposes that the Division of Gorton transfer 92 projected electors in the locality of Keilor North and part of Keilor to the proposed Division of Hawke.

260. This change consolidates along a strong physical boundary, adopting the Calder Freeway to separate the proposed Division of Gorton from the proposed Division of Hawke. This proposal also allows the Division of Gorton to retain its focus on the urban growth corridor in Melbourne's outer-west, transferring the localities north of the Calder Freeway, including the Organ Pipes National Park, to the similarly oriented proposed Division of Hawke.

261. Making these alterations will transfer 92 projected electors out of the Division of Gorton. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 129,373 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 1.68 per cent.

262. The proposed Division of Gorton will consist of:

- part of the Brimbank City Council, and
- part of the Melton City Council.

Proposed Division of Hawke

263. The proposed Division of Hawke shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Calwell, Corio, Gorton, Lalor, Maribyrnong and McEwen.

264. Enrolment in the current Division of Hawke on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 128,336 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Hawke can therefore gain up to 3,355 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 5,551 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

⁵⁷ This matter was supported by: S9 – PJ, S49 – Colin McLaren, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

265. Fifteen suggestions to the redistribution and four comments on suggestions advocate for the Division of Hawke to gain all or part of the Macedon Ranges Shire Council from the Division of McEwen, including the localities of Gisborne, Macedon, and Woodend.⁵⁸
266. The Redistribution Committee notes these suggestions; however, the Division of Hawke is within the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act, and instead proposes minimal elector movements for the electoral division through the gaining of small sections of the Divisions of Gorton, Lalor and Maribyrnong. These proposed gains unite additional similar areas within the proposed Division of Hawke, allowing the proposed Divisions of Gorton, Lalor and Maribyrnong to be more urban in focus.
267. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Hawke gains:
- 87 projected electors from the localities of Keilor, Keilor Park, Melbourne Airport and Tullamarine, currently within the Division of Maribyrnong, and
 - 92 projected electors from the localities of Keilor and Keilor North, currently within the Division of Gorton.
268. With these changes, the proposed Division of Hawke adopts a boundary with the proposed Division of Gorton along the Calder Freeway, extending into its boundary with the proposed Division of Maribyrnong, which deviates from the Calder Freeway to follow Keilor Park Drive, Sharps Road and Airport Drive.
269. The Redistribution Committee also proposes altering the southern boundary of the proposed electoral division such that the locality of Eynesbury is located within one electoral division, gaining:
- 14 projected electors from the localities of Eynesbury, Quandong, Manor Lakes and Wyndham Vale currently within the Division of Lalor.
270. The proposed Division of Hawke adopts a boundary with the proposed Division of Lalor along Argoona Road, Ballan Road, and Greens Road, before following the Werribee River to rejoin the current boundary at the intersection of Dohertys and Springhill Roads.
271. This alteration will lead the proposed Division of Hawke to a net gain of 193 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 128,529 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 1.01 per cent.
272. The proposed Division of Hawke will consist of:
- part of the Brimbank City Council,
 - part of the Hume City Council,
 - part of the Melton City Council,
 - part of the Moorabool Shire Council, and
 - part of the Wyndham City Council.

58 This idea was raised by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S9 – PJ, S16 – Ben, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S42 – David Lumsden, S45 – Zoe Cook, S49 – Colin McLaren, S53 – Trent Wilson, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS1 – Colin McLaren, CS30 – Joshua F Solomon, CS39 – Yuan Lin and CS69 – Anonymous 4.

Proposed Division of Holt

273. The proposed Division of Holt shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Dunkley, Flinders, Isaacs and La Trobe.
274. Enrolment in the current Division of Holt on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 135,088 electors, which is more than the maximum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. A net reduction of at least 3,397 electors, or up to 12,303 electors, is therefore required for this electoral division to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
275. Ten suggestions to the redistribution and four comments on suggestions recommend that the Division of Holt gain electors from the neighbouring Division of Bruce.⁵⁹
276. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges these recommendations, and notes that the Division of Holt is required to transfer electors to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
277. The Division of Holt borders five electoral divisions, however, has limited scope to transfer electors. To the east, the Division of La Trobe is also required to transfer electors, while to the west, the Division of Isaacs is impacted by consequential changes following the proposed abolition of the Division of Higgins. The neighbouring Divisions of Dunkley and Flinders are required to gain electors, yet more logical alterations to their boundaries along Port Phillip Bay limit their capacity to gain additional electors.
278. Owing to these limitations, the Redistribution Committee proposes transferring 7,866 projected electors in the portion of the locality of Cranbourne North to the east of Narre Warren-Cranbourne Road to the proposed Division of Bruce, which needs to gain electors. This proposal allows both electoral divisions to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, while transferring a high growth area to the proposed Division of Bruce. Additionally, this change contracts the proposed Division of Holt toward Western Port Bay, catering to current and future growth in the localities of Clyde, Cranbourne South, and Devon Meadows.
279. Making these alterations will transfer 7,866 projected electors out of the Division of Holt. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 127,222 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 0.01 per cent.
280. The proposed Division of Holt will consist of:
 - part of the Casey City Council.

Proposed Division of Hotham

281. The proposed Division of Hotham shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Chisholm, Goldstein, Isaacs and Macnamara.
282. Enrolment in the current Division of Hotham on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 126,984 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Hotham can therefore gain up to 4,707 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 4,199 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

⁵⁹ This matter was supported by: S9 – PJ, S16 – Ben, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S49 – Colin McLaren, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS36 – Colin McLaren, CS39 – Yuan Lin and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

283. The Division of Hotham currently shares its northern boundary with the Divisions of Chisholm and Higgins. In proposing to abolish the latter electoral division, the Redistribution Committee proposes to move a substantial number of electors from the Division of Higgins to the proposed Divisions of Chisholm and Hotham:
- transferring 25,554 projected electors currently within the Division of Higgins to the proposed Division of Hotham in the localities of Carnegie, Malvern East, Murrumbeena, and Ormond. This proposal aligns with four suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions,⁶⁰ and
 - the proposed Division of Hotham adopting Dandenong Road and the Monash Freeway as its boundary with the proposed Division of Chisholm, which would lead to the proposed Division of Hotham gaining 10,142 projected electors in the localities of Chadstone, Clayton, Glen Waverley, Mount Waverley, Mulgrave, Notting Hill and Oakleigh.⁶¹
284. However, this proposed change will lead to the proposed Division of Hotham significantly exceeding the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes:
- moving the boundary between the Divisions of Goldstein and Hotham from Tucker Road to East Boundary Road and Centre Road, leading to the proposed transfer of 3,288 projected electors from the Division of Hotham to the proposed Division of Goldstein, and
 - moving the boundary between the Divisions of Hotham and Isaacs and the Divisions of Bruce and Hotham, leading to the proposed transfer of 29,439 projected electors in the localities of Keysborough, Noble Park, and Springvale South from the Division of Hotham to the proposed Division of Isaacs. Small sections of the localities of Clarinda, Clayton South and Dingley Village are included in this movement, however, do not contain any projected electors.
285. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Hotham to a net gain of 2,969 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 129,953 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 2.13 per cent.
286. The proposed Division of Hotham will consist of:
- part of the Glen Eira City Council,
 - part of the Greater Dandenong City Council,
 - part of the Kingston City Council,
 - part of the Monash City Council, and
 - part of the Stonnington City Council.

60 This matter was supported by: S16 – Ben, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson and CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division.

61 Twelve suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions propose the Division of Hotham gain all or part of the localities of Clayton, Mulgrave, and Notting Hill from the Division of Chisholm: S8 – Benjamin Close, S9 – PJ, S16 – Ben, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S37 – Punjabi Club of Victoria, S41 – Phillip Drake, S42 – David Lumsden, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria and CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division.

Proposed Division of Indi

287. The proposed Division of Indi shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Casey, Gippsland, McEwen, Monash and Nicholls.
288. Enrolment in the current Division of Indi on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 125,526 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Indi can therefore gain up to 6,165 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 2,741 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
289. In assessing the Division of Indi, the Redistribution Committee formed the view that altering its boundaries with neighbouring electoral divisions would not assist in balancing the numerical requirements in surrounding electoral divisions, nor would it lead to improved communities of interest.
290. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes no alteration to the existing boundaries of the Division of Indi.
291. The Redistribution Committee also notes eight suggestions and one comment on suggestions concerning the Division of Indi recommend the electoral division remains unchanged.⁶²
292. Projected enrolment for the proposed Division of Indi remains at 125,526 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 1.35 per cent.
293. The proposed Division of Indi will consist of:
- Alpine Shire Council,
 - Benalla Rural City Council,
 - Indigo Shire Council,
 - Mansfield Shire Council,
 - Murrindindi Shire Council,
 - Towong Shire Council,
 - Wangaratta Rural City Council,
 - Wodonga City Council,
 - part of the Strathbogie Shire Council,
 - part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council,
 - the unincorporated area of Falls Creek Alpine Resort,
 - the unincorporated area of Lake Mountain Alpine Resort,
 - the unincorporated area of Mount Buller Alpine Resort,
 - the unincorporated area of Mount Hotham Alpine Resort, and
 - the unincorporated area of Mount Stirling Alpine Resort.

62 This was argued by: S9 – PJ, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S27 – Anonymous 1, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S45 – Zoe Cook, S49 – Colin McLaren, S58 – Peter Mitchell, S62 – Luke Dixon and CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division.

Proposed Division of Isaacs

294. The proposed Division of Isaacs shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Dunkley, Goldstein, Holt and Hotham.
295. Enrolment in the current Division of Isaacs on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 120,165 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Isaacs must therefore gain at least 2,620 electors, or up to 11,526 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
296. Six suggestions to the redistribution and four comments on suggestions recommend the Division of Isaacs gain all or part of the localities of Keysborough, Noble Park, and Springvale from the Division of Hotham.⁶³
297. The Redistribution Committee concurs with these recommendations, proposing the northern boundary is retained along South Road and the Dingley Bypass, but altered to follow Heatherton Road to the Princes Highway. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Isaacs gain:
- 3,597 projected electors in the localities of Dandenong and Noble Park, currently within the Division of Bruce, adopting the Princes Highway and Lonsdale Street as a boundary, and
 - 29,439 projected electors in the localities of Keysborough, Noble Park and Springvale South, currently within the Division of Hotham. This change also results in the proposed Division of Isaacs gaining small sections of the Clarinda, Clayton South, and Dingley Village localities; however, these sections are not projected to contain electors.
298. The proposed boundary change between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and Isaacs offsets required elector gains in the Division of Bruce from its neighbouring Divisions of Holt and La Trobe.
299. The Redistribution Committee also proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the Division of Isaacs transfer:
- 19,149 projected electors to the proposed Division of Dunkley, including:
 - the localities of Bonbeach, Carrum and Patterson Lakes, and
 - part of the localities of Chelsea and Chelsea Heights, and
 - 9,206 projected electors to the proposed Division of Goldstein:
 - the section of the locality of Highett located in the current Division of Isaacs, and
 - part of the localities of Cheltenham and Moorabbin.
300. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Isaacs to a net gain of 4,681 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 124,846 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 1.88 per cent.
301. The proposed Division of Isaacs will consist of:
- part of the Greater Dandenong City Council, and
 - part of the Kingston City Council.

63 This matter was supported by: S16 – Ben, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S49 – Colin McLaren, S50 – Henry Rees, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S62 – Luke Dixon, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division, CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb, CS39 – Yuan Lin and CS72 – Australian Greens Victoria.

Proposed Division of Jagajaga

302. The proposed Division of Jagajaga shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Casey, Cooper, Kooyong, McEwen, Menzies and Scullin.
303. Enrolment in the current Division of Jagajaga on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 118,664 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Jagajaga must therefore gain at least 4,121 electors, or up to 13,027 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
304. Four suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions advocates the Division of Jagajaga gain the locality of North Warrandyte from the Division of Menzies.⁶⁴
305. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the merit of this idea, noting that this alteration would reinstate the Yarra River as a firm boundary between the proposed Divisions of Jagajaga and Menzies, returning to a similar boundary as implemented by prior Redistribution Committees. The proposed north-western boundary would extend along Plenty Road to the locality boundary between Bundoora and Mill Park. These proposed changes would reduce the number of electoral divisions containing electors within the Nillumbik Shire Council from four to three.
306. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Jagajaga gain:
- 2,181 projected electors in the locality of North Warrandyte, currently within the Division of Menzies, and
 - 2,306 projected electors from that part of the locality of Bundoora currently located within the Division of Scullin.
307. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Jagajaga to a net gain of 4,487 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 123,151 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 3.21 per cent.
308. The proposed Division of Jagajaga will consist of:
- Banyule City Council,
 - part of the Manningham City Council,
 - part of the Nillumbik Shire Council, and
 - part of the Whittlesea City Council.

Proposed Division of Kooyong

309. The proposed Division of Kooyong shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Chisholm, Cooper, Jagajaga, Macnamara, Melbourne and Menzies.
310. Enrolment in the current Division of Kooyong on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 121,455 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Kooyong must therefore gain at least 1,330 electors, or up to 10,236 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

⁶⁴ This matter was supported by: S41 – Phillip Drake, S49 – Colin McLaren, S53 – Trent Wilson, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, CS1 – Colin McLaren and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

311. The Division of Kooyong currently shares a boundary with the Division of Higgins, which the Redistribution Committee proposes to abolish. By transferring a portion of the electors in the Division of Higgins to the proposed Division of Kooyong, the Redistribution Committee will assist the latter electoral division to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes to transfer 31,340 projected electors in the localities of Armadale, Kooyong, Malvern, and Toorak, and part of the locality of Prahran from the Division of Higgins to the proposed Division of Kooyong.⁶⁵
312. Following this change, the proposed Division of Kooyong will exceed the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division to offset this gain such that the Division of Kooyong transfer:
- 7,247 projected electors to the proposed Division of Chisholm, including part of the localities of Camberwell and Glen Iris, and
 - 14,599 projected electors to the proposed Division of Menzies, including:
 - the localities of Mont Albert and Mont Albert North, and
 - parts of the localities of Balwyn, Balwyn North, and Surrey Hills.
313. With these proposed changes, the proposed Division of Kooyong no longer includes part of the Whitehorse City Council, reducing the number of electoral divisions present in this council from four to three.
314. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Kooyong to a net gain of 9,494 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 130,949 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 2.92 per cent.
315. The proposed Division of Kooyong will consist of:
- part of the Boroondara City Council,
 - part of the Stonnington City Council, and
 - part of the Yarra City Council.

Proposed Division of Lalor

316. The proposed Division of Lalor shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Corio, Gellibrand, Gorton and Hawke.
317. Enrolment in the current Division of Lalor on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 144,313 electors, which is more than the maximum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. A net reduction of at least 12,622 electors, or up to 21,528 electors, is therefore required for this electoral division to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
318. The Redistribution Committee notes that only one of the four electoral divisions bordering the Division of Lalor is outside the numerical parameters prescribed by the Electoral Act, with the Division of Corio required to gain electors. However, owing to the number of electors that need to be transferred out of the Division of Lalor, there will be unavoidable transfers of electors from the

65 S60 – Dr Charles Richardson proposes the Division of Kooyong expand south to gain electors in the Stonnington City Council from the Division of Higgins.

Division of Lalor to electoral divisions already within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. This will necessitate consequential changes to some of these neighbouring electoral divisions, which will themselves be required to undergo further changes to remain within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

319. Two suggestions to the redistribution advocate the Division of Lalor transfer all or part of the localities of Cocoroc, Little River, Mambourin and Werribee to the Division of Corio.⁶⁶
320. Nine suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions are in favour of the Division of Lalor transferring all or part of the localities of Point Cook, Truganina and Williams Landing to the Division of Gellibrand.⁶⁷
321. The Redistribution Committee considers these pragmatic solutions to reduce the number of electors within the Division of Lalor to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act while retaining communities of interest. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the Division of Lalor transfer:
 - 758 projected electors to the Division of Corio, including:
 - the localities of Cocoroc and Mambourin, and
 - part of the localities of Little River and Werribee,
 - 12,108 projected electors to the Division of Gellibrand, including:
 - the locality of Williams Landing, and
 - part of the localities of Point Cook and Truganina, and
 - 14 projected electors to the Division of Hawke, including
 - the localities of Eynesbury and Quandong, and
 - part of the localities of Manor Lakes and Wyndham Vale.
322. From these proposed changes, the locality of Little River is united within the proposed Division of Corio, the locality of Point Cook is united with the proposed Division of Gellibrand, and the locality of Eynesbury is united within the proposed Division of Hawke.
323. Making these alterations will transfer 12,880 projected electors out of the Division of Lalor. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 131,433 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 3.30 per cent.
324. The proposed Division of Lalor will consist of:
 - part of the Wyndham City Council.

Proposed Division of La Trobe

325. The proposed Division of La Trobe shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Casey, Holt and Monash.
326. Enrolment in the current Division of La Trobe on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 139,719 electors, which is more than the maximum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. A net reduction of at least 8,028 electors, or up to 16,934 electors, is therefore required for this electoral division to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

⁶⁶ This matter was supported by: S9 – PJ and S48 – David Beard.

⁶⁷ This matter was supported by: S5 – Roger Nye, S16 – Ben, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S53 – Trent Wilson, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS36 – Colin McLaren and CS62 – Dr Charles Richardson.

327. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges that the Division of La Trobe is required to transfer electors, and notes that two of its four neighbouring divisions, the Divisions of Bruce and Casey, are required to gain electors.
328. To meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Division of La Trobe must transfer a higher growth area that consists of low current enrolment together with high projected enrolment. The boundary between the Divisions of Casey and La Trobe largely spans lower growth areas, while the Division of Bruce has greater capacity to gain electors in the growth corridor along the Princes Freeway and Princes Highway.
329. Three suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions argue the Division of La Trobe transfer all or part of the localities of Berwick and Harkaway to the Division of Bruce.⁶⁸
330. The Redistribution Committee views this as a suitable solution to reduce the number of electors within the Division of La Trobe, and proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the Division of La Trobe transfer 8,250 projected electors to the proposed Division of Bruce in the localities of Beaconsfield, Berwick, and Harkaway. Additionally, this recommendation reduces the section of Casey City Council housed within the proposed Division of La Trobe, allowing the electoral division to remain centred on Cardinia Shire Council and for the locality of Harkaway to be united in one electoral division.
331. Making these alterations will transfer 8,250 projected electors out of the Division of La Trobe. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 131,469 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 3.33 per cent.
332. The proposed Division of La Trobe will consist of:
- part of the Cardinia Shire Council,
 - part of the Casey City Council, and
 - part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council.

Proposed Division of Macnamara

333. The proposed Division of Macnamara shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Chisholm, Fraser, Gellibrand, Goldstein, Hotham, Kooyong and Melbourne.
334. Enrolment in the current Division of Macnamara on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 122,119 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Macnamara must therefore gain at least 666, or up to 9,572 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
335. The Division of Macnamara shares a portion of its current electoral division boundary with the Division of Higgins, which the Redistribution Committee proposes to abolish. As a consequence of this abolition, the Redistribution Committee proposes transferring 5,251 projected electors in the locality of Windsor from the existing Division of Higgins to the proposed Division of Macnamara.⁶⁹ This change unites the locality of Windsor within the proposed Division of Macnamara, with the proposed electoral division boundary moved north to follow High Street instead of Dandenong Road and east to Williams Road instead of Punt Road.

68 This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S43 – Louis Desribes and CS4 – Benjamin Close.

69 This matter was supported by: S3 – Fabio Scalia, S8 – Benjamin Close, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S53 – Trent Wilson, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

336. With this change, the proposed Division of Macnamara meets the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act. However, to ensure adjacent electoral divisions also meet these requirements, the Redistribution Committee proposes transferring 4,424 projected electors in the localities of Melbourne and South Yarra to the proposed Division of Melbourne.
337. This change alters the boundary between these electoral divisions to follow St Kilda Road and High Street, uniting a larger section of the locality of Melbourne within the proposed Division of Melbourne. Additionally, this unites the Royal Botanic Gardens with Yarra Park. St Kilda Road provides a strongly identifiable boundary, while major pedestrian and transport corridors connect electors on either side of the Yarra River.
338. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Macnamara to a net gain of 827 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 122,946 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 3.37 per cent.
339. The proposed Division of Macnamara will consist of:
 - Port Phillip City Council,
 - part of the Glen Eira City Council,
 - part of the Melbourne City Council, and
 - part of the Stonnington City Council.

Proposed Division of Mallee

340. The proposed Division of Mallee shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Bendigo, Nicholls and Wannon.
341. Enrolment in the current Division of Mallee on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 125,051 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Mallee can therefore gain up to 6,640 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 2,266 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
342. In assessing the Division of Mallee, the Redistribution Committee formed the view that altering its boundaries with neighbouring electoral divisions would not assist in balancing the numerical requirements in surrounding electoral divisions, nor would it lead to improved communities of interest.
343. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes no alteration to the existing boundaries of the Division of Mallee.
344. The Redistribution Committee also notes seven suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions concerning the Division of Mallee recommend the electoral division remains unchanged.⁷⁰
345. Projected enrolment for the proposed Division of Mallee remains at 125,051 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 1.72 per cent.

⁷⁰ This matter was supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S58 – Peter Mitchell, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division and CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb.

346. The proposed Division of Mallee will consist of:
- Buloke Shire Council,
 - Central Goldfields Shire Council,
 - Gannawarra Shire Council,
 - Hindmarsh Shire Council,
 - Horsham Rural City Council,
 - Loddon Shire Council,
 - Mildura Rural City Council,
 - Swan Hill Rural City Council,
 - West Wimmera Shire Council,
 - Yarriambiack Shire Council,
 - part of the Northern Grampians Shire Council, and
 - part of the Pyrenees Shire Council.

Proposed Division of Maribyrnong

347. The proposed Division of Maribyrnong shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Calwell, Fraser, Gorton, Hawke, Melbourne and Wills.
348. Enrolment in the current Division of Maribyrnong on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 115,904 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Maribyrnong must therefore gain at least 6,881 electors, or up to 15,787 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
349. One comment on suggestions to the redistribution recommends that Moonee Valley City Council remain entirely within the Division of Maribyrnong.⁷¹ A further comment on suggestions recommends that the Division of Maribyrnong not expand further into the Melbourne City Council.⁷²
350. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the merits of these recommendations and does not see benefit to communities of interest by extending the boundaries of the electoral division further into Melbourne City Council. Recognising the need to gain electors, the Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Maribyrnong gain:
- 9,942 projected electors from Merri-bek City Council, including parts of the localities of Brunswick West, Glenroy, Oak Park, Pascoe Vale and Pascoe Vale South, currently within the Division of Wills.
351. To establish a more readily identifiable electoral division boundary, the Redistribution Committee proposes that Citylink and Pascoe Vale Road be adopted as the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Maribyrnong and Wills. Through this change, the proposed Division of Maribyrnong remains centred on the Moonee Valley City Council; however, it transfers small sections of the localities of Essendon, Moonee Ponds and Strathmore to the proposed Division of Wills, which are within this council. This action consolidates the boundary along main roads and does not result in any electors transferring electoral divisions.

⁷¹ This matter was supported by: CS43 – Katrina Hodgson.

⁷² This matter was supported by: CS21 – Cathy Bates.

352. Additionally, the Redistribution Committee proposes adjusting the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Maribyrnong and Melbourne, continuing south along Citylink. This proposed change transfers small sections of the localities of Flemington and Travancore to the proposed Division of Melbourne, situated within the Moonee Valley City Council, while the proposed Division of Maribyrnong gains a small part of the locality of Parkville. This proposed boundary change does not result in any electors transferring divisions.
353. The Redistribution Committee also proposes consolidating the proposed Division of Maribyrnong to strengthen its representation as an Inner Metropolitan division. This is achieved by transferring 87 projected electors in the localities of Keilor, Keilor Park, Melbourne Airport and Tullamarine to the proposed Division of Hawke.
354. The adopted boundary follows the Calder Freeway to follow Keilor Park Drive, Sharps Road, and Airport Drive where it joins the existing boundary at the Tullamarine Freeway.
355. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Maribyrnong to a net gain of 9,855 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 125,759 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 1.16 per cent.
356. The proposed Division of Maribyrnong will consist of:
- part of the Brimbank City Council,
 - part of the Hume City Council,
 - part of the Melbourne City Council,
 - part of the Merri-bek City Council, and
 - part of the Moonee Valley City Council.

Proposed Division of McEwen

357. The proposed Division of McEwen shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Bendigo, Calwell, Casey, Hawke, Indi, Jagajaga, Nicholls and Scullin.
358. Enrolment in the current Division of McEwen on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 135,348 electors, which is more than the maximum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. A net reduction of at least 3,657 electors, or up to 12,563 electors, is therefore required for this electoral division to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
359. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges that the Division of McEwen has experienced high enrolment growth in recent years, which has resulted in the geographic area of the electoral division contracting over successive redistributions. This continued growth in the number of electors requires the electoral division to transfer electors to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. Sharing boundaries with ten electoral divisions, six of which are required to gain electors, the Redistribution Committee considered several methods for the Division of McEwen to meet these requirements.
360. The neighbouring Division of Nicholls is required to gain electors, and the Division of McEwen is its only adjacent division requiring a net transfer. Consequently, the Redistribution Committee proposes the Division of McEwen transfer 8,354 projected electors to the proposed Division of Nicholls in the localities of Forbes, Kilmore, Kilmore East, Moranding, and Willowmavin. This change allows the proposed Division of Nicholls to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, while reducing the section of the Mitchell Shire Council within the Division of McEwen.

361. To assist the neighbouring Divisions of Casey and Scullin also meet numerical requirements, the Redistribution Committee proposes transferring:
- 1,589 projected electors from Nillumbik Shire Council, including the localities of Bend of Islands, Christmas Hills, Smiths Gully, and part of the localities of Kinglake, Panton Hill, and St Andrews, to the proposed Division of Casey, and
 - 4,635 projected electors from Whittlesea City Council, including part of the localities of Mernda and Wollert, to the proposed Division of Scullin.
362. These changes to the Division of McEwen, along its south-eastern boundaries, position it to become more focused on the outer-north growth corridor and its more rural sections along major freeways. However, with these changes, the Division of McEwen falls below the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
363. Four suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions recommend the Division of McEwen gain all or part of the localities of Craigieburn and Kalkallo from the Division of Calwell.⁷³ The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the logic of these recommendations, and proposes altering the boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of McEwen gain 7,633 projected electors from the Division of Calwell in:
- the locality of Kalkallo, and
 - the section of the locality of Craigieburn located to the east of the Hume Highway and north of Craigieburn Road.
364. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of McEwen to a net loss of 6,945 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 128,403 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 0.92 per cent.
365. The proposed Division of McEwen will consist of:
- part of the Hume City Council,
 - part of the Macedon Ranges Shire Council,
 - part of the Mitchell Shire Council,
 - part of the Nillumbik Shire Council, and
 - part of the Whittlesea City Council.

Proposed Division of Melbourne

366. The proposed Division of Melbourne shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Cooper, Fraser, Kooyong, Macnamara, Maribyrnong and Wills.
367. Enrolment in the current Division of Melbourne on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 128,869 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Melbourne can therefore gain up to 2,822 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 6,084 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.

⁷³ This matter is supported by: S35 – Jeff Waddell, S41 – Phillip Drake, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S63 – Anonymous 3 and CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division.

368. With the adjacent Division of Higgins proposed for abolition, the Redistribution Committee proposes transferring 19,727 projected electors currently within the Division of Higgins in the locality of Prahran and that portion of the locality of South Yarra located to the east of Punt Road to the proposed Division of Melbourne. This aligns with one comment on suggestions to the redistribution, with the Division of Melbourne crossing the Yarra River.⁷⁴
369. To enhance communities of interest, the Redistribution Committee proposes transferring 4,424 projected electors in part of the locality of Melbourne and that portion of the locality of South Yarra to the west of Punt Road from the Division of Macnamara into the proposed Division of Melbourne.⁷⁵ This unites the locality of South Yarra within the proposed Division of Melbourne and places a larger section of the locality of Melbourne within the proposed electoral division. This will also see an alteration to the proposed electoral division boundary to follow the major arterial road of St Kilda Road, together with High Street and Williams Road.
370. As a result of these two proposals, the proposed Division of Melbourne will exceed the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act.
371. To meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee therefore proposes transferring:
- 5,697 projected electors from the locality of Clifton Hill to the proposed Division of Cooper, and
 - 20,804 projected electors from the localities of Brunswick East, Carlton North, Fitzroy North, and Princes Hill to the proposed Division of Wills.
372. Additionally, the Redistribution Committee proposes adjusting the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Maribyrnong and Melbourne to follow Citylink, providing a more strongly identifiable electoral division boundary. Consequently, the proposed Division of Melbourne gains a small section of Moonee Valley City Council in the localities of Flemington and Travancore, while transferring a small section of Parkville. This action places the electoral division boundary along a major road and does not result in any projected electors transferring electoral divisions.
373. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Melbourne to a net loss of 2,350 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 126,519 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 0.57 per cent.
374. The proposed Division of Melbourne will consist of:
- part of the Melbourne City Council,
 - part of the Merri-bek City Council,
 - part of the Moonee Valley City Council,
 - part of the Stonnington City Council, and
 - part of the Yarra City Council.

⁷⁴ This matter was supported by: CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb.

⁷⁵ This matter is supported by: S16 – Ben, S27 – Anonymous 1, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S49 – Colin McLaren, S50 – Henry Rees, CS1 – Colin McLaren, CS8 – Crew Charleigh, CS36 – Colin McLaren and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

Proposed Division of Menzies

375. The proposed Division of Menzies shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Casey, Chisholm, Deakin, Jagajaga and Kooyong.
376. Enrolment in the current Division of Menzies on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 120,222 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Menzies must therefore gain at least 2,563 electors or up to 11,469 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
377. The Division of Menzies shares a boundary with two of the electoral divisions which are proposed to undergo substantial changes due to the proposed abolition of the Division of Higgins. As a result, the Redistribution Committee proposes the proposed Division of Menzies gain:
- 19,543 projected electors from the locality of Box Hill and part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn South, and Box Hill South currently within the Division of Chisholm, and
 - 14,599 projected electors from the localities of Mont Albert and Mont Albert North and part of the localities of Balwyn, Balwyn North, and Surrey Hills from the Division of Kooyong.
378. These changes would unite the localities of Box Hill, Mont Albert, and Mont Albert North, each of which is currently split across two electoral divisions, within one electoral division.⁷⁶
379. These changes would also provide a stepped boundary between the proposed Divisions of Kooyong and Menzies, following Warrigal Road north, before deviating along Canterbury Road, Union Road, Belmore Road, Greythorn Road, and Doncaster Road to rejoin its existing boundary at the Eastern Freeway.
380. As these changes would result in the proposed Division of Menzies exceeding the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring:
- 2,390 projected electors from the locality of Wonga Park to the proposed Division of Casey. This would assist the proposed Division of Casey to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act and would allow for a greater portion of the locality to be located in one electoral division,
 - 20,933 projected electors from the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Donvale, Mitcham, Nunawading, Park Orchards, Ringwood North, and Warrandyte South to the proposed Division of Deakin. This would assist the proposed Division of Deakin to meet the numerical parameters, allow for the unification of several localities in the one electoral division and provide for enhanced communities of interest, and
 - 2,181 projected electors from the locality of North Warrandyte to the Division of Jagajaga. This would assist the proposed Division of Jagajaga to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act and with these changes, the Division of Menzies no longer includes part of the Nillumbik Shire Council, reducing the number of electoral divisions present in this council from four to three.

76 Those recommending the Division of Menzies gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Blackburn South, Box Hill and Box Hill South from the Division of Chisholm: S16 – Ben, S41 – Phillip Drake, S42 – David Lumsden, S48 – Mr William Ma, S50 – Henry Rees, S53 – Trent Wilson, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS30 – Joshua F Solomon and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

Those recommending the Division of Menzies gain all or part of the localities of Balwyn, Balwyn North, Mont Albert, Mont Albert North and Surrey Hills from the Division of Kooyong: S16 – Ben, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S63 – Anonymous 3 and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

381. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Menzies to a net gain of 8,638 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 128,860 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 1.27 per cent.
382. The proposed Division of Menzies will consist of:
- part of the Boroondara City Council,
 - part of the Manningham City Council, and
 - part of the Whitehorse City Council.

Proposed Division of Monash

383. The proposed Division of Monash shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Casey, Gippsland, Indi and La Trobe.
384. Enrolment in the current Division of Monash on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 127,031 electors, which is within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Monash can therefore gain up to 4,660 electors from other electoral divisions, or transfer up to 4,246 electors to other electoral divisions, and remain within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
385. In assessing the Division of Monash, the Redistribution Committee formed the view that altering its boundaries with neighbouring electoral divisions would not assist in balancing the numerical requirements in surrounding electoral divisions, nor would it lead to improved communities of interest.
386. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes no alteration to the boundaries of the Division of Monash.
387. The Redistribution Committee also notes four suggestions to the redistribution and two comments on suggestions concerning the Division of Monash recommend the electoral division remains unchanged.⁷⁷
388. Projected enrolment for the proposed Division of Monash remains at 127,031 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 0.16 per cent.
389. The proposed Division of Monash will consist of:
- Bass Coast Shire Council,
 - South Gippsland Shire Council,
 - part of the Baw Baw Shire Council,
 - part of the Cardinia Shire Council,
 - part of the Latrobe City Council,
 - part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council, and
 - the unincorporated area of Mount Baw Baw Alpine Resort.

⁷⁷ This matter is supported by: S6 – Martin Gordon, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division and CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb.

Proposed Division of Nicholls

390. The proposed Division of Nicholls shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Bendigo, Indi, Mallee and McEwen.
391. Enrolment in the current Division of Nicholls on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 120,106 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Nicholls must therefore gain at least 2,679 electors or up to 11,585 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
392. The larger part of the boundary of the Division of Nicholls is shared with electoral divisions which meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Divisions of Indi and Mallee, or need to gain electors, the Division of Bendigo. The remaining portion of the boundary is shared with the Division of McEwen, which needs to transfer electors to another electoral division if it is to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
393. The Redistribution Committee therefore proposes to address the issues of the Divisions of McEwen and Nicholls by shifting a portion of the boundary between the two electoral divisions. Doing so will allow for the movement of 8,354 projected electors in the localities of Forbes, Kilmore, Kilmore East, Moranding, and Willowmavin to the proposed Division of Nicholls from the Division of McEwen.
394. This movement is consistent with the eight suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions which recommend the Division of Nicholls gain all or part of the localities of Forbes, Kilmore, Kilmore East, Moranding, and Willowmavin from the Division of McEwen.⁷⁸
395. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 897 projected electors in the localities of Nulla Vale, Pyalong and Tooborac to the proposed Division of Bendigo.
396. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Nicholls to a net gain of 7,457 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 127,563 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 0.26 per cent.
397. The proposed Division of Nicholls will consist of:
- Campaspe Shire Council,
 - Greater Shepparton City Council,
 - Moira Shire Council,
 - part of the Mitchell Shire Council, and
 - part of the Strathbogie Shire Council.

78 This matter is supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S16 – Ben, S41 – Phillip Drake, S42 – David Lumsden, S45 – Zoe Cook, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S58 – Peter Mitchell, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria and CS30 – Joshua F Solomon.

Proposed Division of Scullin

398. The proposed Division of Scullin shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Calwell, Cooper, Jagajaga, McEwen and Wills.
399. Enrolment in the current Division of Scullin on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 121,829 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Scullin must therefore gain at least 956 electors, or up to 9,862 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
400. Thirteen suggestions to the redistribution and one comment on suggestions recommend the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the localities of Mernda and Wollert from the Division of McEwen.⁷⁹
401. The Redistribution Committee notes the logic of this recommendation, which transfers a high growth area from a division with higher projected enrolment, to one with lower projected enrolment. Similarly, the Redistribution Committee notes the Division of Scullin also shares a boundary with the Division of Calwell, which, similarly to the Division of McEwen, has high projected enrolment.
402. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the current boundary of the electoral division such that the proposed Division of Scullin gain:
 - 2,444 projected electors from the Division of Calwell:
 - part of the localities of Campbellfield, Craigieburn, Fawkner and Somerton, and
 - 4,635 projected electors from Whittlesea City Council, including part of the localities of Mernda and Wollert, from the Division of McEwen.
403. This proposed change alters the boundaries of the electoral division, adopting a boundary along the Hume Highway and Sydney Road with the proposed Division of Calwell, and Bindts Road and Bridge Inn Road with the proposed Division of McEwen.
404. The Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring 2,306 projected electors in the locality of Bundoora to the proposed Division of Jagajaga.
405. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Scullin to a net gain of 4,773 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 126,602 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 0.50 per cent.
406. The proposed Division of Scullin will consist of:
 - part of the Hume City Council, and
 - part of the Whittlesea City Council.

79 This matter is supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S25 – Darren McSweeney, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S36 – D.G. Clarke, S41 – Phillip Drake, S42 – David Lumsden, S44 – Jarrod Lappin, S49 – Colin McLaren, S53 – Trent Wilson, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon and CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division.

Proposed Division of Wannon

407. The proposed Division of Wannon shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Corangamite, Corio and Mallee.
408. Enrolment in the current Division of Wannon on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 119,402 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Wannon must therefore gain at least 3,383 electors, or up to 12,289 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
409. The Division of Wannon is restricted as to where it can gain electors, as it borders South Australia and the Southern Ocean. Of the three electoral divisions it shared a boundary with at the commencement of this redistribution, the Divisions of Ballarat and Mallee meet the numerical requirements while the Division of Corangamite needs to reduce its number of electors.
410. The Redistribution Committee proposes altering the existing boundaries of the Division of Wannon such that it gains 4,355 projected electors from the Division of Corangamite, including:
- 1,230 projected electors from the Golden Plains Shire Council:
 - the locality of Hesse, and
 - those portions of the localities of Inverleigh and Wingeel located in the Golden Plains Shire Council, and
 - 3,125 projected electors from the Surf Coast Shire Council:
 - the localities of Barrabool, Gherang, Gnarwarre, Modewarre, Moriac, Mount Moriac, Paraparap, and Wurdiboluc, and
 - parts of the localities of Bellbrae, Buckley, Freshwater Creek and Inverleigh.
411. Six suggestions to the redistribution advocated for the Division of Wannon to gain electors from the Division of Corangamite, including parts of the Golden Plains Shire Council and the Surf Coast Shire Council.⁸⁰
412. The northern locality borders of Hesse, Inverleigh and Wingeel become the proposed boundary between the proposed Division of Wannon and the proposed Division of Ballarat. Changes which are proposed to be made between the Divisions of Ballarat and Corio will see the proposed Division of Wannon share a boundary with the proposed Division of Corio, which will follow the northern boundary of the Surf Coast Shire Council. These proposed changes reflect the ongoing contraction in the geographic area covered by the Division of Corangamite.
413. The proposed electoral division extends its existing boundary with the Division of Corangamite from Hurst Road to follow the Great Ocean Road to the locality of Bellbrae. From the north of Bellbrae, it follows Anglesea Road to meet and follow the border of the Surf Coast Shire Council. Overall, this reflects a readily identifiable boundary between the proposed Divisions of Corangamite and Wannon. The only deviation from main roads and council boundaries is to allow for the entirety of the township of Bellbrae to be incorporated into the proposed Division of Wannon rather than be split across two proposed electoral divisions, thereby enhancing communities of interest.

80 This matter is supported by: S8 – Benjamin Close, S27 – Anonymous 1, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S58 – Peter Mitchell, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson and S63 – Anonymous 3.

414. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Wannon to a net gain of 4,355 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 123,757 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of minus 2.74 per cent.
415. The proposed Division of Wannon will consist of:
- Ararat Rural City Council,
 - Colac Otway Shire Council,
 - Corangamite Shire Council,
 - Glenelg Shire Council,
 - Moyne Shire Council,
 - Southern Grampians Shire Council,
 - Warrnambool City Council,
 - part of the Golden Plains Shire Council,
 - part of the Northern Grampians Shire Council,
 - part of the Pyrenees Shire Council, and
 - part of the Surf Coast Shire Council.

Proposed Division of Wills

416. The proposed Division of Wills shares boundaries with the proposed Divisions of Calwell, Cooper, Maribyrnong, Melbourne and Scullin.
417. Enrolment in the current Division of Wills on Monday 17 April 2028 is projected to be 119,582 electors, which is less than the minimum number of projected electors required by the Electoral Act. The Division of Wills must therefore gain at least 3,203 electors, or up to 12,109 electors, for it to fall within the permissible range for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time.
418. The Redistribution Committee acknowledges the need for the Division of Wills to gain electors to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. However, it notes that three neighbouring divisions, the Divisions of Cooper, Maribyrnong, and Melbourne, are also required to gain electors.
419. As a consequence of the proposed abolition of the Division of Higgins, the proposed Division of Melbourne is likely to experience an influx of electors, which the Redistribution Committee proposes to address by altering the boundaries of the proposed Division of Wills such that it gains:
- 20,804 projected electors from the Division of Melbourne:
 - the localities of Carlton North and Princes Hill, and
 - those parts of Brunswick East and Fitzroy North located to the south of Glenlyon Road and Alister Street.
420. This proposed change results in the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Cooper and Wills extending along Merri Creek to Queens Parade, from where it follows the locality boundary separating Fitzroy North and Clifton Hill along Smith Street. The boundary between the proposed Divisions of Wills and Melbourne follows along Alexandra Parade and Princes Street, and then along Cemetery Road East, College Crescent, and Cemetery Road West to Royal Parade.

421. These changes are consistent with the 12 suggestions to the redistribution and four comments on suggestions which supported the Division of Wills gaining electors from the Division of Melbourne.⁸¹
422. As the proposed gains from the Division of Melbourne would place the proposed Division of Wills outside of the numerical parameters of the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee also proposes transferring:
- 9,942 projected electors to the proposed Division of Maribyrnong, including parts of the localities of Brunswick West, Glenroy, Oak Park, Pascoe Vale, and Pascoe Vale South. This change moves the proposed boundary east from the Moonee Ponds Creek to instead follow Citylink and Pascoe Vale Road.
423. Because of this proposed change, the proposed Division of Wills would also gain small sections of the Moonee Valley City Council from the Division of Maribyrnong, including part of the localities of Essendon, Moonee Ponds, and Strathmore; however, these sections do not contain any electors.
424. Making these alterations will lead the proposed Division of Wills to a net gain of 10,862 projected electors. This results in a projected enrolment for the proposed electoral division of 130,444 electors at Monday 17 April 2028, or a variation from the projected enrolment quota of plus 2.52 per cent.
425. The proposed Division of Wills will consist of:
- part of the Melbourne City Council,
 - part of the Merri-bek City Council,
 - part of the Moonee Valley City Council, and
 - part of the Yarra City Council.

81 This matter is supported by: S16 – Ben, S27 – Anonymous 1, S32 – Dr Mark Mulcair, S35 – Jeff Waddell, S42 – David Lumsden, S49 – Colin McLaren, S53 – Trent Wilson, S57 – Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch, S60 – Dr Charles Richardson, S61 – Australian Greens Victoria, S62 – Luke Dixon, S63 – Anonymous 3, CS1 – Colin McLaren, CS2 – Liberal Party of Australia – Victoria, CS14 – Yaron Gottlieb and CS39 – Yuan Lin.

Chapter 3

What's next?

This chapter outlines the legislative requirements following the release of the proposed redistribution, through to the final determination of the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in Victoria.

426. This report sets out the Redistribution Committee's proposed names and boundaries of electoral divisions for Victoria, together with the Redistribution Committee's reasons for this proposed redistribution. Interested individuals and organisations are able to consider this proposal and provide their thoughts prior to the final determination of electoral division boundaries and names by the augmented Electoral Commission on Thursday 17 October 2024.
427. Appendix M sets out the timetable for the remainder of this redistribution.

Invitation for objections

428. Written objections to any aspect of the proposed redistribution must be lodged with the Electoral Commission by 6pm AEST on Friday 28 June 2024.⁸² Any objections received after this time will not be able to be considered.

What can objections be about?

429. Objections may concern any aspect of the Redistribution Committee's proposal and may refer to one or more proposed electoral divisions. Objections may be about:
 - the proposed names of electoral divisions,
 - the proposed boundaries of electoral divisions, or
 - the proposed names and proposed boundaries of electoral divisions.
430. Objections can support or disagree with the Redistribution Committee's proposal.

Invitation to provide comments on objections

431. All objections received will be made available for public inspection from Monday 1 July 2024 on the AEC website and at the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria in Melbourne.⁸³
432. Interested individuals and organisations can then lodge written comments on the objections with the Electoral Commission up until 6pm AEST on Friday 12 July 2024.⁸⁴ Comments received after this time will not be able to be considered.

⁸² Paragraph 68(2)(a) of the Electoral Act requires written objections to be lodged with the Electoral Commission before 6pm on the 4th Friday after publication of the notice in the Gazette inviting written objections.

⁸³ Sub-sections 69(2) and 69(5) of the Electoral Act require copies of the objections lodged prior to the lodgement time to be made available for perusal in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria on the 5th Monday after publication of the invitation in the Gazette.

⁸⁴ Paragraph 68(2)(b) of the Electoral Act requires written comments on objections to be lodged with the Electoral Commission before 6pm on the 6th Friday after publication of the invitation in the Gazette.

433. All comments received will be made available for public inspection from Monday 15 July 2024 on the AEC website and at the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria in Melbourne.⁸⁵

What can comments on objections be about?

434. Comments on objections may concern any topic raised in objections to the Redistribution Committee’s proposal and may refer to one or more proposed electoral divisions. Comments on objections may be about:
- one or more objections to the Redistribution Committee’s proposal,
 - the proposed names of electoral divisions,
 - the proposed boundaries of electoral divisions, or
 - the proposed names and proposed boundaries of electoral divisions.
435. Comments on objections can support or disagree with objections to the proposed redistribution.

Who considers objections and comments on objections?

436. Written objections and comments on objections are considered by the augmented Electoral Commission.⁸⁶ The membership of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria is outlined in Table N.

Table N: Membership of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria

Position on the augmented Electoral Commission	Name	Basis for membership
Chairperson	The Hon. Ms Susan Kenny <small>AM KC</small>	Chairperson of the Electoral Commission
Member	Dr David Gruen <small>AO</small>	non-judicial member of the Electoral Commission
Member	Mr Tom Rogers	Electoral Commissioner
Member	Mr Aneurin Coffey	Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria
Member	Mr Craig Sandy <small>LS</small>	Surveyor-General of Victoria
Member	Mr Andrew Greaves	Auditor-General for Victoria

Note: Shading indicates the members of the Redistribution Committee (chaired by Mr Rogers).

The augmented Electoral Commission is responsible for:

- considering all objections to the Redistribution Committee’s proposed redistribution and all comments on objections which were received by the specified lodgement times,
- developing a proposed redistribution of Victoria in accordance with the requirements of the Electoral Act,
- conducting an inquiry into objections, should one be required,

85 Sub-sections 69(4) and 69(5) of the Electoral Act require copies of the objections lodged prior to the lodgement time to be made available for perusal in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria on the 7th Monday after publication of the invitation in the Gazette.

86 Sub-section 70(1) of the Electoral Act requires that, for the purposes of a redistribution of Victoria, there is established an augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria. The membership of the augmented Electoral Commission is specified by sub-section 70(2) of the Electoral Act.

- determining the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in Victoria, and
- making the reasons for the augmented Electoral Commission's determination available for public perusal.

437. As part of its considerations, the augmented Electoral Commission may hold an inquiry into any objection or comment on objection.⁸⁷

The second redistribution proposal

438. At the conclusion of its considerations, the augmented Electoral Commission will announce its own proposed redistribution.⁸⁸ If the augmented Electoral Commission considers that this proposal is significantly different from the Redistribution Committee's proposal, the augmented Electoral Commission will invite further objections.⁸⁹ Advice will be provided should this prove necessary.

What factors will the augmented Electoral Commission consider when making its proposed redistribution of Victoria?

439. The Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to comply with the following factors when making its proposed redistribution:

- Victoria is to be divided into the same number of electoral divisions as the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen in Victoria at a general election⁹⁰
 - As Victoria is entitled to 38 members of House of Representatives, as determined by the Electoral Commissioner on Thursday 27 July 2023, the augmented Electoral Commission will propose 38 electoral divisions for Victoria.
- consideration of all objections and comments on objections received by the lodgement time⁹¹
 - All written objections received by 6pm AEST Friday 28 June 2024 and all written comments on objections received by 6pm AEST Friday 12 July 2024 will be considered by the augmented Electoral Commission in the development of its proposed redistribution.
- as far as practicable, the number of electors enrolled in each electoral division in Victoria at the projection time would not be more than plus 3.5 per cent, or less than minus 3.5 per cent, of the projected enrolment quota⁹²
 - As far as practicable, the number of electors enrolled in each electoral division in Victoria at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028 must be between 122,785 and 131,691.

87 Sub-section 72(3) requires the augmented Electoral Commission to hold an inquiry into an objection under certain circumstances. The manner in which inquiries into objections is to be conducted is specified in sub-sections 72(4) to 72(9) of the Electoral Act.

88 Once its inquiries into objections are completed, sub-section 72(10) of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to make a proposed redistribution of the Victoria and make a public announcement.

89 Sub-section 72(13) of the Electoral Act outlines the requirements for the further objections process.

90 This is required by sub-section 73(3) of the Electoral Act.

91 Sub-section 72(1) of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to consider all objections and comments on objections.

92 This is required by paragraph 66(3)(a) of the Electoral Act.

- the number of electors enrolled in each electoral division in Victoria would not be more than plus 10 per cent, or less than minus 10 per cent, of the redistribution quota⁹³
- The number of electors enrolled in each electoral division in Victoria must be between 105,205 and 128,583.
- in relation to each proposed electoral division, give due consideration to:⁹⁴
 - i. community of interests within the proposed electoral division, including economic, social and regional interests
 - ii. means of communication and travel within the proposed electoral division
 - iv. the physical features and area of the proposed electoral division, and
 - v. the boundaries of existing electoral divisions in Victoria, with this factor being subordinate to the consideration i, ii and iv.⁹⁵

Final determination of boundaries and names for electoral divisions

440. The augmented Electoral Commission will make a final determination of boundaries and names of the electoral divisions for Victoria by notice published in the Gazette on Thursday 17 October 2024.⁹⁶
441. Copies of the augmented Electoral Commission's determination and reasons for that determination, together with the work of the Redistribution Committee, will be tabled in both houses of the Parliament of Australia.⁹⁷ Once this has occurred, this material will be made available to the public via the AEC website.

How to lodge an objection or comment on an objection

442. Objections and comments on objections should be lodged via the AEC website at www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution. Objections and comments on objections can also be submitted:
 - by email to: FedRedistribution-VIC@aec.gov.au
 - by mail to: Australian Electoral Commission (Attn: Victorian Redistribution Secretariat), Locked Bag 4007, Canberra ACT 2601
 - by fax to: (02) 6215 9970

⁹³ This is required by sub-section 66(3) of the Electoral Act.

⁹⁴ These requirements are specified in paragraph 66(3)(b) of the Electoral Act.

⁹⁵ The requirement for sub-paragraph 66(3)(b)(v) to be subordinate is specified in sub-section 66(3A) of the Electoral Act.

⁹⁶ In accordance with sub-section 73(1) of the Electoral Act, the names and boundaries of electoral divisions are determined when the augmented Electoral Commission publishes a notice in the Gazette.

⁹⁷ As soon as practicable after the determination of the redistribution, sub-section 75(1) of the Electoral Act requires specified information produced during the redistribution to be provided to the Minister. Sub-section 75(2) requires this material to be laid before each House of the Parliament within five sitting days of that House after a copy has been provided to the Minister.

Further information

443. A wide range of information is available on the AEC's website, including:

- Further information about the federal redistribution process in Victoria, including an indicative timetable and background information – www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution
- Information about current electoral divisions in the Victoria – www.aec.gov.au/profiles
- Guidelines for making a public submission – www.aec.gov.au/redistributions/guidelines/submission-guidelines.html
- Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions – www.aec.gov.au/redistributions/guidelines/naming-guidelines.html
- The legal requirements for a federal redistribution as outlined in Part IV of the Electoral Act – www.aec.gov.au/Elections/australian_electoral_system/Electoral_Legislation.htm
- General information about the redistribution process – www.aec.gov.au/redistribution

Appendices

Appendix A: Summary of compliance with legislative requirements

Provision of the Electoral Act	Requirement	Compliance
para 59(2)(a)	Basis for conducting redistribution	The Electoral Commissioner determined on Thursday 27 July 2023 that the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen by Victoria at a general election had decreased from 39 to 38
ss.59(1)	Direction to commence redistribution via notice published in the Gazette	Gazette notice published on Wednesday 9 August 2023
ss.63A(2)	Projection time for equality of enrolments	The Electoral Commission noted on Monday 7 August 2023 that the projection time is Monday 17 April 2028
ss.65(1)	Determination of redistribution quota by written instrument	The Electoral Commissioner determined the quota by signing the written instrument on Friday 11 August 2023
ss.60(1)	Appointment of the Redistribution Committee by written instrument	The Electoral Commission signed the written instrument on Monday 23 October 2023
ss.64(1) and 64(2)	Invitation to make written suggestions and written comments on suggestions	Gazette notice published on Wednesday 25 October 2023 Newspaper notices were published in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the <i>Age</i>, <i>Herald Sun</i> and <i>Weekend Australian</i> on Saturday 28 October 2023; and • the <i>Koori Mail</i> and <i>Weekly Times</i> on Wednesday 1 November 2023
para 64(1)(a)	Suggestions close at 6pm on the 5th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice	Suggestions closed at 6pm AEDT on Friday 24 November 2023
ss.64(3)	Suggestions made available for public perusal on the 5th Monday after publication of the Gazette notice	Suggestions were made available in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria on Monday 27 November 2023
para 64(1)(b)	Comments on suggestions close at 6pm on the 7th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice	Comments closed at 6pm AEDT on Friday 8 December 2023
ss.64(4)	Consideration of all suggestions and comments on suggestions received by the statutory timeframe	The Redistribution Committee considered each of the 63 suggestions and 75 comments on suggestions received

Provision of the Electoral Act	Requirement	Compliance
ss.66(1)	The Redistribution Committee shall make a proposed redistribution	The Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution is stated in Chapter 2 of this report
s.67	Reasons for the proposed redistribution are stated in writing	The Redistribution Committee's reasons are stated in Chapter 2 and Appendix G of this report

Appendix B: Calculating the representation entitlements of Victoria

Division 3 of Part III of the Electoral Act specifies the legislative requirements to be followed in determining the representation of each state and territory in the House of Representatives.

The Electoral Commissioner is required to follow this process once a House of Representatives has met continuously for a period of 12 months after the day of its first meeting.⁹⁸ This process was most recently undertaken on Thursday 27 July 2023.⁹⁹

The Electoral Commissioner is first required to ascertain the number of people of:

- the Commonwealth,
- each of the States,
- the Australian Capital Territory,
- the Northern Territory,
- Norfolk Island,
- the Territory of Cocos (Keeling) Islands,
- the Territory of Christmas Island, and
- each of the other Territories.¹⁰⁰

This ascertainment is to be made using statistics supplied by the Australian Statistician which have most recently before the reference day been compiled and published in a regular series under the *Census and Statistics Act 1905*.^{101 102}

The populations ascertained by the Electoral Commissioner are displayed in Table O.

⁹⁸ Sub-section 46(1) of the Electoral Act specifies this requirement.

⁹⁹ Once the Electoral Commissioner has determined the number of members of the House of Representatives for each state and territory, section 49 of the Electoral Act requires a certificate containing specified information to be forwarded to the Minister and published in the Gazette. The most recent certificate can be found in Gazette C2023G00879 and is available on the AEC website.

¹⁰⁰ Sub-section 46(1) of the Electoral Act specifies this requirement.

¹⁰¹ Paragraph 46(1A)(a) specifies the reference day is the first day after the end of the 12-month period following for the first meeting day of the House of Representatives. The reference day was Thursday 27 July 2023.

¹⁰² The statistics used in the ascertainment were supplied on Thursday 15 June 2023 and were published in *National, state and territory population, December Quarter 2022* on Thursday 15 June 2023.

Table O: Populations ascertained by the Electoral Commissioner on Thursday 27 July 2023

Jurisdiction	Population
The States	
New South Wales	8,238,801
Victoria	6,704,281
Queensland	5,378,277
Western Australia	2,825,178
South Australia	1,834,275
Tasmania	571,596
The Commonwealth^a	25,552,408
The Territories	
Australian Capital Territory ^b	463,375
Northern Territory ^c	252,576
Norfolk Island	2,211
The Territory of Cocos (Keeling) Islands	622
The Territory of Christmas Island	1,805
Australian Antarctic Territory	176
Territory of Heard Island and McDonald Islands	0
Coral Sea Islands Territory	4
Territory of Ashmore and Cartier Islands	0

Note:

- a. The number of the people of the Commonwealth does not include the people of the Territories.
- b. The number of people of the Australian Capital Territory includes the population of the Australian Capital Territory, the Jervis Bay Territory and Norfolk Island for the purposes of this ascertainment.
- c. The number of people of the Northern Territory includes the population of the Northern Territory, the Territory of Christmas Island, and the Territory of Cocos (Keeling) Islands for the purposes of this ascertainment.

To determine the number of members of the House of Representatives each state and territory is entitled to, the Electoral Commissioner is required to calculate the population quota using the following formula:¹⁰³

$$\frac{\text{Number of the people of the Commonwealth as ascertained by the Electoral Commissioner}}{\text{Twice the number of senators for the States}}$$

Twice the number of senators for the States

Table P shows the figures used to calculate the population quota.

¹⁰³ This formula is specified in paragraph 48(2)(a) of the Electoral Act.

Table P: Population quota calculated on Thursday 27 July 2023

Number of the people of the Commonwealth as ascertained by the then acting Electoral Commissioner on Thursday 27 July 2023	25,552,408
Twice the number of senators for the States (2 x (12 x 6))	144
Population quota	177,447.277778

Table Q shows the figures used to calculate the number of members of the House of Representatives Victoria is entitled to.

Table Q: Calculation of the number of members of the House of Representatives to which Victoria is entitled

Number of the people of Victoria as ascertained by the Electoral Commissioner on Thursday 27 July 2023	6,704,281
Population quota	177,447.277778
Number of members of the House of Representatives for Victoria	37.78181939
Number of members of the House of Representatives for Victoria – application of rounding rule ^a	38

Note:

a. Paragraph 48(2)(b) of the Electoral Act specifies that in calculating the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen for a State, when the result of dividing the ascertained population by the population quota is a remainder that is greater than one-half of a quota, that number is increased by one.

As a result of the determination, Victoria's entitlement to members of the House of Representatives decreased from 39 to 38.

Appendix C: Operation of statutory requirements for the making of a proposed redistribution

Section 66 of the Electoral Act requires the Redistribution Committee to abide by the following requirements:

- make a proposed redistribution of Victoria,
- the number of electoral divisions Victoria is to be divided into equal the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen in Victoria at a general election, and
- abide by the following requirements:

(3) In making the proposed redistribution, the Redistribution Committee:

(a) shall, as far as practicable, endeavour to ensure that, if the State were redistributed in accordance with the proposed redistribution, the number of electors enrolled in each Electoral Division in the State would not, at the projection time determined under section 63A, be less than 96.5% or more than 103.5% of the average divisional enrolment of that State at that time; and

(b) subject to paragraph (a), shall give due consideration, in relation to each proposed Electoral Division, to:

(i) community of interests within the proposed Electoral Division, including economic, social and regional interests;

(ii) means of communication and travel within the proposed Electoral Division;

(iv) the physical features and area of the proposed Electoral Division; and

(v) the boundaries of existing Divisions in the State;

and subject thereto the redistribution quota for the State shall be the basis for the proposed redistribution, and the Redistribution Committee may adopt a margin of allowance, to be used whenever necessary, but in no case shall the quota be departed from to a greater extent than one-tenth more or one-tenth less.

(3A) When applying subsection (3), the Redistribution Committee must treat the matter in subparagraph (3)(b)(v) as subordinate to the matters in subparagraphs (3)(b)(i), (ii) and (iv).

These statutory requirements are expressed in a hierarchical order.

The purpose of paragraph 3(a) is suggested by its history. It has undergone some transformation since the *Commonwealth Electoral Legislation Amendment Act 1983* stipulated that boundaries were to be drawn, as far as practicable, to achieve equal numbers of electors in each of a state's electoral divisions three-and-a-half years after a redistribution. By 1984, 'it was observed that the three-and-a-half year rule had in some areas forced the adoption, on purely numerical grounds, of boundaries which took little account of perceived community of interest'.¹⁰⁴

¹⁰⁴ Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters, *Electoral Redistributions: Report on the Effectiveness and Appropriateness of the Redistribution Provisions of Parts III and IV of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918, 1995*, paragraph 4.3

Therefore, in 1987, the rule was relaxed to permit a measure of tolerance to plus or minus two per cent from average projected enrolment. Subsequently, the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters concluded that:

*the numerical criteria do not allow “due consideration”, in the words of the Act, to be given to the qualitative factors. Rather, the political parties and others attempting to frame electoral boundaries essentially find themselves engaged in a mathematical modelling exercise. In order to relax the enrolment requirements to that extent necessary to allow a realistic degree of flexibility the Committee recommends ... that subsections 66(3)(a) and 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act be amended, so as to extend the variation from average divisional enrolment allowed three-and-a-half years after a redistribution from two to 3.5 percent.*¹⁰⁵

The Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters also, in the same report, refers to its recommended amendment as one that ‘would maintain substantial restrictions on malapportionment [and] would allow other legitimate policy objectives to be more effectively met’.

Paragraph 3(a) follows this recommendation. The terms of the recommendation, and the discussion which preceded it, make clear the purpose of paragraph 3(a), as it now stands, and how it was intended to interact with the other criteria set out in the subparagraphs of paragraph (b), to which also ‘due consideration’ must be given. The Redistribution Committee has considered the suggestions and comments and made its proposed redistribution on this basis.

In summary, the primary criteria are to:

- endeavour to ensure that the number of electors in the proposed electoral divisions are within a range of 3.5 per cent below or above the projected enrolment quota at the projection time, and
- ensure that current enrolments are within 10 per cent below or above the redistribution quota.

The secondary criteria are community of interests, means of communication and travel, and physical features and area. The Redistribution Committee also considers the boundaries of existing electoral divisions; however, this criterion is subordinate to the others.

¹⁰⁵ *ibid.*, paragraph 4.11

Appendix D: Provision of revised projected enrolment data

The ABS provided enrolment projection data for Victoria on Friday 13 October 2023. This data was made publicly available on Wednesday 25 October 2023.

A number of those who lodged suggestions to the redistribution between Wednesday 25 October and 6pm AEDT Friday 24 November 2023 and comments on suggestions between Monday 27 November and 6pm AEDT Friday 8 December 2023 used this enrolment projection data.

Advice of possible error in enrolment projections

In mid-January 2024, the ABS advised of the possibility of an error in the enrolment projections which had been supplied on Friday 13 October 2023. Subsequent advice, as noted in Table R, confirmed an error in the enrolment projections and that a revised set of enrolment projection data would be supplied. The Redistribution Committee has noted similar errors had previously been identified in the initial enrolment projections supplied for use in the redistribution of Western Australia.

Table R: Timetable for review and revision of enrolment projection data

Friday 12 January 2024	Advice received from the ABS: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• errors had been identified in the enrolment projections provided for the Victorian redistribution on Friday 13 October 2023• a revised set of enrolment projections for the Victorian redistribution was being prepared
Tuesday 16 January 2024	The ABS supplied revised enrolment projections for Victoria
Thursday 25 January 2024	Revised enrolment projections for Victoria made available to the public via www.aec.gov.au/vic-redistribution

For each of Victoria's 15,562 SA1s, the ABS provided:

- Statistical Area Level 1 Code (11-digit)
- Statistical Area Level 1 Code (7-digit)
- Statistical Area Level 2 Code
- Statistical Area Level 2 Name
- Commonwealth Electoral Division Name
- Enrolled persons at 9 August 2023 – data provided by the AEC to the ABS for use in constructing the enrolment projections
- (New) Projected enrolled person at 17 April 2028
- (Old) Projected enrolled person at 17 April 2028 – data originally provided by the ABS to the AEC on Friday 13 October 2023.

Impact of provision of revised enrolment projections

Of Victoria's 15,562 SA1s, the revised projected enrolment figure provided by the ABS on Tuesday 16 January 2024 differed from the original projected enrolment figure provided by the ABS on Friday 13 October 2023 for 14,970 SA1s (96.20 per cent). The extent to which the projected enrolment for an individual SA1 changed ranged from a decrease of 540 projected electors to an increase of 1,209 projected electors.

Impact on projected enrolment quota

The provision of revised enrolment projection data did not result in a change to the projected enrolment quota.

Using the revised projected enrolment data, the estimated total number of electors enrolled in Victoria at the projection time of Monday 17 April 2028 is calculated to be 4,835,048. This is unchanged from the estimated total number of electors enrolled at the projection time calculated using the projected enrolment data received from the ABS on Friday 13 October 2023.

The projected enrolment quota for Victoria, as presented in Table B, therefore did not need to be recalculated following the provision of revised enrolment projection data by the ABS as the estimated total number of electors enrolled in Victoria at the projection time is unchanged.

Impact on projected enrolment totals for electoral divisions

The provision of revised enrolment projection data resulted in changes to the total projected enrolment for all 39 electoral divisions which existed at the commencement of the redistribution.

The magnitude of this change varied across electoral divisions, ranging from minus 5.93 per cent to plus 13.67 per cent, as shown in Table S.

Table S: Total projected enrolment data – comparison between original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023 and revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024

Existing electoral division	Total projected enrolment – original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023	Total projected enrolment – revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024	Percentage difference
Aston	120,615	113,457	-5.93%
Ballarat	121,873	124,120	1.84%
Bendigo	122,771	121,056	-1.40%
Bruce	124,753	119,135	-4.50%
Calwell	125,369	140,187	11.82%
Casey	124,041	118,846	-4.19%
Chisholm	121,345	119,806	-1.27%
Cooper	121,431	119,120	-1.90%
Corangamite	127,763	138,344	8.28%
Corio	123,102	116,944	-5.00%
Deakin	123,966	118,427	-4.47%
Dunkley	122,613	117,651	-4.05%
Flinders	124,658	118,874	-4.64%

Existing electoral division	Total projected enrolment – original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023	Total projected enrolment – revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024	Percentage difference
Fraser	123,858	119,856	-3.23%
Gellibrand	123,999	124,789	0.64%
Gippsland	126,776	123,685	-2.44%
Goldstein	121,366	118,919	-2.02%
Gorton	129,116	129,465	0.27%
Hawke	119,315	128,336	7.56%
Higgins	119,660	116,654	-2.51%
Holt	122,766	135,088	10.04%
Hotham	128,957	126,984	-1.53%
Indi	128,444	125,526	-2.27%
Isaacs	123,385	120,165	-2.61%
Jagajaga	124,073	118,664	-4.36%
Kooyong	124,455	121,455	-2.41%
Lalor	127,852	144,313	12.88%
La Trobe	122,917	139,719	13.67%
Macnamara	124,756	122,119	-2.11%
Mallee	131,342	125,051	-4.79%
Maribyrnong	120,461	115,904	-3.78%
McEwen	122,185	135,348	10.77%
Melbourne	126,170	128,869	2.14%
Menzies	123,466	120,222	-2.63%
Monash	123,796	127,031	2.61%
Nicholls	124,233	120,106	-3.32%
Scullin	121,016	121,829	0.67%
Wannon	125,633	119,402	-4.96%
Wills	120,751	119,582	-0.97%
Total	4,835,048	4,835,048	

Changes in the estimated total number of electors enrolled in each of the 39 electoral divisions in Victoria at the projection time resulted in changes to the electoral divisions which meet the legislative requirement to be within 96.5 per cent and 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time. Table T indicates:

- on the original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023, 14 of 39 electoral divisions did not meet the legislative requirements,
- on the revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024, 29 of 39 electoral divisions do not meet the legislative requirements, and
- the status of 19 electoral divisions has changed, in terms of whether an individual electoral division does or does not meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

Table T: Electoral divisions which meet the legislative requirement to be within 96.5 per cent and 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time – comparison between original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023 and revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024

Existing electoral division	Division total is within 96.5 per cent and 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time		Change in data resulted in change in meeting legislative requirements?
	Calculated using original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023	Calculated using revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024	
Aston	No	No	No
Ballarat	No	Yes	Yes
Bendigo	No	No	No
Bruce	Yes	No	Yes
Calwell	Yes	No	Yes
Casey	Yes	No	Yes
Chisholm	No	No	No
Cooper	No	No	No
Corangamite	Yes	No	Yes
Corio	Yes	No	Yes
Deakin	Yes	No	Yes
Dunkley	No	No	No
Flinders	Yes	No	Yes
Fraser	Yes	No	Yes
Gellibrand	Yes	Yes	No
Gippsland	Yes	Yes	No
Goldstein	No	No	No
Gorton	Yes	Yes	No
Hawke	No	Yes	Yes
Higgins	No	No	No
Holt	No	No	No
Hotham	Yes	Yes	No
Indi	Yes	Yes	No
Isaacs	Yes	No	Yes
Jagajaga	Yes	No	Yes
Kooyong	Yes	No	Yes
Lalor	Yes	No	Yes
La Trobe	Yes	No	Yes
Macnamara	Yes	No	Yes
Mallee	Yes	Yes	No
Maribyrnong	No	No	No

Existing electoral division	Division total is within 96.5 per cent and 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time		Change in data resulted in change in meeting legislative requirements?
	Calculated using original data provided on Friday 13 October 2023	Calculated using revised data provided on Tuesday 16 January 2024	
McEwen	No	No	No
Melbourne	Yes	Yes	No
Menzies	Yes	No	Yes
Monash	Yes	Yes	No
Nicholls	Yes	No	Yes
Scullin	No	No	No
Wannon	Yes	No	Yes
Wills	No	No	No

Note: Shading highlights those electoral divisions where the revision in projected enrolment data resulted in a change as to whether the legislative requirements were met or not.

Appendix E: Suggestions for the Victorian redistribution

Sixty-three written suggestions were received.

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to
S1	Mark Burstall	Division names	
S2	Matthew Kirwan	Division boundaries	Bruce and Isaacs
S3	Fabio Scalia	Division boundaries	Cooper, Macnamara and Wills
S4	Jan Hasnie	Division names	Menzies
S5	Roger Nye	Division boundaries	Fraser, Gellibrand and Lalor
S6	Martin Gordon	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Ballarat, Bruce, Calwell, Casey, Chisholm, Cooper, Deakin, Dunkley, Flinders, Fraser, Gellibrand, Gippsland, Goldstein, Gorton, Hawke, Higgins, Holt, Hotham, Indi, Isaacs, Jagajaga, Kooyong, Lalor, La Trobe, Macnamara, Mallee, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Menzies, Monash, Scullin, Wannon and Wills
S7	Michael Hedger	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong
S8	Benjamin Close	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Ballarat, Bendigo, Bruce, Calwell, Casey, Chisholm, Cooper, Corangamite, Corio, Deakin, Dunkley, Fraser, Gellibrand, Gippsland, Goldstein, Gorton, Hawke, Higgins, Holt, Hotham, Indi, Isaacs, Jagajaga, Kooyong, Lalor, La Trobe, Macnamara, Mallee, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Menzies, Monash, Nicholls, Scullin, Wannon and Wills
S9	PJ	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S10	Nimalan Sivakumar	Division names and division boundaries	Goldstein, Hotham, Isaacs and Macnamara
S11	Declan Coleman	Division names	La Trobe
S12	Adam Hains	Division names	Casey
S13	Graeme Wheeler	Division names	Casey
S14	Rhonda Garad	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm and La Trobe
S15	Isabelle Thanh Tam Do	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm and La Trobe
S16	Ben	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S17	Frederik Wubbeling	Division names	Casey
S18	Pam Naylor	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm and La Trobe
S19	Ishaq Hazara	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm and La Trobe
S20	Cheston Mak	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Ballarat, Bendigo, Calwell, Corangamite, Hawke, Kooyong, Mallee, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Monash, Scullin and Wills
S21	Heather Louis	Division boundaries	Bruce, Casey, Chisholm, Goldstein, Higgins, Hotham and La Trobe
S22	Geoff Arnott	Division boundaries	Deakin and Menzies
S23	Louisa Willoughby	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm, Hotham and Isaacs

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to
S24	Maroondah Business Group	Division boundaries	Deakin and Menzies
S25	Darren McSweeney	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S26	Maroondah City Council	Division boundaries	Deakin
S27	Anonymous 1	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S28	Neil Pharaoh	Division boundaries	Ballarat, Bendigo, Calwell, Cooper, Goldstein, Hawke, Higgins, Hotham, Macnamara, McEwen, Scullin and Wills
S29	Deborah Worsley-Pine	Division names	Casey
S30	Paul Niven	Other	
S31	Tanja Kovac	Division names	Casey
S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S33	Anonymous 2	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm and La Trobe
S34	Zoe Daniel MP	Division boundaries	Goldstein, Isaacs and Macnamara
S35	Jeff Waddell	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S36	D. G. Clarke	Division boundaries	Casey, Hawke, Jagajaga, McEwen and Scullin
S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	Division boundaries	Aston, Casey, Chisholm, Cooper, Deakin, Jagajaga, Kooyong and Menzies
S38	Warren Grzic	Division names and division boundaries	Calwell, Gellibrand, Hotham, La Trobe and Wills
S39	Dr Paul Rival	Division boundaries	Aston, Casey, Chisholm, Cooper, Deakin, Jagajaga, Menzies and Scullin
S40	David Cadby	Division names	Corangamite and Wannan
S41	Phillip Drake	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S42	David Lumsden	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S43	Louis Desribes	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm and La Trobe
S44	Jarrod Lappin	Division boundaries	Calwell, McEwen and Scullin
S45	Zoe Cook	Division boundaries	Ballarat, Bendigo, Calwell, Casey, Hawke, Indi, Jagajaga, McEwen, Menzies, Nicholls and Scullin
S46	Dr Monique Ryan MP	Division names and division boundaries	Chisholm, Cooper, Higgins, Jagajaga, Kooyong, Melbourne and Menzies
S47	Dr Helen Haines MP	Division boundaries	Indi
S48	Mr William Ma	Division boundaries	Chisholm, Deakin, Hawke, Kooyong and Menzies
S49	Colin McLaren	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to
S50	Henry Rees	Division names and division boundaries	Calwell, Casey, Chisholm, Cooper, Deakin, Dunkley, Goldstein, Hawke, Higgins, Hotham, Isaacs, Jagajaga, Kooyong, Macnamara, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Menzies, Monash, Scullin and Wills
S51	Alan Thomas	Division names	Ballarat, Casey, Corangamite, Fraser, La Trobe, Maribyrnong, Melbourne and Monash
S52	Andrew Boatman	Division boundaries	Ballarat
S53	Trent Wilson	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S54	Rudolf Blums	Division names	Casey
S55	Craig Fletcher	Division boundaries	Ballarat
S56	The Nationals – Victoria	Division boundaries	Ballarat, Bendigo, Gippsland, Hawke, Indi, Mallee, McEwen, Monash, Nicholls and Wannon
S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S58	Peter Mitchell	Division boundaries	Ballarat, Bendigo, Corangamite, Corio, Indi, Mallee, Melbourne, McEwen, Nicholls and Wannon
S59	Manningham City Council	Division boundaries	Menzies
S60	Dr Charles Richardson	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S61	Australian Greens Victoria	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S62	Luke Dixon	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions
S63	Anonymous 3	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions

Appendix F: Comments on suggestions for the Victorian redistribution

Seventy-five written comments on suggestions were received.

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Suggestions referred to in comment
CS1	Colin McLaren	Division boundaries	Aston, Ballarat, Bendigo, Bruce, Calwell, Chisholm, Cooper, Corio, Deakin, Dunkley, Hawke, Higgins, Holt, Hotham, Isaacs, Jagajaga, Kooyong, La Trobe, Macnamara, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Menzies, Monash, Nicholls, Scullin and Wills	
CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	
CS3	Teodora Mustac	Other		
CS4	Benjamin Close	Division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm, Flinders, Goldstein, Gorton, Hawke, Holt, Hotham, La Trobe and Macnamara	
CS5	M Kerry Willis	Division names	Casey	
CS6	Caroline Evans	Division names	Casey	
CS7	Sue Barrett	Division boundaries	Goldstein and Macnamara	S34
CS8	Crew Charleigh	Division names and division boundaries	Chisholm, Deakin, Hotham, Jagajaga, Kooyong and Menzies	
CS9	Yvonne Fein	Division boundaries		
CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Casey, Gellibrand, Gorton, Holt, Hotham, La Trobe, Maribyrnong and McEwen	S10, S25, S32, S53, S57 and S63
CS11	Monique K	Division names	Casey	

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Suggestions referred to in comment
CS12	Alan Thomas	Division names	Ballarat, Casey, Corangamite, La Trobe, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne and Monash	S7, S11, S12, S13, S16, S17, S20, S21, S25, S27, S29, S31, S32, S38, S49, S51, S54, S57 and S60
CS13	Ben	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Bruce, Casey, Cooper, Corangamite, Gellibrand, Gorton, Hawke, La Trobe, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Monash and Wills	S2, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15, S17, S18, S19, S21, S23, S25, S27, S29, S31, S32, S33, S41, S43, S49, S51, S54, S57, S58, S60 and S63
CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S8, S16, S25, S32, S34, S41, S53, S60, S62 and S63
CS15	John Macdonald	Division boundaries	Goldstein and Macnamara	S34
CS16	David Ward	Division boundaries	Goldstein	S34
CS17	Casey Sporting Clubs	Division boundaries	Casey	S32, S42, S49 and S57
CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	Division names and division boundaries	Casey, Deakin, Fraser, Gorton, La Trobe, Maribyrnong, Melbourne, Menzies and Wills	S7, S20, S32, S51 and S57
CS19	Jeremy Harper	Division boundaries	Ballarat and Bendigo	
CS20	Bruce Fordham	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong and Melbourne	S42
CS21	Cathy Bates	Division names and division boundaries	Macnamara and Maribyrnong	S6 and S20
CS22	Justin Helman	Division boundaries	Fraser and Maribyrnong	S60
CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S2, S3, S5, S6, S7, S9, S14, S15, S16, S18, S19, S20, S21, S22, S23, S24, S25, S26, S27, S32, S33, S34, S35, S36, S41, S42, S43, S45, S46, S47, S49, S50, S53, S56, S57, S59, S60, S61, S62 and S63
CS24	Mark Brendan Roberts	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong	
CS25	Aaron Farr	Division boundaries	Deakin and Menzies	S22 and S24
CS26	Melissa Marshall	Division boundaries	Goldstein	S34
CS27	Janette Chantry OAM JP	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong and Melbourne	S50

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Suggestions referred to in comment
CS28	Maroondah Business Group	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Chisholm, Deakin and Menzies	S9, S16, S22, S24, S25, S37, S39, S41, S50, S53, S59, S60, S61, S62 and S63
CS29	Keilor Resident	Division names and division boundaries	Gorton and Maribyrnong	S32
CS30	Joshua F Solomon	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S1, S8, S9, S10, S11, S12, S13, S16, S17, S22, S24, S25, S26, S27, S29, S30, S31, S35, S37, S38, S40, S41, S45, S48, S51, S59 and S62
CS31	Dr Paul Rival	Division boundaries	Deakin and Menzies	S8, S9, S16, S22, S24, S25, S27, S32, S35, S37, S39, S41, S42, S49, S50, S53, S57, S60, S61, S62 and S63
CS32	Glenice Thomas	Division names and division boundaries	Maribyrnong	S41
CS33	Punjabi Club of Victoria	Division boundaries	Casey, Chisholm, Cooper, Deakin, Jagajaga, Kooyong, Macnamara, McEwen, Melbourne and Menzies	S37, S57, S60 and S61
CS34	Ross Hardie	Division boundaries	Goldstein and Macnamara	S34
CS35	Joe Cerritelli	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong	S7
CS36	Colin McLaren	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S9, S16, S32, S35, S36, S41, S49 and S53
CS37	Tony Fisher	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong	S61
CS38	Dr Holly Cargill	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS39	Yuan Lin	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S53 and S63
CS40	Anonymous 1	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS41	Elie Abouzeid	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong and Wills	S57
CS42	Robert J White	Division boundaries	Ballarat, Bendigo, Hawke and McEwen	
CS43	Katrina Hodgson	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong	
CS44	Warren and Karen Maloney	Division boundaries	Ballarat and Bendigo	
CS45	Elizabeth Neville	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS46	Bill Shorten MP	Division names and division boundaries	Maribyrnong	S7, S20 and S57
CS47	Rose Iser	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong	S20

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Suggestions referred to in comment
CS48	David Beard	Division names and division boundaries	Aston, Ballarat, Calwell, Chisholm, Cooper, Corangamite, Corio, Deakin, Dunkley, Fraser, Gellibrand, Goldstein, Hawke, Higgins, Holt, Jagajaga, Kooyong, Lalor, La Trobe, Macnamara, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Scullin and Wills	S20, S38 and S55
CS49	Michelle de Lima	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS50	Brad Sanders	Division boundaries	Casey	S32, S42, S49 and S57
CS51	W-Class Tram Political Action Committee	Division names		S1, S11, S12, S13, S17, S29, S31 and S57
CS52	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	Division names and division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S9, S32, S35, S53, S57, S60, S61 and S63
CS53	Highbett Progress Association	Division boundaries	Goldstein and Isaacs	
CS54	Maree Chandler	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS55	Dr Kerry Wardlaw	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS56	Zoe Daniel MP	Division boundaries	Goldstein	S8, S32, S34, S49, S53, S62 and S63
CS57	Melbourne Centre for Law and the Environment	Division boundaries		
CS58	Dr Monique Ryan MP	Division names and division boundaries	Casey, Chisholm, Higgins, Kooyong and McEwen	S46, S56, S57 and S61
CS59	John Ryan	Division boundaries	Deakin and Menzies	
CS60	Trent Wilson	Division boundaries	Bruce, Calwell, Casey, Cooper, Chisholm, Deakin, Goldstein, Higgins, Hotham, Holt, Isaacs, La Trobe, Macnamara, Maribyrnong, Menzies, Scullin and Wills	S3, S8, S9, S10, S14, S15, S18, S19, S27, S32, S33, S43, S50, S53, S60 and S63
CS61	Yarra Valley Business Inc	Division boundaries	Casey	S32, S36, S42, S49 and S57

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Suggestions referred to in comment
CS62	Dr Charles Richardson	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S2, S3, S5, S10, S25, S28, S32, S34, S35, S36, S41, S42, S44, S48, S51, S52, S55, S57, S58, S60, S61 and S63
CS63	Cameron Gracie	Division boundaries	Maribyrnong and Melbourne	S7, S20 and S25
CS64	Kate Baselier	Division names and division boundaries	Casey	S9, S12, S13, S16, S17, S29, S31, S35, S36, S51, S60 and S63
CS65	Yarra Ranges Council	Division names and division boundaries	Casey	S32, S36, S42, S49 and S57
CS66	Anonymous 2	Division boundaries	Casey	
CS67	David G Clarke	Division boundaries	Casey, Gorton, Hawke, Jagajaga Lalor, La Trobe, Macnamara, McEwen, Melbourne and Scullin	S22, S25, S35, S36, S41, S42, S44, S45, S49, S56, S57, S58, S60, S61 and S63
CS68	Anonymous 3	Division names and division boundaries		
CS69	Anonymous 4	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S32, S35, S36, S57, S58, S60 and S63
CS70	Sue Thompson	Division boundaries	Aston, Casey, Deakin, La Trobe, McEwen and Menzies	S32, S42, S49 and S57
CS71	Henry Rees	Division names and division boundaries	Bruce, Chisholm, Cooper, Deakin, Goldstein, Hawke, Higgins, Hotham, Isaacs, Kooyong, Macnamara, Maribyrnong, McEwen, Melbourne, Menzies, Scullin and Wills	S11, S12, S14, S15, S16, S18, S19, S21, S22, S23, S24, S25, S27, S32, S33, S35, S36, S37, S41, S42, S43, S48, S49, S50, S53, S57, S60 and S63
CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	Division boundaries	All Victorian electoral divisions	S61
CS73	Josh Parris	Division boundaries	Aston, Casey, Cooper, Deakin, Fraser, Gellibrand, Goldstein, Gorton, Higgins, Lalor, Macnamara, Maribyrnong, Melbourne and Wills	
CS74	Voices for Casey	Division names and division boundaries	Casey	
CS75	Millgrove Resident Action Group Inc (MRAG)	Division names and division boundaries	Casey	S9, S12, S13, S16, S17, S29, S31, S35, S51, S36, S60 and S63

Appendix G: Redistribution Committee response to themes contained in suggestions and comments on suggestions

Table U: Suggestions and comments on suggestions relating to which electoral division should be abolished

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Ballarat should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Ballarat be retained
the Division of Bendigo should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Bendigo be retained
the Division of Casey should be abolished	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	the Division of Casey be retained
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS52	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
the Division of Casey should not be abolished	S50	Henry Rees	the Division of Casey be retained
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS38	Dr Holly Cargill	
	CS40	Anonymous 1	
	CS45	Elizabeth Neville	
	CS49	Michelle de Lima	
	CS50	Brad Sanders	
	CS54	Maree Chandler	
	CS55	Dr Kerry Wardlaw	
	CS61	Yarra Valley Business Inc	
	CS64	Kate Baselier	
	CS65	Yarra Ranges Council	
	CS66	Anonymous 2	
	CS70	Sue Thompson	
	CS74	Voices for Casey	
CS75	Millgrove Resident Action Group (MRAG)		

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Chisholm should not be abolished	S14	Rhonda Garad	the Division of Chisholm be retained
	S15	Isabelle Thanh Tam Do	
	S18	Pam Naylor	
	S19	Ishaq Hazara	
	S33	Anonymous 2	
	S43	Louis Desribes	
the Division of Corangamite should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Corangamite be retained
the Division of Corio should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Corio be retained
the Division of Deakin should be abolished	S9	PJ	the Division of Deakin be retained
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
the Division of Deakin should not be abolished	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the Division of Deakin be retained
	CS28	Maroondah Business Group	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS31	Dr Paul Rival	
the Division of Flinders should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Flinders be retained
the Division of Fraser should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Fraser be retained
the Division of Gellibrand should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Gellibrand be retained
the Division of Gippsland should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Gippsland be retained
the Division of Goldstein should be abolished	S49	Colin McLaren	the Division of Goldstein be retained
The Division of Goldstein should not be abolished	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the Division of Goldstein be retained
	CS56	Zoe Daniel MP	
the Division of Gorton should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Gorton be retained
the Division of Hawke should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Hawke be retained
the Division of Higgins should be abolished	S27	Anonymous 1	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Higgins should not be abolished	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the Division of Higgins be abolished
the Division of Hotham should be abolished	S21	Heather Louis	the Division of Hotham be retained
	S23	Louis Willoughby	
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS60	Trent Wilson	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Hotham should not be abolished	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the Division of Hotham be retained
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Indi should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Indi be retained
the Division of Jagajaga should be abolished	S8	Benjamin Close	the Division of Jagajaga will be retained
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
the Division of Kooyong should not be abolished	S46	Dr Monique Ryan MP	the Division of Kooyong be retained
	CS58	Dr Monique Ryan MP	
the Division of Lalor should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Lalor be retained
the Division of La Trobe should be abolished	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	the Division of La Trobe be retained
the Division of Macnamara should be abolished	S6	Martin Gordon	the Division of Macnamara be retained
	S16	Ben	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
the Division of Macnamara should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Macnamara be retained
the Division of Mallee should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Mallee be retained
the Division of Maribyrnong should be abolished	S7	Michael Albert Hedger	the Division of Maribyrnong be retained
	S20	Cheston Mak	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Maribyrnong should not be abolished	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	the Division of Maribyrnong be retained
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
	CS21	Cathy Bates	
	CS24	Mark Brendan Roberts	
	CS35	Joe Cerritelli	
	CS43	Katrina Hodgson	
	CS46	Bill Shorten MP	
	CS47	Rose Iser	
	CS52	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS63	Cameron Gracie	
the Division of McEwen should be abolished	S20	Cheston Mak	the Division of McEwen be retained
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of McEwen should not be abolished	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the Division of McEwen be retained
	CS67	David G Clarke	
the Division of Melbourne should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Melbourne be retained
the Division of Menzies should be abolished	S62	Luke Dixon	the Division of Menzies be retained
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
the Division of Menzies should not be abolished	S59	Manningham City Council	the Division of Menzies be retained
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Monash should not be abolished	S50	Henry Rees	the Division of Monash be retained
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Nicholls should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Nicholls be retained
the Division of Wannon should not be abolished	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the Division of Wannon be retained

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Wills should be abolished	S20	Cheston Mak	the Division of Wills be retained
	S38	Warren Grzic	
	CS48	David Beard	
the Division of Wills should not be abolished	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	the Division of Wills be retained

Note:

1. S = suggestion received
2. CS = comment on suggestion received
3. Refer to Appendix E and Appendix F for full list of suggestions and comments on suggestions received

Table V: Suggestions and comments on suggestions relating to the names of Victorian electoral divisions

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
all electoral divisions be named for Indigenous tribes and/or nations	S4	Jan Hasnie	in line with the guidelines, in the main: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> names of electoral divisions should not be changed without very strong reasons, and electoral divisions may be named after deceased Australians who have rendered outstanding service to their country, which includes women and Indigenous Australians
electoral divisions no longer be named for politicians	S4	Jan Hasnie	in line with the guidelines, in the main: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> electoral divisions should be named after deceased Australians who have rendered outstanding service to their country, and when new electoral divisions are created, the names of former Prime Ministers should be considered
	S16	Ben	
federation names, Prime Ministers' names, Indigenous names and women's names be retained	S6	Martin Gordon	all existing division names be retained
existing division names be retained	S39	Dr Paul Rival	all existing division names be retained
all divisions sharing a name with a local council be renamed, including the Divisions of Ballarat, Bendigo, Casey, Corangamite, La Trobe, Maribyrnong, and Monash	S20	Cheston Mak	the names of the Divisions of Ballarat, Bendigo, Casey, Corangamite, La Trobe, Maribyrnong, and Monash be retained
	S51	Alan Thomas	
electoral division names honouring persons from the colonial era be retired, to be replaced by more diverse names honouring more Indigenous people and more women	CS39	Yuan Lin	in line with the guidelines, in the main: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> names of electoral divisions should not be changed without very strong reasons, and electoral divisions may be named after deceased Australians who have rendered outstanding service to their country, which includes women and Indigenous Australians
more electoral divisions be named after women	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	in line with the guidelines, in the main, divisions should be named after deceased Australians who have rendered outstanding service to their country
an electoral division be named to recognise the racehorse Phar Lap	S1	Mark Burstall	no electoral division be renamed 'Phar Lap'
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
an electoral division be named to recognise Mirka Mora and Georges Mora	S27	Anonymous 1	no electoral division be renamed 'Mora'
	CS13	Ben	
an electoral division be named to recognise the Hon. Donald Chipp	S25	Darren McSweeney	no electoral division be renamed 'Chipp'

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
an electoral division be named to recognise the Hon. Margaret Guilfoyle	S25	Darren McSweeney	no electoral division be renamed 'Guilfoyle'
an electoral division be named to recognise the Hon. Joan Child	S25	Darren McSweeney	no electoral division be renamed 'Child'
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	CS13	Ben	
an electoral division not be renamed 'Child'	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	no electoral division be renamed 'Child'
an electoral division be named to recognise Sir Joseph Cook	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	no electoral division be renamed 'Joseph'
an electoral division be named to recognise Margaret Tucker	S25	Darren McSweeney	no electoral division be renamed 'Tucker'
	CS13	Ben	
an electoral division be named in recognition of John Batman	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	no electoral division be renamed 'Batman'
an electoral division be named to recognise the W-Class Tram	CS51	W-Class Tram Political Action Committee	no electoral division be renamed 'W-Class'
the Division of Ballarat be renamed to recognise William Yuille (believed to be a reference to William Cross Yollie)	S8	Benjamin Close	the name of the Division of Ballarat be retained
the Division of Bendigo be renamed to recognise Robert Hoddle	S8	Benjamin Close	the name of the Division of Bendigo be retained
the name of the Division of Calwell be retired	S38	Warren Grzic	the name of the Division of Calwell be retained
the name of the Division of Casey be retained	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the name of the Division of Casey be retained
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
the name of the Division of Casey be retired	S16	Ben	the name of the Division of Casey be retained
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	CS12	Alan Thomas	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Casey be renamed to recognise William Barak	S12	Adam Hains	the name of the Division of Casey be retained
	S13	Graeme Wheeler	
	S17	Frederik Wubbeling	
	S29	Deborah Worsley-Pine	
	S31	Tanja Kovac	
	S54	Rudolf Blums	
	CS5	M Kerry Willis	
	CS6	Caroline Evans	
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	CS11	Monique K	
	CS12	Alan Thomas	
	CS13	Ben	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS64	Kate Baselier	
	CS65	Yarra Ranges Council	
CS74	Voices for Casey		
CS75	Millgrove Resident Action Group Inc (MRAG)		
the name of the Division of Chisholm be retained	S50	Henry Rees	the name of the Division of Chisholm be retained
the name of the Division of Corangamite be retained	S25	Darren McSweeney	the name of the Division of Corangamite be retained
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
the name of the Division of Corangamite be retired	CS12	Alan Thomas	the name of the Division of Corangamite be retained
the Division of Corangamite be renamed 'Connewarre' after Lake Connewarre	S8	Benjamin Close	the name of the Division of Corangamite be retained
	CS12	Alan Thomas	
the name of the Division of Corangamite better align with the Surf Coast region	S40	David Cadby	the name of the Division of Corangamite be retained
the name of the Division of Deakin be retained, and not be transferred to another division	CS28	Maroondah Business Group	the name of the Division of Deakin be retained
the name of the Division of Gellibrand be retired	S38	Warren Grzic	the name of the Division of Gellibrand be retained
the Division of Gellibrand be renamed to recognise Margaret Tucker	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the name of the Division of Gellibrand be retained
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the name of the Division of Gorton be retained	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the name of the Division of Gorton be retained
the Division of Hawke be renamed to recognise Robert Burke	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	the name of the Division of Hawke be retained
the name of the Division of Higgins be retired	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	the name 'Higgins' be retired
the Division of Higgins be renamed to recognise Dame Annie Jean Macnamara DBE	S41	Phillip Drake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the name 'Higgins' be retired, and the name of the Division of Macnamara be retained
the name of the Division of Hotham be retained	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the name of the Division of Hotham be retained
the name of the Division of Hotham be retired	S9	PJ	the name of the Division of Hotham be retained
	S10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	S38	Warren Grzic	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS71	Henry Rees	
the Division of Hotham be renamed to recognise Alfred Deakin	S9	PJ	the name of the Division of Hotham be retained
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Hotham be renamed to recognise Tsebin Tchen	CS8	Crew Charleigh	the name of the Division of Hotham be retained
the name of the Division of Hotham be retired and named 'Chisholm'	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	the name of the Division of Hotham be retained
the Division of Jagajaga be renamed 'McEwen'	S8	Benjamin Close	the name of the Division of Jagajaga be retained
the name of the Division of Kooyong be retained	S46	Dr Monique Ryan MP	the name of the Division of Kooyong be retained
	CS58	Dr Monique Ryan MP	
the Division of Kooyong be renamed to recognise Barry Humphries	S8	Benjamin Close	no electoral division be renamed 'Humphries'
the Division of Kooyong be renamed to recognise Sir Robert Menzies	S62	Luke Dixon	the name of the Division of Kooyong be retained
the name of the Division of La Trobe be retired	S16	Ben	the name of the Division of La Trobe be retained
	S38	Warren Grzic	
	CS12	Alan Thomas	
the Division of La Trobe be renamed to recognise Edwin Flack	S11	Declan Coleman	the name of the Division of La Trobe be retained
	CS12	Alan Thomas	
	CS13	Ben	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the name of the Division of Macnamara be retained	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	the name of the Division of Macnamara be retained
the name of the Division of Macnamara be retired	S6	Martin Gordon	the name of the Division of Macnamara be retained
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Macnamara be renamed ‘Melbourne’	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the name of the Division of Macnamara be retained
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the name of the Division of Maribyrnong be retained	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	the name of the Division of Maribyrnong be retained
	CS21	Cathy Bates	
	CS29	Keilor Resident	
	CS46	Bill Shorten MP	
	CS52	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
the name of the Division of Maribyrnong be retired	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the name of the Division of Maribyrnong be retained
	CS12	Alan Thomas	
	CS13	Ben	
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Maribyrnong be renamed to recognise William Wills	S20	Cheston Mak	the name of the Division of Maribyrnong be retained
the name of the Division of McEwen be retained	S8	Benjamin Close	the name of the Division of McEwen be retained
the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the name of the Division of Melbourne be retired	S51	Alan Thomas	the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
the Division of Melbourne be renamed to recognise John Batman	S8	Benjamin Close	the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained
the Division of Melbourne be renamed ‘Birrarung’	S27	Anonymous 1	the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained
	CS12	Alan Thomas	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Melbourne be renamed to recognise Lady Millie Peacock	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Melbourne be renamed 'Naarm'	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	the name of the Division of Melbourne be retained
the name of the Division of Menzies be retired	S4	Jan Hasnie	the name of the Division of Menzies be retained
the name of the Division of Monash be retired	S16	Ben	the name of the Division of Monash be retained
	CS12	Alan Thomas	
the Division of Wannon be renamed 'Corangamite'	S40	David Cadby	the name of the Division of Wannon be retained
the name of the Division of Wills be retained	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	the name of the Division of Wills be retained
the name of the Division of Wills be retired	S38	Warren Grzic	the name of the Division of Wills be retained

Note:

1. S = suggestion received
2. CS = comment on suggestion received
3. Refer to Appendix E and Appendix F for full list of suggestions and comments on suggestions received

Table W: Suggestions and comments on suggestions relating to the placement of electoral divisions and divisional boundaries

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
<p>the Redistribution Committee should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> not use the enrolment projections which were made available when suggestions to the redistribution were invited, and/or use alternative enrolment projections to those which were made available when suggestions to the redistribution were invited, and/or use the full tolerance of the range for projected enrolment if alternative enrolment projections are not used 	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> information about the initial error in the projected enrolment data be provided, all suggestions and comments on suggestions be reconsidered using the revised projected enrolment data in place of the original projected enrolment data, and the redistribution proposal be developed using the revised enrolment projection data supplied by the ABS
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS13	Ben	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS62	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
maintaining the existing boundaries of regional divisions to the greatest extent possible	S56	The Nationals – Victoria	the existing boundaries of the proposed Divisions of Gippsland, Indi, Mallee, and Monash remain unaltered, with minimal changes to other regional divisions to the greatest extent possible
Melbourne’s watercourses cease to be the dominant landscape that defines electoral boundaries, and highways and freeways are more logical geographic features for use as electoral boundaries	CS57	Melbourne Centre for Law and the Environment	the boundaries of proposed electoral divisions follow, to the extent possible, clearly defined geographical features, which include major roads and watercourses
the localities of Box Hill, Box Hill North, Box Hill South, Blackburn, Blackburn North, and Blackburn South be united in one electoral division	S48	Mr William Ma	<p>the localities of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Box Hill and Box Hill North be united in the proposed Division of Menzies, Blackburn and Blackburn North be split between the proposed Divisions of Deakin and Menzies, Box Hill South be split between the proposed Divisions of Chisholm and Menzies, and Blackburn South be split between the proposed Divisions of Chisholm, Deakin, and Menzies

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the localities of Bentleigh, McKinnon, Ormond, and Glen Huntly be united in a single electoral division	CS36	Colin McLaren	the localities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bentleigh and McKinnon, and part of Ormond and Glen Huntly, remain in the proposed Division of Goldstein, • part of Glen Huntly remains in the proposed Division of Macnamara, and • part of Ormond be transferred to the proposed Division of Hotham
the locality of Dandenong be within a single electoral division	CS36	Colin McLaren	the locality of Dandenong remains split between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and Isaacs
the boundaries of the Division of Aston be left unchanged	CS73	Josh Parris	the proposed Division of Aston be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
the Division of Aston remain centred on the Knox City Council	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	Knox City Council remains united in the proposed Division of Aston
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Aston gain part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council from the Division of Casey	S9	PJ	the Yarra Ranges Shire Council remains in the Division of Casey
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Aston gain all or part of the localities of Bayswater North, Heathmont, and Kilsyth South from the Division of Deakin	S35	Jeff Waddell	the locality of Kilsyth South and part of Bayswater North and Heathmont be transferred from the Division of Deakin to the proposed Division of Aston
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S39	Dr Paul Rival	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Aston gain the localities of Endeavour Hills and Lysterfield South from the Division of Bruce	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	the localities of Endeavour Hills and Lysterfield South remain in the proposed Division of Bruce
the Division of Aston gain the locality of Vermont South from the Division of Deakin	S8	Benjamin Close	the locality of Vermont South remains in the proposed Division of Deakin
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Ballarat retain the Hepburn Shire Council, including the localities of Creswick, Daylesford, and Trentham	CS19	Jeremy Harper	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Hepburn Shire Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Ballarat and Bendigo, and the localities of Creswick, Daylesford and Trentham remain in the proposed Division of Ballarat
	CS42	Robert J White	
	CS44	Warren and Karen Maloney	
the Division of Ballarat gain all or part of the Mount Alexander Shire Council from the Division of Bendigo	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	the Mount Alexander Shire Council remain united in the proposed Division of Bendigo
the Division of Ballarat gain the Golden Plains Shire Council from the Division of Corangamite	S9	PJ	the part of Golden Plains Shire Council in the current Division of Corangamite be transferred to the proposed Division of Wannon
	S52	Andrew Boatman	
	S55	Craig Fletcher	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS48	David Beard	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Ballarat gain all or part of the localities of Bacchus Marsh, Ballan, and surrounds from the Division of Hawke	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Bacchus Marsh, Ballan and surrounds remain in the proposed Division of Hawke
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S52	Andrew Boatman	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S56	The Nationals – Victoria	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
CS69	Anonymous 4		
CS72	Australian Greens Victoria		
the Division of Ballarat gain:	S8	Benjamin Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Central Goldfields Shire Council remain united in the proposed Division of Mallee, and the Pyrenees Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Mallee and Wannon
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> all or part of the Central Goldfields Shire Council from the Division of Mallee, and 	S16	Ben	
	S27	Anonymous 1	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> part of the Pyrenees Shire Council from the Divisions of Mallee and Wannon 	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS4	Benjamin Close	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Bendigo gain all of Hepburn Shire Council from the Division of Ballarat	S16	Ben	part of the Hepburn Shire Council be transferred from the Division of Ballarat to the proposed Division of Bendigo
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Bendigo gain all or part of the Central Goldfields Shire Council and Loddon Shire Council from the Division of Mallee	S9	PJ	the Central Goldfields Shire and Loddon Shire Council remain in the proposed Division of Mallee
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Divisions of Bendigo gain all or part of the localities of Macedon, Woodend, and surrounding areas from the Division of McEwen	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	the localities of Macedon, Woodend, and surrounding areas remain in the proposed Division of McEwen
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Bendigo gain the localities of Gunbower and Lockington, and surrounds from the Division of Nicholls	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Gunbower, Lockington, and surrounds remain in the proposed Division of Nicholls
the Division of Bruce be wholly contained within the Casey City Council and the Greater Dandenong City Council	S2	Matthew Kirwan	the proposed Division of Bruce be comprised of electors across four councils, including the Casey City Council and Greater Dandenong City Council
the Division of Bruce gain part of the locality of Rowville from the Division of Aston	S49	Colin McLaren	the locality of Rowville remain in the proposed Division of Aston

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Bruce gain all or part of the localities of Hampton Park, Lynbrook, Lyndhurst, and Narre Warren South from the Division of Holt	S8	Benjamin Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Hampton Park and Lynbrook remain in the proposed Division of Holt, the locality of Lyndhurst remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Holt and Isaacs, and the locality of Narre Warren South remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and Holt
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Bruce gain all or part of the localities of Mulgrave, Noble Park, Noble Park North and Springvale from the Division of Hotham	S9	PJ	the locality of:
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mulgrave remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Chisholm, and Hotham
	S35	Jeff Waddell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noble Park North remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and Hotham,
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noble Park be divided between the Divisions of Hotham and Isaacs, and
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Springvale remain in the proposed Division of Hotham
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
CS71	Henry Rees		
the Division of Bruce: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> gain Dandenong South Primary School from the Division of Isaacs, and not include Mulgrave Primary School 	S2	Matthew Kirwan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dandenong South Primary School remain in the proposed Division of Isaacs, and Mulgrave Primary School remain in the proposed Division of Bruce
	S14	Rhonda Garad	
	S15	Isabelle Thanh Tam Do	
	S18	Pam Naylor	
	S19	Ishaq Hazara	
	S33	Anonymous 2	
	S43	Louis Desribes	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Bruce gain all or part of the localities of Bangholme, Dandenong South, Keysborough, and Lyndhurst from the Division of Isaacs	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Bangholme, Dandenong South, and Keysborough, remain in the proposed Division of Isaacs, and the locality of Lyndhurst remain divided between the Divisions of Holt and Isaacs
	S23	Louisa Willoughby	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS36	Colin McLaren	
	CS60	Trent Wilson	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Bruce gain all or part of the localities of Berwick and Harkaway from the Division of La Trobe	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Bruce gain the locality of Harkaway and part of the locality of Berwick from the Division of La Trobe
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S43	Louis Desribes	
	CS4	Benjamin Close	
the boundaries of the Division of Calwell be left unaltered, or minimally altered	S16	Ben	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Calwell be minimally altered, transferring sections east of the Hume Highway to the proposed Divisions of McEwen and Scullin
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Calwell gain part of the Macedon Ranges Shire Council from the Divisions of Bendigo and McEwen	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	the Macedon Ranges Shire Council remain split between the proposed Divisions of Bendigo and McEwen
the Division of Calwell gain all or part of the localities of Clarkefield, Oaklands Junction, Sunbury, and Wildwood from the Division of Hawke	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Clarkefield, Oaklands Junction, Sunbury, and Wildwood remain in the proposed Division of Hawke
the Division of Calwell gain all or part of the Hume City Council from the Division of Maribyrnong	S63	Anonymous 3	the part of Hume City Council located in the Division of Maribyrnong be divided between the proposed Divisions of Hawke and Maribyrnong
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Calwell gain part of the Whittlesea City Council from the Divisions of McEwen and Scullin	S9	PJ	the Whittlesea City Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Jagajaga, McEwen and Scullin
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Calwell gain part of the Mitchell Shire Council from the Division of McEwen	S25	Darren McSweeney	the Mitchell Shire Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Bendigo, McEwen, and Nicholls
	S61	Australia Greens Victoria	
the Division of Calwell gain part of the Merri-bek City Council from the Division of Wills	S35	Jeff Waddell	the Merri-bek City Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Cooper, Maribyrnong, and Wills
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
the boundaries of the Division of Casey be left unchanged or be minimally altered, and remain centred on the Yarra Ranges Shire Council	CS17	Casey Sporting Clubs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the boundaries of the proposed Division of Casey be minimally altered such that the electoral division gains part of the Nillumbik Shire Council from the Division of McEwen and Manningham City Council from the Division of Menzies, and the proposed Division of Casey remains centred on the Yarra Ranges Shire Council
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS33	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	CS38	Dr Holly Cargill	
	CS40	Anonymous 1	
	CS45	Elizabeth Neville	
	CS49	Michelle de Lima	
	CS50	Brad Sanders	
	CS54	Maree Chandler	
	CS55	Dr Kerry Wardlaw	
	CS61	Yarra Valley Business Inc	
	CS67	David G Clarke	
	CS70	Sue Thompson	
	CS73	Josh Parris	
	CS74	Voices for Casey	
the Division of Casey gain part of the locality of Narre Warren North from the Division of Bruce	S41	Phillip Drake	the locality of Narre Warren North remains in the proposed Division of Bruce

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Casey gain all or part of the localities of Bayswater North, Croydon, Croydon South, and Kilsyth South from the Division of Deakin	S8	Benjamin Close	the locality of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kilsyth South be transferred to the proposed Division of Aston, • Bayswater North be divided between the proposed Divisions of Aston and Deakin, and • Croydon and Croydon South remain in the proposed Division of Deakin
	S9	PJ	
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S39	Dr Paul Rival	
the Division of Casey gain all or part of the localities of Eltham, Kangaroo Ground and Research from the Division of Jagajaga	S25	Darren McSweeney	the localities of Eltham, Kangaroo Ground and Research remain in the proposed Division of Jagajaga
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Casey gain part of the Cardinia Shire Council from the Division of La Trobe	S9	PJ	the current boundary between the proposed Divisions of Casey and La Trobe be retained, with the Cardinia Shire Council divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Casey, La Trobe, and Monash
	S16	Ben	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Casey gain all or part of Nillumbik Shire Council from the Division of McEwen	S25	Darren McSweeney	part of the Nillumbik Shire Council be transferred from the Division of McEwen to the proposed Division of Casey
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Casey gain all or part of the localities of North Warrandyte and Wonga Park from the Division of Menzies	S25	Darren McSweeney	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the locality of North Warrandyte be transferred to the proposed Division of Jagajaga, and • the locality of Wonga Park be transferred to the proposed Division of Casey
	S62	Luke Dixon	
the Division of Chisholm unite the localities of Box Hill North and Mont Albert North into a single electoral division	S49	Colin McLaren	the localities of Box Hill North and Mont Albert North be united in the proposed Division of Menzies
the Division of Chisholm contain the localities of Box Hill and Glen Waverley	S27	Anonymous 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the locality of Glen Waverley be divided between the proposed Divisions of Hotham and Chisholm, and • the locality of Box Hill be transferred to the proposed Division of Menzies

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Chisholm gain all or part of the Whitehorse City Council from the Divisions of Deakin, Kooyong, and Menzies	S9	PJ	the Whitehorse City Council remains divided between the Divisions of Chisholm, Deakin, and Menzies; however, the Division of Chisholm transfers part of its portion of Whitehorse City Council to the Divisions of Deakin and Menzies
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Chisholm gain the locality of Mulgrave from the Division of Bruce	S16	Ben	part of the locality of Mulgrave remains in the proposed Division of Bruce
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS60	Trent Wilson	
the Division of Chisholm gain all or part of the localities of Ashburton, Carnegie, Glen Iris, Malvern East, and Murrumbeena from the Division of Higgins	S35	Jeff Waddell	the localities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ashburton, Glen Iris, and part of Malvern East be transferred to the proposed Division of Chisholm, and • Carnegie, Murrumbeena, and part of Malvern East be transferred to the proposed Division of Hotham
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Chisholm gain all or part of the Monash City Council from the Division of Hotham	S41	Phillip Drake	the Monash City Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Chisholm, and Hotham
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS4	Benjamin Close	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Chisholm gain all or part of the localities of Mont Albert, Mont Albert North, and Surrey Hills from the Division of Kooyong	S35	Jeff Waddell	the localities of Mont Albert and Mont Albert North, and part of the locality of Surrey Hills, be transferred from the Division of Kooyong to the proposed Division of Menzies
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Chisholm gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Box Hill, Box Hill North, Box Hill South, Donvale, Mitcham, Nunawading, and Park Orchards from the Division of Menzies	S9	PJ	<p>the localities of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Box Hill and Box Hill North be united in the proposed Division of Menzies, • Box Hill South be split between the proposed Divisions of Chisholm and Menzies, • Blackburn, Blackburn North, Donvale, and Park Orchards be split between the proposed Divisions of Deakin and Menzies, • Blackburn South be split between the proposed Divisions of Chisholm, Deakin, and Menzies, and • Mitcham and Nunawading be united in the proposed Division of Deakin
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the boundaries of the Division of Cooper be left unchanged	S63	Anonymous 3	the boundary of the proposed Division of Cooper be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
the boundary between the Divisions of Cooper and Wills be redrawn along Bell Street	S3	Fabio Scalia	the current boundary between the proposed Divisions of Cooper and Wills remain unchanged
the Division of Cooper gain all or part of the localities of Bundoora, Heidelberg, Heidelberg West, and Macleod from the Division of Jagajaga	S8	Benjamin Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the localities of Bundoora, Heidelberg and Heidelberg West remain in the proposed Division of Jagajaga, and • the locality of Macleod remains divided between the proposed Divisions of Cooper and Jagajaga
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
CS69	Anonymous 4		

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Cooper gain the locality of Clifton Hill from the Division of Melbourne	S25	Darren McSweeney	the proposed Division of Cooper gain the locality of Clifton Hill from the Division of Melbourne
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS73	Josh Parris	
the Division of Cooper gain all or part of the localities of Abbotsford, Collingwood, Fitzroy, and Fitzroy North from the Division of Melbourne	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Abbotsford, Collingwood, and Fitzroy remain in the proposed Division of Melbourne, and the locality of Fitzroy North be transferred from the Division of Melbourne to the proposed Division of Wills
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Cooper gain part of the localities of Bundoora and Thomastown from the Division of Scullin	S9	PJ	the locality of Thomastown and part of the locality of Bundoora remain in the proposed Division of Scullin
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the boundaries of the Division of Corangamite be left unchanged	S25	Darren McSweeney	the proposed Division of Corangamite be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Corangamite remain a Bellarine Peninsula division	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the proposed Division of Corangamite remains a Bellarine Peninsula division

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Corangamite gain part of Golden Plains Shire Council from the Division of Ballarat	S62	Luke Dixon	the Golden Plains Shire Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Ballarat, Corio, and Wannon
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Corangamite gain all or part of the Surf Coast Shire Council from the Division of Wannon	S27	Anonymous 1	the Surf Coast Shire Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Corangamite and Wannon
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the boundaries of the Division of Corio be left unchanged	S25	Darren McSweeney	the proposed Division of Corio be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Corio gain part of the Golden Plains Shire Council from the Division of Ballarat	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Corio gains part of the Golden Plains Shire Council from the Division of Ballarat
the Division of Corio gain all or part of the localities of Charlemont, Grovedale, Highton, Marshall, Moolap, and Mount Duneed from the Division of Corangamite	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	the localities of Charlemont, Grovedale, Marshall, Moolap, and Mount Duneed, and part of the locality of Highton, remain within the proposed Division of Corangamite
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Corio gain the locality of Bannockburn and surrounds from the Division of Corangamite	S58	Peter Mitchell	the proposed Division of Corio gain the locality of Bannockburn and surrounds from the Division of Corangamite
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
the Division of Corio gain all or part of the localities of Cocoroc, Little River, Mambourin, and Werribee from the Division of Lalor	S9	PJ	the proposed Division of Corio gain the localities of Cocoroc, Little River, and Mambourin, and part of the locality of Werribee, from the Division of Lalor
	CS48	David Beard	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the boundaries of the Division of Deakin be left unchanged	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the proposed Division of Deakin be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
the Division of Deakin be centred on the Maroondah City Council, with this council entirely retained with the electoral division	S24	Maroondah Business Group	the proposed Division of Deakin retains the majority of Maroondah City Council, which is divided between the proposed Divisions of Aston and Deakin
	S26	Maroondah City Council	
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS28	Maroondah Business Group	
the Division of Deakin gain all or part the localities of Bayswater and Wantirna from the Division of Aston	S25	Darren McSweeney	the localities of Bayswater and Wantirna remain within the proposed Division of Aston
the Division of Deakin gain all or part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council from the Division of Casey	S25	Darren McSweeney	the Yarra Ranges Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Casey
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
CS30	Joshua F Solomon		
the Division of Deakin gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn South, Box Hill, Burwood, and Burwood East from the Division of Chisholm	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Deakin gain part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn South, and Burwood East from the Division of Chisholm
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Deakin gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Box Hill, Box Hill North, Donvale, Mitcham, Nunawading, Park Orchards, Ringwood North, and Wonga Park from the Division of Menzies	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Deakin gain the localities of Mitcham and Nunawading, and part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Donvale, Park Orchards, and Ringwood North from the Division of Menzies
	S16	Ben	
	S24	Maroondah Business Group	
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S39	Dr Paul Rival	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS28	Maroondah Business Group	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS31	Dr Paul Rival	
	CS59	John Ryan	
CS72	Australian Greens Victoria		
the Division of Dunkley gain the locality of Baxter from the Division of Flinders	S41	Phillip Drake	the locality of Baxter remain within the proposed Division of Flinders
	S42	David Lumsden	
the Division of Dunkley gain part of the locality of Cranbourne West from the Division of Holt	S9	PJ	the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Dunkley and Holt be unchanged
the Division of Dunkley gain all or part of the localities of Blind Bight, Cannons Creek, Devon Meadows, Pearcedale, and Tooradin from the Division of Holt	S49	Colin McLaren	the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Dunkley and Holt be unchanged

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Dunkley gain all or part of the localities of Bonbeach, Carrum, Chelsea, Chelsea Heights, and Patterson Lakes from the Division of Isaacs	S16	Ben	the proposed Division of Dunkley gain the localities of Bonbeach, Carrum, and Patterson Lakes, and part of the localities of Chelsea and Chelsea Heights, from the Division of Isaacs
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Dunkley gain all or part of the localities of Bangholme, Dandenong South, and Lyndhurst from the Division of Isaacs	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Bangholme, Dandenong South, and Lyndhurst remain within the proposed Division of Isaacs
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
the boundaries of the Division of Flinders be left unchanged	S25	Darren McSweeney	the proposed Division of Flinders be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Flinders gain part of the locality of Mount Eliza from the Division of Dunkley	CS4	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Flinders gain part of the locality of Mount Eliza from the Division of Dunkley
	S9	PJ	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Flinders gain all or part of the localities of Blind Bight, Cannons Creek, Devon Meadows, Pearcedale, and Tooradin from the Division of Holt	S16	Ben	the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Flinders and Holt be unchanged
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the boundaries of the Division of Fraser be left unchanged	S42	David Lumsden	the proposed Division of Fraser be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS73	Josh Parris	
the Division of Fraser gain all or part of the locality of Yarraville from the Division of Gellibrand	S5	Roger Nye	the proposed Division of Fraser gain the locality of Yarraville from the Division of Gellibrand
	S10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	S16	Ben	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS62	Dr Charles Richardson	
the Division of Fraser gain all or part of the localities of Deer Park, Derrimut, Keilor, Keilor Downs, Kings Park, and Taylors Lakes, from the Division of Gorton	S8	Benjamin Close	<p>the localities of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deer Park and Derrimut remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Fraser and Gorton, • Keilor Downs, Kings Park, and Taylors Lakes remain within the proposed Division of Gorton, and • the proposed Division of Hawke gain part of the locality of Keilor from the Division of Gorton
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Fraser gain part of the localities of Avondale Heights and Keilor East from the Division of Maribyrnong	S9	PJ	the localities of Avondale Heights and Keilor East remain within the proposed Division of Maribyrnong

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Fraser gain the Moonee Valley City Council from the Division of Maribyrnong	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the majority of the Moonee Valley City Council remain within the proposed Division of Maribyrnong, with small sections without electors transferred to the Divisions of Melbourne and Wills
the boundaries of the Division of Gellibrand be left unchanged	S49	Colin McLaren	<p>the proposed Division of Gellibrand:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> gain the locality of Williams Landing and part of the localities of Hoppers Crossing, Point Cook, and Truganina from the Division of Lalor, and transfer the localities of Brooklyn, Spotswood, and Yarraville and a small part of the locality of Newport to the proposed Division of Fraser
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Gellibrand gain all or part of the localities of Brooklyn, Derrimut, and Sunshine West from the Division of Fraser	S9	PJ	the localities of Brooklyn, Derrimut, and Sunshine West remain in the proposed Division of Fraser
the boundary between the Division of Gellibrand and the Division of Fraser be the West Gate Freeway	CS36	Colin McLaren	a section of the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Gellibrand and Fraser follows the West Gate Freeway
the Division of Gellibrand gain all or part of the locality of Yarraville from the Division of Fraser	S8	Benjamin Close	the locality of Yarraville be united within the proposed Division of Fraser
	S9	PJ	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Gellibrand gain all or part of the localities of Point Cook, Truganina, and Williams Landing from the Division of Lalor	S5	Roger Nye	the proposed Division of Gellibrand gain the locality of Williams Landing, and part of the localities of Point Cook and Truganina, from the Division of Lalor
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS36	Colin McLaren	
	CS62	Dr Charles Richardson	
the boundaries of the Division of Gippsland be left unchanged	S16	Ben	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Gippsland be left unchanged
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
CS72	Australian Greens Victoria		
the Division of Gippsland gain all or part of the Lake Mountain Alpine Resort, Mansfield Shire Council, and Murrindindi Shire Council from the Division of Indi	S8	Benjamin Close	the Lake Mountain Alpine Resort, Mansfield Shire Council, and Murrindindi Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Indi

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Gippsland gain all or part of the locality of Foster and surrounds from the Division of Monash	S9	PJ	the locality of Foster and surrounds remain within the proposed Division of Monash
	S63	Anonymous 3	
the Division of Gippsland gain all or part of the Latrobe City Council from the Division of Monash	S35	Jeff Waddell	the Latrobe City Council remain unchanged and divided between the proposed Divisions of Gippsland and Monash
the Division of Gippsland gain part of the Baw Baw Shire Council from the Division of Monash	S53	Trent Wilson	the Baw Baw Shire Council remain unchanged and will continue to be divided between the proposed Divisions of Gippsland and Monash
	S63	Anonymous 3	
the Division of Goldstein move its eastern boundary from the Frankston Railway Line to the Nepean Highway	S34	Zoe Daniel MP	the proposed Division of Goldstein adopt Charman Road and Chesterville Road as its eastern boundary
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS7	Sue Barrett	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS15	John Macdonald	
	CS26	Melissa Marshall	
	CS53	Highett Progress Association	
the Division of Goldstein gain all of Southland Shopping Centre	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	the proposed Division of Goldstein gain the entirety of Southland Shopping Centre
the Division of Goldstein gain all or part of the localities of Carnegie, Ormond, and Glen Huntly from the Division of Higgins	S35	Jeff Waddell	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Goldstein surrounding the localities of Carnegie, Glen Huntly, and Ormond remain unchanged
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Goldstein gain all or part of the locality of Bentleigh East from the Division of Hotham	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Goldstein gain part of the locality of Bentleigh East from the Division of Hotham
	S10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Goldstein gain all or part of the localities of Cheltenham, Highett, Moorabbin, Mentone, and Heatherton from the Division of Isaacs	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Goldstein gain the locality of Highett and part of the localities of Cheltenham and Moorabbin from the Division of Isaacs, and the localities of Heatherton and Mentone remain within the proposed Division of Isaacs
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS56	Zoe Daniel MP	
the Division of Goldstein gain all or part of the localities of Caulfield and St Kilda from the Division of Macnamara	S41	Phillip Drake	the localities of Caulfield and St Kilda remain within the proposed Division of Macnamara
the boundaries of the Division of Gorton be left unchanged	S9	PJ	the boundary of the proposed Division of Gorton be altered to follow the Calder Freeway
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Gorton gain all or part of the localities of Ardeer, Albion, Cairnlea, Deer Park, Derrimut, St Albans, Sunshine, and Sunshine North from the Division of Fraser	S41	Phillip Drake	the localities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ardeer, Albion, Cairnlea, St Albans, Sunshine, and Sunshine North remain wholly within the proposed Division of Fraser, and the localities of Deer Park and Derrimut remain split between the proposed Divisions of Fraser and Gorton
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the locality of Keilor be united within the Division of Gorton	CS29	Keilor Resident	the locality of Keilor be split between the proposed Divisions of Fraser, Gorton, and Hawke
the Division of Gorton gain all or part of the localities of Cobblebank, Eynesbury, Mount Cottrell, and Strathulloh from the Division of Hawke	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cobblebank and Strathulloh remain in the proposed Division of Hawke, Eynesbury be united within the proposed Division of Hawke, and Mount Cottrell remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Gorton, Hawke, and Lalor
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS4	Benjamin Close	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Gorton gain all or part of the localities of Bulla, Diggers Rest, Hillside, Plumpton, and Sunbury from the Division of Hawke	S25	Darren McSweeney	the localities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bulla, Diggers Rest, Plumpton, and Sunbury remain in the proposed Division of Hawke, and • Hillside remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Gorton and Hawke
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS10	Nimalan Sivakumar	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Gorton gain all or part of the Brimbank City Council from the Division of Maribyrnong	S62	Luke Dixon	the proposed Division of Gorton transfer part of the Brimbank City Council to the proposed Division of Hawke
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Hawke gain all or part of the Macedon Ranges Shire Council from the Divisions of Bendigo and McEwen	S8	Benjamin Close	the Macedon Ranges Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bendigo and McEwen
	S9	PJ	
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Hawke gain the Calder Freeway corridor from the Division of Gorton	S27	Anonymous 1	the proposed Division of Hawke gains a section of the Calder Freeway corridor, with its boundary being brought to the Calder Freeway

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Hawke gain all or part of the Melton City Council from the Division of Gorton	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the Melton City Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Gorton and Hawke
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Higgins gain all or part of the localities of Ashwood, Burwood, Chadstone, and Mount Waverley from the Division of Chisholm	S50	Henry Rees	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Higgins gain all or part of the localities of Caulfield South, Elsternwick, Gardenvale, Glen Huntly, and Ormond from the Division of Goldstein	S8	Benjamin Close	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S9	PJ	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Higgins gain all or part of the localities of Bentleigh East, Hughesdale, and Oakleigh from the Division of Hotham	S9	PJ	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
S61	Australian Greens Victoria		
the Division of Higgins gain part of the locality of Glen Iris from the Division of Kooyong	S8	Benjamin Close	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Higgins gain all or part of Glen Eira City Council from the Division of Macnamara	S8	Benjamin Close	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Higgins gain all of part of the localities of Balaclava, Elwood, and St Kilda from the Division of Macnamara	S16	Ben	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
the Division of Higgins gain part of the localities of Melbourne and South Yarra from the Division of Macnamara	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	the Division of Higgins be abolished
	S41	Phillip Drake	
the Division of Holt gain all or part of the localities of Berwick, Hallam, Narre Warren, and Narre Warren South from the Division of Bruce	S9	PJ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Hallam and Narre Warren remain in the proposed Division of Bruce, the locality of Narre Warren South remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and Holt, and the locality of Berwick remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and La Trobe
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS36	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
CS72	Australian Greens Victoria		
the Division of Holt gain part of the Greater Dandenong City Council from the Division of Isaacs	S49	Colin McLaren	the Greater Dandenong City Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce, Hotham, and Isaacs

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Holt gain all or part of the locality of Clyde North from the Division of La Trobe	S8	Benjamin Close	the locality of Clyde North remain unchanged and continue to be divided between the proposed Divisions of Holt and La Trobe
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Hotham gain all or part of the localities of Dandenong North and Noble Park North from the Division of Bruce	S8	Benjamin Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the locality of Dandenong North to remain within the proposed Division of Bruce, and the locality of Noble Park North remains divided between the proposed Divisions of Bruce and Hotham
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Wadell	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Hotham gain all or part of the localities of Clayton, Glen Waverley, Mulgrave, and Notting Hill from the Division of Chisholm	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Hotham gain the localities of Clayton and Notting Hill, and part of the localities of Glen Waverley and Mulgrave from the Division of Chisholm
	S9	PJ	
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Hotham gain all or part of the localities of Ashwood, Chadstone, and Mount Waverley from the Division of Chisholm	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Hotham gain part of the localities of Chadstone and Mount Waverley from the Division of Chisholm, and the locality of Ashwood remains within the proposed Division of Chisholm
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Hotham gain all or part of the locality of Wheelers Hill from the Division of Chisholm	S9	PJ	the locality of Wheelers Hill remains within the proposed Division of Chisholm
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Hotham gain all or part of the localities of Bentleigh East, Carnegie, Murrumbeena, and Ormond from the Division of Higgins	S16	Ben	the proposed Division of Hotham gain the localities of Carnegie and Murrumbeena and part of the locality of Ormond from the Division of Higgins
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Hotham gain part of the locality of Keysborough from the Division of Isaacs	S25	Darren McSweeney	the proposed Division of Isaacs gain the locality of Keysborough from the Division of Hotham
the boundaries of the Division of Indi be left unchanged	S9	PJ	the boundaries of the Division of Indi be left unchanged
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division		
the Division of Indi gain part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council from the Division of Casey	S53	Trent Wilson	the Yarra Ranges Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Casey
the Division of Indi gain Kinglake National Park from the Division of McEwen	S45	Zoe Cook	Kinglake National Park remains divided between the proposed Divisions of Casey and McEwen
the Division of Indi gain part of the Greater Shepparton City Council and Mitchell Shire Council from the Division of Nicholls	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Greater Shepparton City Council remain within the proposed Division of Nicholls, and the Mitchell Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Bendigo, McEwen and Nicholls

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Indi gain all or part of Moira Shire Council from the Division of Nicholls	S8	Benjamin Close	the Moira Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Nicholls
	S41	Phillip Drake	
the Division of Indi gain part of Strathbogie Shire Council from the Division of Nicholls	S42	David Lumsden	the Strathbogie Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Indi and Nicholls
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Isaacs gain all or part of the localities of Beaumaris, Black Rock, Cheltenham, Highett, and Sandringham from the Division of Goldstein	S8	Benjamin Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Beaumaris, Black Rock, Highett, and Sandringham remain in the proposed Division of Goldstein, and the locality of Cheltenham be divided between the Divisions of Goldstein and Isaacs
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
the Division of Isaacs gain all or part of the locality of Bentleigh East from the Division of Hotham	S10	Nimalan Sivakumar	the proposed Division of Goldstein gain part of the locality of Bentleigh East from the Division of Hotham
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
the Division of Isaacs gain part of the Kingston City Council from the Division of Hotham	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Isaacs gain part of the Kingston City Council from the Division of Hotham
	S9	PJ	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	
the Division of Isaacs gain all or part of the localities of Keysborough, Noble Park, and Springvale South from the Division of Hotham.	S16	Ben	the proposed Division of Isaacs gain the localities of Keysborough, Springvale South, and part of Noble Park from the Division of Hotham
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Jagajaga cross the Yarra River	S50	Henry Rees	the proposed Division of Jagajaga will not cross the Yarra River
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Jagajaga gain all or part of the localities of Alphington, Fairfield, and Northcote from the Division of Cooper	S25	Darren McSweeney	the localities of Alphington, Fairfield and Northcote remain within the proposed Division of Cooper
the Division of Jagajaga gain all or part of the localities of Diamond Creek, Hurstbridge, Plenty, and Wattle Glen from the Division of McEwen	S35	Jeff Waddell	the localities of Diamond Creek, Hurstbridge, Plenty and Wattle Glen remain within the proposed Division of McEwen
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Jagajaga gain all or part of the localities of Bulleen, Doncaster East, Templestowe, and Templestowe Lower from the Division of Menzies	S9	PJ	the localities of Bulleen, Doncaster East, Templestowe, and Templestowe Lower remain within the proposed Division of Menzies
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
the Division of Jagajaga gain all or part of the locality of North Warrandyte from the Division of Menzies	S41	Phillip Drake	the proposed Division of Jagajaga gain the locality of North Warrandyte from the Division of Menzies
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Jagajaga gain all or part of the localities of Bundoora and Kingsbury from the Division of Scullin	S25	Darren McSweeney	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the locality of Kingsbury remains within the proposed Division of Cooper, and the locality of Bundoora remains divided between the proposed Divisions of Cooper, Jagajaga, and Scullin
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Jagajaga gain part of the locality of Mill Park from the Division of Scullin	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	the locality of Mill Park remains within the proposed Division of Scullin
the boundaries of the Division of Kooyong be left unchanged	S9	PJ	the proposed Division of Kooyong be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S46	Dr Monique Ryan MP	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS58	Dr Monique Ryan MP	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Kooyong gain all or part of the locality of Burwood from the Division of Chisholm	S25	Darren McSweeney	the locality of Burwood remains within the proposed Division of Chisholm
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Kooyong gain the locality of Box Hill from the Division of Chisholm	CS39	Yuan Lin	the proposed Division of Menzies gain the locality of Box Hill from the Division of Chisholm
the Division of Kooyong gain all or part of the localities of Armadale, Glen Iris, Malvern, Malvern East, and Toorak from the Division of Higgins	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Kooyong gain the localities of Armadale, Malvern, and Toorak from the Division of Higgins, and the locality of Glen Iris is divided between the proposed Divisions of Chisholm and Kooyong
the Division of Kooyong's boundaries gain the localities of Ashburton and Glen Iris from the Division of Higgins	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Chisholm gain the localities of Ashburton and Glen Iris from the Division of Higgins, and the locality of Glen Iris be divided between the proposed Divisions of Chisholm and Kooyong
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
CS69	Anonymous 4		
the Division of Kooyong gain the locality of Ivanhoe from the Division of Jagajaga	S8	Benjamin Close	the locality of Ivanhoe remains within the proposed Division of Jagajaga
the Division of Kooyong gain part of the locality of Box Hill North from the Division of Menzies	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the locality of Box Hill North be united in the proposed Division of Menzies
the Division of Kooyong gain the locality of Bulleen from the Division of Menzies	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	the locality of Bulleen remains within the proposed Division of Menzies

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the boundaries of the Division of Lalor be left unchanged	S8	Benjamin Close	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Lalor be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Lalor gain all or part of the locality of Truganina from the Division of Gellibrand	S5	Roger Nye	the locality of Truganina remains divided between the Divisions of Gellibrand, Gorton and Lalor
	S9	PJ	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of La Trobe be minimally altered	S6	Martin Gordon	the boundaries of the proposed Division of La Trobe be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
the Division of La Trobe gain all or part of the localities of Narre Warren and Narre Warren North from the Division of Bruce	S14	Rhonda Garad	the localities of Narre Warren and Narre Warren North remain within the proposed Division of Bruce
	S15	Isabelle Thanh Tam Do	
	S18	Pam Naylor	
	S19	Ishaq Hazara	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS69	Anonymous 4	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of La Trobe gain part of the locality of Berwick from the Division of Bruce	S35	Jeff Waddell	the proposed Division of Bruce gain part of the locality of Berwick from the Division of La Trobe
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of La Trobe gain part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council from the Division of Casey	S8	Benjamin Close	the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Casey and La Trobe remain unchanged
	S42	David Lumsden	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of La Trobe gain all or part of the localities of Avonsleigh, Clematis, Emerald, and Macclesfield from the Division of Casey	S25	Darren McSweeney	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Avonsleigh, Clematis, and Macclesfield remain within the proposed Division of Casey, and the locality of Emerald remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Casey and La Trobe
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	CS72	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of La Trobe gain part of the Bass Coast Shire Council from the Division of Monash	S8	Benjamin Close	the Bass Coast Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Monash
the Division of La Trobe gain the locality of Lang Lang from the Division of Monash	S42	David Lumsden	the locality of Lang Lang remain divided between the Divisions of La Trobe and Monash
the boundaries of the Division of Macnamara be left unchanged	S42	David Lumsden	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Macnamara be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS73	Josh Parris	
the Division of Macnamara not cross the Yarra River	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the proposed Division of Macnamara not cross the Yarra River
the Division of Macnamara retain the locality of Southbank	CS33	Punjabi Club of Victoria	the proposed Division of Macnamara retains the locality of Southbank
the Division of Macnamara retain the localities of Balaclava, St Kilda, and St Kilda East	CS9	Yvonne Fein	the localities of Balaclava, St Kilda East and St Kilda remain in the proposed Division of Macnamara

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Macnamara gain all or part of the localities of Bentleigh, Brighton, Brighton East, Caulfield South, Elsternwick, Gardenvale, Glen Huntly, Hampton, McKinnon, and Ormond from the Division of Goldstein	S9	PJ	the boundary between the Divisions of Goldstein and Macnamara remains unchanged
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Macnamara gain all or part of the localities of Armadale, Carnegie, Glen Iris, Malvern, Prahran, Toorak, Windsor, and South Yarra from the Division of Higgins	S3	Fabio Scalia	the localities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Armadale, Malvern, and Toorak be transferred to the proposed Division of Kooyong, • South Yarra be united within the proposed Division of Melbourne, • Prahran be divided between the proposed Divisions of Kooyong and Melbourne, • Carnegie be transferred to the proposed Division of Hotham, • Glen Iris be transferred to the proposed Division of Chisholm, and • Windsor remain within the proposed Division of Macnamara
	S8	Benjamin Close	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
CS39	Yuan Lin		
the boundaries of the Division of Mallee be left unchanged	S8	Benjamin Close	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Mallee be left unchanged
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Mallee gain all or part of the Campaspe Shire Council from the Division of Nicholls	S16	Ben	the Campaspe Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Nicholls
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Mallee gain part of the Pyrenees Shire Council from the Division of Wannon	S9	PJ	the Pyrenees Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Mallee and Wannon
the Division of Mallee gain the locality of Ararat from the Division of Wannon	S27	Anonymous 1	the locality of Ararat remain in the proposed Division of Wannon

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the boundaries of the Division of Maribyrnong be left unchanged	CS24	Mark Brendan Roberts	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Maribyrnong be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
the Moonee Valley City Council remain entirely within the Division of Maribyrnong	CS43	Katrina Hodgson	the majority of the Moonee Valley City Council remain within the proposed Division of Maribyrnong, with small sections without electors transferred to the proposed Divisions of Melbourne and Wills
refrain from pushing the Division of Maribyrnong south into the Melbourne City Council	CS21	Cathy Bates	the proposed Division of Maribyrnong retains its existing section of the Melbourne City Council, and gains small sections without electors to consolidate its electoral boundary
the locality of Keilor should not be transferred into the Division of Maribyrnong	CS24	Mark Brendan Roberts	the locality of Keilor be divided between the proposed Divisions of Gorton, Hawke and Maribyrnong
the Division of Maribyrnong gain all or part of the localities of Attwood, Greenvale, and Westmeadows from the Division of Calwell	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Attwood, Greenvale and Westmeadows remain within the proposed Division of Calwell
	S9	PJ	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Maribyrnong gain all or part of the localities of Braybrook, Footscray, Maidstone, and Maribyrnong from the Division of Fraser	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	the localities of Braybrook, Footscray, Maidstone, and Maribyrnong remain within the proposed Division of Fraser
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
	CS20	Bruce Fordham	
	CS21	Cathy Bates	
	CS22	Justin Helman	
	CS29	Keilor Resident	
	CS32	Glenice Thomas	
	CS41	Elie Abouzeid	
	CS43	Katrina Hodgson	
	CS46	Bill Shorten MP	
	CS52	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Maribyrnong gain part of the localities of Calder Park, Keilor, Keilor Downs, Keilor North, and Taylors Lakes from the Division of Gorton	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Calder Park, Keilor Downs, and Taylors Lakes remain within the proposed Division of Gorton, and the localities of Keilor and Keilor North be divided between the proposed Divisions of Gorton and Hawke
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Maribyrnong gain all or part of the localities of North Melbourne, Parkville, and West Melbourne from the Division of Melbourne	S49	Colin McLaren	the localities of North Melbourne, Parkville and West Melbourne remain within the Division of Melbourne
the Division of Maribyrnong gain part of the locality Glenroy and surrounds from the Division of Wills	S62	Luke Dixon	the Division of Maribyrnong gain part of the locality of Glenroy from the Division of Wills
the localities of Mernda and Doreen be united within the Division of McEwen	S44	Jarrold Lappin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the locality of Doreen remains within the proposed Division of McEwen, and the locality of Mernda remains divided between the proposed Divisions of McEwen and Scullin
the Division of McEwen gain all or part of the localities of Craigieburn, Kalkallo, Mickleham, Roxburgh Park North, and Yuroke from the Division of Calwell	S35	Jeff Waddell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of McEwen gain part of the localities of Craigieburn and Kalkallo from the Division of Calwell, and the proposed Division of Calwell retain the localities of Mickleham, Roxburgh Park North, and Yuroke
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of McEwen gain the localities of Kyneton and Malmesbury from the Division of Bendigo	S28	Neil Pharaoh	the localities of Kyneton and Malmesbury remain within the proposed Division of Bendigo
the Division of McEwen gain all or part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council from the Division of Casey	S9	PJ	the Yarra Ranges Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Casey
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of McEwen gain the localities of Bulla and Sunbury from the Division of Hawke	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the localities of Bulla and Sunbury remain within the proposed Division of Hawke

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of McEwen gain all or part of the Murrindindi Shire Council from the Division of Indi	S42	David Lumsden	the Murrindindi Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Indi
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of McEwen gain all or part of the of the Nillumbik Shire Council and the northern part of Banyule City Council from the Division of Jagajaga	S8	Benjamin Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Nillumbik Shire Council be split between the proposed Divisions of Casey, Jagajaga and McEwen, and the Banyule City Council remain within the Division of Jagajaga
	S9	PJ	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of McEwen gain the locality of North Warrandyte from the Division of Menzies	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Jagajaga gain the locality of North Warrandyte from the Division of Menzies
	S9	PJ	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
the Division of McEwen gain the locality of Warrandyte from the Division of Menzies	S62	Luke Dixon	the locality of Warrandyte remains within the proposed Division of Menzies
the Division of McEwen gain the localities of Broadford, High Camp, Reedy Creek, Sunday Creek, and Tyaak from the Division of Nicholls	S16	Ben	the localities of Broadford, High Camp, Reedy Creek, Sunday Creek, and Tyaak remain within the proposed Division of Nicholls
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of McEwen gain all or part of the localities of Epping, Mernda, Mill Park, and South Morang from the Division of Scullin	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the locality of Mernda remains divided between the proposed Divisions of McEwen and Scullin, and the localities of Epping, Mill Park and South Morang remain within the proposed Division of Scullin
	S36	D. G. Clarke	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the boundaries of the Division of Melbourne be left unchanged, or be minimally altered	S58	Peter Mitchell	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Melbourne be altered to ensure its neighbouring divisions meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS33	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
the Division of Melbourne cross the Yarra River	S6	Martin Gordon	the proposed Division of Melbourne cross the Yarra River
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	CS13	Ben	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Melbourne should not cross the Yarra River	CS52	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the proposed Division of Melbourne cross the Yarra River

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Melbourne gain all part of the Melbourne City Council from the Divisions of Macnamara, Maribyrnong, and Wills	S35	Jeff Waddell	the Melbourne City Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Macnamara, Maribyrnong, Melbourne, and Wills
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
the Division of Melbourne gain part of the locality of Northcote from the Division of Cooper	S8	Benjamin Close	the locality of Northcote remains within the proposed Division of Cooper
the Division of Melbourne gain part of the locality of Prahran from the Division of Higgins	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	the proposed Division of Melbourne gain part of the locality of Prahran from the Division of Higgins
the Division of Melbourne gain part of the Port Phillip City Council from the Division of Macnamara	S16	Ben	the Port Phillip City Council is retained within the proposed Division of Macnamara
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS8	Crew Charleigh	
the Division of Melbourne gain all or part of the localities of Ascot Vale, Flemington, Kensington, and Travancore from the Division of Maribyrnong	CS39	Yuan Lin	the localities of Ascot Vale, Flemington, Kensington, and Travancore remain within the proposed Division of Maribyrnong
	S16	Ben	
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
	CS46	Bill Shorten MP	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Melbourne gain part of the localities of Brunswick, Brunswick East, and Brunswick West from the Division of Wills	S9	PJ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Brunswick and Brunswick East be united in the proposed Division of Wills, and the locality of Brunswick West be divided between the proposed Divisions of Maribyrnong and Wills
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
the boundaries of the Division of Menzies be left unchanged, and the electoral division contain all of Manningham City Council	S59	Manningham City Council	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Menzies be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Menzies be altered to align as a north-south division	S39	Dr Paul Rival	the proposed Division of Menzies resemble more of a north-south oriented electoral division
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	CS8	Crew Charleigh	
	CS31	Dr Paul Rival	
the Division of Menzies should not cross the Yarra River	CS8	Crew Charleigh	the proposed Division of Menzies does not cross the Yarra River
	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Menzies retain all or part of the locality of Wonga Park	CS23	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	the proposed Division of Casey gains the locality of Wonga Park from the Division of Menzies
	CS25	Aaron Farr	
the Division of Menzies gain all or part of Banyule City Council from the Division of Jagajaga	S8	Benjamin Close	the Banyule City Council remain within the proposed Division of Jagajaga
	S37	Punjabi Club of Victoria	
	S39	Dr Paul Rival	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Menzies gain part of the Yarra Ranges Shire Council from the Division of Casey	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	the Yarra Ranges Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Casey
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
the Division of Menzies gain all or part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Blackburn South, Box Hill, Box Hill South, and Burwood from the Division of Chisholm and Deakin	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Menzies gains the localities of Box Hill and part of the localities of Blackburn, Blackburn North, Blackburn South and Box Hill South from the Divisions of Chisholm and Deakin, and the locality of Burwood remains within the proposed Division of Chisholm
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S48	Mr William Ma	
	S50	Henry Rees	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
CS39	Yuan Lin		

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Menzies gain all or part of the localities of Croydon Hills, Ringwood, Ringwood East, Ringwood North, and Warranwood from the Division of Deakin	S9	PJ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Croydon Hills, Ringwood East, Ringwood North and Warranwood remain within the proposed Division of Deakin, and the locality of Ringwood be split between the proposed Divisions of Aston and Deakin
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
Springvale Road be used as the western boundary between the Division of Menzies and Deakin	S41	Phillip Drake	Springvale Road be used as part of the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Deakin and Menzies
	S50	Henry Rees	
the Division of Menzies gain part of Nillumbik Shire Council from the Divisions of Jagajaga and McEwen	S45	Zoe Cook	the Nillumbik Shire Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Casey, Jagajaga and McEwen
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the Division of Menzies gain all of Whitehorse City Council from the Division of Kooyong	S41	Phillip Drake	the proposed Division of Menzies gains the part of Whitehorse City Council located within the existing Division of Kooyong
the Division of Menzies gain all or part of the localities of Balwyn, Balwyn North, Deepdene, Mont Albert, Mont Albert North, and Surrey Hills from the Division of Kooyong	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Menzies gains the localities of Mont Albert, Mont Albert North, part of the localities of Balywn, Balywn North and Surrey Hills from the Division of Kooyong, and the locality of Deepdene remains within the proposed Division of Kooyong
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the boundaries of the Division of Monash be left unchanged or be minimally altered	S6	Martin Gordon	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Monash be left unchanged
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Monash gain all or part of the Latrobe City Council from the Division of Gippsland	S8	Benjamin Close	the Latrobe City Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Gippsland and Monash

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Monash gain part of the Cardinia Shire Council from the Division of La Trobe	S9	PJ	Cardinia Shire Council is split between the Divisions of Casey and La Trobe
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the boundaries of the Division of Nicholls be left unchanged, or be minimally altered	S27	Anonymous 1	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Nicholls be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S56	The Nationals – Victoria	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
the Division of Nicholls gain all or part of the Greater Bendigo City Council from the Division of Bendigo	S45	Zoe Cook	the Greater Bendigo City Council remains within the Division of Bendigo
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Nicholls gain the Strathbogrie Shire Council from the Division of Indi	S35	Jeff Waddell	the Strathbogrie Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Indi and Nicholls
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
the Division of Nicholls gain part of the Gannawarra Shire Council and Loddon Shire Council from the Division of Mallee	S9	PJ	the Gannawarra Shire Council and Loddon Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Mallee
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Nicholls gain the locality of Kilmore and surrounds from the Division of McEwen	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Nicholls gains the localities of Forbes, Kilmore, Kilmore East, Moranding and Willowmavin from the Division of McEwen
	S16	Ben	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Nicholls gain all or part of the localities of Lancefield and Romsey from the Division of McEwen	S42	David Lumsden	the localities of Lancefield and Romsey remain within the proposed Division of McEwen
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
the Division of Nicholls gain all or part of the localities of Clonbinane, and Waterford Park from the Division of McEwen	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Clonbinane and Waterford Park remain within the proposed Division of McEwen
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the localities of Broadmeadows, Campbellfield, Craigieburn, Dallas, and Somerton from the Division of Calwell	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Scullin gains part of the localities of Campbellfield, Craigieburn and Somerton from the Division of Calwell, and the localities of Broadmeadows and Dallas remain within the proposed Division of Calwell
the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the localities of Bundoora, Coburg North, Kingsbury, Macleod, Preston, and Reservoir from the Division of Cooper	S16	Ben	the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Cooper and Scullin remains unchanged
the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the localities of Bundoora, Greensborough, Watsonia, and Watsonia North from the Division of Jagajaga	S9	PJ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the locality of Bundoora remains divided between the proposed Divisions of Cooper, Jagajaga and Scullin, and the localities of Greensborough, Watsonia, and Watsonia North remain within the proposed Division of Jagajaga
	S16	Ben	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the localities of Mernda and Wollert from the Division of McEwen	S8	Benjamin Close	the localities of Mernda and Wollert remain divided between the proposed Divisions of McEwen and Scullin
	S25	Darren McSweeney	
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S36	D. G. Clarke	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S44	Jarrold Lappin	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the localities of Diamond Creek, Plenty, Wattle Creek, and Yarrambat from McEwen	S9	PJ	the localities of Diamond Creek, Plenty, Wattle Creek, and Yarrambat remain within the proposed Division of McEwen
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Scullin gain all or part of the Whittlesea City Council from the Division of McEwen	S25	Darren McSweeney	the Whittlesea City Council be divided between the proposed Divisions of Jagajaga, McEwen and Scullin
	S45	Zoe Cook	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
the boundaries of the Division of Wannon be left unchanged	S25	Darren McSweeney	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Wannon be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Wannon gain all or part of the Golden Plains Shire Council from the Divisions of Ballarat and Corangamite	S8	Benjamin Close	the proposed Division of Wannon gains part of the Golden Plains Shire Council from the Divisions of Ballarat and Corangamite
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S63	Anonymous 3	
the Division of Wannon gain all or part of the Surf Coast Shire Council from the Division of Corangamite	S9	PJ	the proposed Division of Wannon gain part of the Surf Coast Shire Council from the Division of Corangamite
	S27	Anonymous 1	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S58	Peter Mitchell	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
the Division of Wannon gain all of the Pyrenees Shire Council from the Division of Mallee	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the Pyrenees Shire Council remain divided between the proposed Divisions of Mallee and Wannon
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	CS4	Benjamin Close	
the Division of Wannon gain part of the Northern Grampians Shire Council from the Division of Mallee	S16	Ben	the Northern Grampians Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Mallee
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
the Division of Wannon gain part of the West Wimmera Shire Council from the Division of Mallee	S62	Luke Dixon	the West Wimmera Shire Council remain within the proposed Division of Mallee
the boundaries of the Division of Wills be left unchanged	S63	Anonymous 3	the boundaries of the proposed Division of Wills be altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act
the Division of Wills gain all or part of the locality of Broadmeadows, Campbellfield, Coolaroo, and Dallas from the Division of Calwell	S9	PJ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the localities of Broadmeadows, Coolaroo and Dallas remain within the Division of Calwell, and the locality of Campbellfield be divided between the proposed Divisions of Calwell and Scullin
	S25	Darren McSweeney	

Suggestions and comments on suggestions recommend ...	Submission		The Redistribution Committee has proposed that...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Wills gain all or part of the Merri-bek City Council from the Divisions of Cooper and Melbourne	S16	Ben	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Wills gain that section of Merri-bek City Council located within the existing Division of Melbourne, and the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Cooper and Wills remains unchanged
	S63	Anonymous 3	
	CS2	Liberal Party of Australia – Victorian Division	
the Division of Wills gain all or part of the localities of Coburg and Coburg North from the Division of Cooper	S8	Benjamin Close	the boundary between the proposed Divisions of Cooper and Wills remains unchanged
	S42	David Lumsden	
	CS30	Joshua F Solomon	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	
the Division of Wills gain the locality of Gowanbrae from the Division of Maribyrnong	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	the locality of Gowanbrae remains within the proposed Division of Maribyrnong
	CS18	Steve Scicluna BA GradDipFin MBA	
the Division of Wills gain all or part of the localities of Brunswick East, Carlton, Carlton North, Fitzroy, Fitzroy North, North Melbourne, Parkville, and Princes Hill from the Division of Melbourne	S27	Anonymous 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed Division of Wills gain the localities of Brunswick East, Carlton North, Fitzroy North and Princes Hill from the Division of Melbourne, and the proposed Division of Melbourne retain the localities of Fitzroy, North Melbourne and Parkville
	S32	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	S35	Jeff Waddell	
	S41	Phillip Drake	
	S42	David Lumsden	
	S49	Colin McLaren	
	S53	Trent Wilson	
	S57	Australian Labor Party – Victorian Branch	
	S60	Dr Charles Richardson	
	S61	Australian Greens Victoria	
	S62	Luke Dixon	
	CS1	Colin McLaren	
	CS14	Yaron Gottlieb	
	CS39	Yuan Lin	

Note:

1. S = suggestion received

2. CS = comment on suggestion received

3. Refer to Appendix E and Appendix F for full list of suggestions and comments on suggestions received

Appendix H: Constructing proposed electoral boundaries

The AEC maintains the electoral roll on the basis of alignment to SA1s, and can provide data on enrolments and projected enrolments at this level. Accordingly, in formulating its proposals, the Redistribution Committee used SA1s as its basic building blocks. The SA1s have defined boundaries and are of differing sizes and shapes. In cases where the Redistribution Committee considered that a particular SA1 boundary was inappropriate for use as an electoral division boundary, the SA1 was split to provide a more meaningful boundary.

The indicative area of electoral divisions in Victoria has been calculated by aggregating the area of:

- all land-based SA1s;
- any parts of land-based SA1s; and
- any lakes, ponds, rivers, creeks, wetlands, or marshes not already included in land-based SA1s, that are contained within the divisional boundary of each electoral division.

Areas are calculated using the AEC's Electoral Boundary Mapping System (EBMS), developed within the 'MapInfo Professional' software package.

The Redistribution Committee used EBMS as an aid to modelling various boundary options.

Appendix I: Proposed distribution of electors in the Division of Higgins

Proposed distribution	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Chisholm		
Ashburton (Vic.)	5,466	5,679
Glen Iris – East	8,901	8,955
Malvern – Glen Iris	6,797	7,437
Malvern East	11,541	12,711
Total transferred to proposed Division of Chisholm	32,705	34,782
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Hotham		
Carnegie	12,202	13,329
Malvern East	3,514	3,924
Murrumbeena	6,281	6,864
Ormond – Glen Huntly	1,286	1,437
Total transferred to proposed Division of Hotham	23,283	25,554
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Kooyong		
Armadale	6,996	7,198
Malvern – Glen Iris	8,363	8,962
Prahran – Windsor	4,488	4,624
Toorak	10,033	10,556
Total transferred to proposed Division of Kooyong	29,880	31,340
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Macnamara		
Prahran – Windsor	4,895	5,251
Total transferred to proposed Division of Macnamara	4,895	5,251
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne		
Prahran – Windsor	4,628	4,802
South Yarra – North	6,921	7,720
South Yarra – South	7,023	7,205
Total transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne	18,572	19,727
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Higgins to another proposed electoral division	109,335	116,654

Appendix J: Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions

Determining the names of federal electoral divisions is part of the process of conducting a federal redistribution within a state or territory.

The criteria used by redistribution committees to propose the names of electoral divisions and used by augmented electoral commissions to determine the names of electoral divisions, have previously been the subject of recommendations from the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters. From these recommendations, a set of guidelines were developed as a point of reference only.

It should be noted that redistribution committees and augmented electoral commissions are in no way bound by the guidelines.

Naming after persons

In the main, electoral divisions should be named after deceased Australians who have rendered outstanding service to their country.

When new electoral divisions are created the names of former Prime Ministers should be considered.

Federation Divisional names

Every effort should be made to retain the names of original federation electoral divisions.

Geographical names

Locality or place names should generally be avoided, but in certain areas the use of geographical features may be appropriate (e.g. Perth).

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander names

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander names should be used where appropriate and as far as possible existing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander divisional names should be retained.

Other criteria

The names of Commonwealth electoral divisions should not duplicate existing state districts.

Qualifying names may be used where appropriate (e.g. North Sydney).

Names of electoral divisions should not be changed or transferred to new areas without very strong reasons.

When two or more electoral divisions are partially combined, as far as possible the name of the new electoral division should be that of the old electoral division which had the greatest number of electors within the new boundaries. However, where the socio-demographic nature of the electoral division in question has changed significantly, this should override the numerical formula.

Appendix K: Summary of existing electoral division names

The following table summarises electoral divisions as at Monday 2 August 2021.

Category	Victorian electoral divisions in this category	Australian electoral divisions in this category
Electoral division is named after one or more people	28 of 39 (71.7%)	116 of 151 (76.8%)
Electoral division is named after a man	21 of 39 (53.8%)	90 of 151 (59.6%)
Electoral division is named after a woman	5 of 39 (12.8%)	17 of 151 (11.3%)
Electoral division is jointly named	2 of 39 (5.1%)	9 of 151 (6.0%)
Electoral division is named after a former Prime Minister	9 of 39 (23.1%)	22 of 151 (14.6%)
Electoral division is named after a geographical feature	11 of 39 (28.2%)	35 of 151 (23.2%)
Electoral division is named for an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person or word	11 of 39 (28.2%)	24 of 151 (15.9%)
Electoral division is named for an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person	3 of 39 (7.7%)	8 of 151 (5.3%)
Electoral division is named for an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander word	8 of 39 (20.5%)	16 of 151 (10.6%)
Electoral division name is that of an original Federation electoral division	10 of 39 (25.6%)	36 of 151 (23.8%)

Source: Data available at: www.aec.gov.au/Electorates/Redistributions/electoral-names.htm

Note:

1. Jointly named electoral divisions are those which have been named for a husband and wife, for a family, or for a group of individuals with a common surname who may or may not be related.
2. Electoral divisions named for an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander word include those named for an anglicised version of an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander word.
3. Federation electoral divisions are those which were in place for the 1901 election.

Appendix L: Additional potential names of electoral divisions considered by the Redistribution Committee

Name	Lifespan	Occupation
Ada Mary à Beckett CBE	1872–1948	Academic, early childhood educationist, schoolteacher
Stella May Allan	1871–1962	Journalist, women's activist
Mary Ellinor Lucy Archer MBE	1893–1979	Agricultural scientist, librarian
Dame Beryl Edith Beaurepaire OBE AC DBE	1923–2018	Community worker, patron, women's activist
Clarice Marjoribanks Beckett	1887–1935	Artist, carer
Dr Lucy Meredith Bryce CBE	1897–1968	Bacteriologist, haematologist, medical scientist, medical writer, pathologist, Red Cross administrator
Dr Gwynneth Vaughan Buchanan	1886–1945	Academic, biologist, schoolteacher, women's activist, zoologist
Dame Kate Isabel Campbell CBE DBE	1899–1986	Academic, paediatrician
Dr Isabel Clifton Cookson	1893–1973	Academic, botanist, palaeobotanist
Judith Durham AO	1943–2022	Singer
Moya Claire Dyring	1909–1967	Artist
Dr Beatrice Eileen Faust AO	1939–2019	Civil libertarian, journalist, women's activist
Dame Phyllis Irene Frost AC CBE DBE	1917–2004	Physiotherapist, welfare worker
Elizabeth Hastings	1949–1998	Disability rights activist, psychologist, public servant
Joy St Clair Hester	1920–1960	Artist
Ruth Miriam Hollick	1883–1977	Photographer
Lelda Sunday Reed	1905–1981	Art patron
Ethel Florence (Henry Handel) Richardson	1870–1946	Diarist, writer
Nessie Mary Skuta OAM	1929–1995	Indigenous rights and education lobbyist
Clara Southern	1860–1940	Artist
Dr Emma Constance Stone	1856–1902	Medical practitioner
Dr Emily Mary Page Stone	1865–1910	Medical practitioner
Dr Grace Clara Stone	1860–1957	Medical practitioner
Cecile Eunice Storey AM	1933–1997	Teacher, lobbyist
Dr Georgina Sweet OBE	1875–1946	Academic, women's activist, zoologist
Dr Isabella Henrietta Younger Ross OBE	1887–1956	Medical practitioner, maternal and infant health reformer

Appendix M: Timetable for the remainder of the redistribution of Victoria

Provision of the Electoral Act	Requirement	Date
ss.68(2)	Invitation to make written objections and written comments on objections	Gazette notice to be published on Friday 31 May 2024
para 68(2)(a)	Objections close at 6pm on the 4th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice	Written objections must be received by 6pm AEST on Friday 28 June 2024
ss.69(2)	Objections made available for public perusal starting on the 5th Monday after publication of the Gazette notice	Objections will be made available in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria and on the AEC website on Monday 1 July 2024
para 68(2)(b)	Comments on objections close at 6pm on the 6th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice	Comments on objections must be received by 6pm AEST on Friday 12 July 2024
ss.69(4)	Comments on objections made available for public perusal starting on the 7th Monday after publication of the Gazette notice	Comments on objections will be made available in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria and on the AEC website on Monday 15 July 2024
ss.72(1)	Consideration of all objections and comments on objections received by the statutory timeframe	The augmented Electoral Commission will consider objections and comments on objections during July and August 2024
ss.72(3)	Public inquiry/inquiries into objections and comments on objections held (if required)	Date(s) to be advised ¹
para 72(10)(b)	The augmented Electoral Commission announces the proposed redistribution	Date to be advised
ss.72(12) and 72(13)	Further objection period – if required	Date(s) to be advised
ss.73(1)	Determination of names and boundaries of electoral divisions published in the Gazette	Thursday 17 October 2024
s.75(2)	Redistribution report laid before both Houses of Parliament	Date to be advised
	Redistribution report and maps available to the public	Date to be advised

Note:

1. A public inquiry, if required, would be held between July and August 2024.

Appendix N: General description of how proposed electoral divisions are constituted

The following tables show how each electoral division has been constructed and are intended to assist electors to identify if their electoral division will be altered as a result of this proposed redistribution.

The unit to display this construction is Statistical Area 2s (SA2s). Each SA2 comprises a number of SA1s.

Proposed electoral divisions are displayed in alphabetical order.

Proposed Division of Aston

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Aston		
Bayswater	8,467	8,910
Boronia	16,336	16,989
Ferntree Gully (South) – Upper Ferntree	10,918	11,177
Ferntree Gully – North	10,293	10,661
Knoxfield – Scoresby	10,871	11,238
Lysterfield	4,896	4,774
Rowville – Central	10,858	11,280
Rowville – North	5,560	5,524
Rowville – South	7,703	7,909
The Basin	3,090	2,976
Wantirna	9,512	9,516
Wantirna South	12,264	12,503
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Aston	110,768	113,457
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Aston		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Deakin		
Bayswater North	6,363	6,352
Ringwood	401	474
Ringwood East	3,714	3,768
Total transferred from the existing Division of Deakin	10,478	10,594
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Aston	10,478	10,594
Total for proposed Division of Aston	121,246	124,051

Proposed Division of Ballarat

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Ballarat		
Alfredton	12,016	14,996
Avoca	37	4
Ballarat	8,867	8,800
Ballarat East – Warrenheip	7,121	7,439
Ballarat North – Invermay	11,284	12,032
Beaufort	200	181
Buninyong	5,217	5,218
Canadian – Mount Clear	8,693	9,685
Creswick – Clunes	6,195	6,388
Daylesford	6,864	7,646
Delacombe	8,380	12,464
Golden Plains – North	3,594	3,879
Golden Plains – South	4,512	4,753
Gordon (Vic.)	4,732	4,817
Sebastopol – Redan	9,786	10,000
Smythes Creek	3,191	3,019
Wendouree – Miners Rest	11,044	11,599
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Ballarat	111,733	122,920
Total for proposed Division of Ballarat	111,733	122,920
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Ballarat to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Bendigo		
Daylesford	865	926
Total transferred to proposed Division of Bendigo	865	926
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Corio		
Golden Plains – South	277	274
Total transferred to proposed Division of Corio	277	274
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Ballarat to another proposed electoral division	1,142	1,200

Proposed Division of Bendigo

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Bendigo		
Bendigo	10,935	10,656
Bendigo Surrounds – North	3,685	4,036
Bendigo Surrounds – South	6,057	6,888
California Gully – Eaglehawk	9,495	10,469
Castlemaine	8,613	9,227
Castlemaine Surrounds	7,540	8,205
Daylesford	0	0
East Bendigo – Kennington	10,720	10,942
Flora Hill – Spring Gully	7,030	7,113
Heathcote	3,904	4,548
Kangaroo Flat – Golden Square	15,930	16,752
Kyneton	7,767	8,154
Maiden Gully	3,864	4,174
Maryborough Surrounds	2	0
Romsey	107	109
Seymour Surrounds	115	117
Strathfieldsaye	7,639	8,613
White Hills – Ascot	9,978	11,053
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Bendigo	113,381	121,056
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Bendigo		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Ballarat		
Daylesford	865	926
Total transferred from the existing Division of Ballarat	865	926
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Nicholls		
Seymour Surrounds	863	897
Total transferred from the existing Division of Nicholls	863	897
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Bendigo	1,728	1,823
Total for proposed Division of Bendigo	115,109	122,879

Proposed Division of Bruce

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Bruce		
Belgrave – Selby	0	0
Berwick – North	9,938	10,130
Berwick – South West	6,803	8,100
Dandenong – North	7,519	7,594
Dandenong North	14,523	14,789
Doveton	6,710	7,404
Endeavour Hills – North	8,307	8,618
Endeavour Hills – South	9,216	9,213
Hallam	6,998	7,372
Lysterfield	0	0
Mulgrave	3,245	3,449
Narre Warren – North East	9,352	9,410
Narre Warren – South West	9,181	9,859
Narre Warren North	5,462	5,470
Narre Warren South – East	8,671	9,107
Narre Warren South – West	1,527	1,588
Noble Park North	3,329	3,435
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Bruce	110,781	115,538
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Bruce		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Holt		
Cranbourne North – East	6,760	7,866
Total transferred from the existing Division of Holt	6,760	7,866
Electors transferred from the existing Division of La Trobe		
Beaconsfield – Officer	316	401
Berwick – North	6,680	6,972
Berwick – South East	335	382
Narre Warren North	476	495
Total transferred from the existing Division of La Trobe	7,807	8,250
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Bruce	14,567	16,116
Total for proposed Division of Bruce	125,348	131,654
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Bruce to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Isaacs		
Dandenong – North	3,410	3,479
Noble Park – East	116	118
Total transferred to proposed Division of Isaacs	3,526	3,597
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Bruce to another proposed electoral division	3,526	3,597

Proposed Division of Calwell

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Calwell		
Broadmeadows	7,643	7,918
Campbellfield – Coolaroo	7,598	7,436
Craigieburn – Central	4,986	4,929
Craigieburn – North	6,865	7,438
Craigieburn – North West	4,634	6,122
Craigieburn – South	12,455	13,994
Craigieburn – West	6,825	8,226
Gladstone Park – Westmeadows	7,260	7,464
Greenvale – Bulla	15,574	19,099
Meadow Heights	9,623	9,174
Mickleham – Yuroke	10,836	21,905
Roxburgh Park – North	8,795	9,845
Roxburgh Park (South) – Somerton	6,108	6,560
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Calwell	109,202	130,110
Total for proposed Division of Calwell	109,202	130,110
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Calwell to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of McEwen		
Craigieburn – Central	0	0
Craigieburn – North	37	36
Mickleham – Yuroke	3,597	7,597
Total transferred to proposed Division of McEwen	3,634	7,633
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Scullin		
Campbellfield – Coolaroo	2,481	2,433
Craigieburn – South	8	8
Roxburgh Park (South) – Somerton	2	3
Total transferred to proposed Division of Scullin	2,491	2,444
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Calwell to another proposed electoral division	6,125	10,077

Proposed Division of Casey

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Casey		
Belgrave – Selby	7,316	7,251
Chirnside Park	8,512	9,144
Emerald – Cockatoo	1,169	1,114
Healesville – Yarra Glen	10,436	10,740
Kilsyth	7,199	7,947
Kinglake	0	0
Lilydale – Coldstream	14,781	15,746
Lysterfield	32	31
Monbulk – Silvan	4,289	4,183
Montrose	5,009	4,985
Mooroolbark	16,282	17,252
Mount Baw Baw Region	0	0
Mount Dandenong – Olinda	7,338	7,165
Mount Evelyn	7,261	7,007
The Basin	0	0
Upper Yarra Valley	151	174
Upwey – Tecoma	7,255	6,851
Wandin – Seville	5,945	6,124
Warrandyte – Wonga Park	323	271
Yarra Valley	12,338	12,861
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Casey	115,636	118,846
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Casey		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of McEwen		
Panton Hill – St Andrews	1,512	1,589
Total transferred from the existing Division of McEwen	1,512	1,589
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Menzies		
Warrandyte – Wonga Park	2,390	2,390
Total transferred from the existing Division of Menzies	2,390	2,390
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Casey	3,902	3,979
Total for proposed Division of Casey	119,538	122,825

Proposed Division of Chisholm

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Chisholm		
Ashwood – Chadstone	10,435	11,656
Blackburn South	69	76
Box Hill	1,008	1,061
Burwood (Vic.)	6,947	8,177
Burwood East	5,498	6,540
Glen Waverley – East	13,002	13,650
Glen Waverley – West	11,292	12,141
Mount Waverley – North	10,044	10,705
Mount Waverley – South	9,532	10,308
Mulgrave	0	0
Wheelers Hill	13,957	14,259
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Chisholm	81,784	88,573
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Chisholm		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Higgins		
Ashburton (Vic.)	5,466	5,679
Glen Iris – East	8,901	8,955
Malvern – Glen Iris	6,797	7,437
Malvern East	11,541	12,711
Total transferred from the existing Division of Higgins	32,705	34,782
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Kooyong		
Camberwell	3,982	4,162
Glen Iris – East	2,972	3,085
Hawthorn East	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Kooyong	6,954	7,247
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Chisholm	39,659	42,029
Total for proposed Division of Chisholm	121,443	130,602
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Deakin		
Burwood East	1,386	1,548
Total transferred to proposed Division of Deakin	1,386	1,548
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Hotham		
Ashwood – Chadstone	1,723	1,916
Clayton (North) – Notting Hill	2,280	2,721
Glen Waverley – West	550	587
Mount Waverley – South	2,681	2,874
Mulgrave	880	940
Oakleigh – Huntingdale	876	1,104
Wheelers Hill	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Hotham	8,990	10,142
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Menzies		
Blackburn	4,038	4,296
Blackburn South	6,039	6,105
Box Hill	8,435	9,142
Total transferred to proposed Division of Menzies	18,512	19,543
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm to another proposed electoral division	28,888	31,233

Proposed Division of Cooper

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Cooper		
Alphington – Fairfield	6,750	7,341
Clifton Hill – Alphington	2,645	3,234
Coburg – East	438	445
Coburg North	2,665	2,889
Heidelberg West	0	0
Kingsbury	6,386	7,668
Northcote – East	11,432	11,710
Northcote – West	7,883	8,575
Preston – East	14,998	16,245
Preston – West	8,930	9,471
Reservoir – North East	9,773	10,481
Reservoir – North West	6,906	6,715
Reservoir – South East	8,705	9,385
Reservoir – South West	9,310	9,980
Thornbury	14,122	14,981
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Cooper	110,943	119,120
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Cooper		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne		
Clifton Hill – Alphington	4,938	5,697
Total transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne	4,938	5,697
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Cooper	4,938	5,697
Total for proposed Division of Cooper	115,881	124,817

Proposed Division of Corangamite

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Corangamite		
Barwon Heads – Armstrong Creek	8,875	11,409
Belmont	0	0
Charlemont	7,480	13,193
Clifton Springs	13,129	14,755
Grovedale – Mount Duneed	18,379	21,714
Highton	1,183	1,267
Leopold	10,167	10,952
Newcomb – Moolap	1,420	1,450
Ocean Grove	14,806	16,465
Point Lonsdale – Queenscliff	4,852	5,548
Portarlington	8,725	10,833
Torquay	17,634	20,167
Winchelsea	45	44
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Corangamite	106,695	127,797
Total for proposed Division of Corangamite	106,695	127,797
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Corangamite to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Corio		
Bannockburn	5,508	6,192
Corio – Lovely Banks	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Corio	5,508	6,192
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Wannon		
Golden Plains – South	1,228	1,230
Torquay	496	552
Winchelsea	2,604	2,573
Total transferred to proposed Division of Wannon	4,328	4,355
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Corangamite to another proposed electoral division	9,836	10,547

Proposed Division of Corio

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Corio		
Bacchus Marsh Surrounds	0	0
Belmont	10,433	10,442
Charlemont	0	0
Corio – Lovely Banks	12,257	12,160
Geelong	9,866	10,100
Geelong West – Hamlyn Heights	15,458	15,570
Grovedale – Mount Duneed	0	0
Highton	15,893	17,243
Lara	14,711	16,426
Newcomb – Moolap	10,248	10,150
Newtown (Vic.)	7,516	7,053
Norlane	6,091	6,098
North Geelong – Bell Park	11,512	11,702
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Corio	113,985	116,944
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Corio		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Ballarat		
Golden Plains – South	277	274
Total transferred from the existing Division of Ballarat	277	274
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Corangamite		
Bannockburn	5,508	6,192
Corio – Lovely Banks	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Corangamite	5,508	6,192
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Lalor		
Werribee – South	0	0
Werribee – West	640	758
Total transferred from the existing Division of Lalor	640	758
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Corio	6,425	7,224
Total for proposed Division of Corio	120,410	124,168

Proposed Division of Deakin

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Deakin		
Bayswater North	2,051	2,147
Blackburn	2,221	2,307
Blackburn South	962	964
Croydon – East	11,182	11,902
Croydon – West	10,011	10,433
Croydon Hills – Warranwood	13,297	13,219
Croydon South	3,502	3,748
Forest Hill	6,955	7,290
Mitcham (Vic.)	6,319	6,777
Nunawading	3,029	3,306
Ringwood	11,530	12,468
Ringwood East	10,568	10,922
Ringwood North	6,838	6,868
Vermont	6,909	7,073
Vermont South	7,862	8,409
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Deakin	103,236	107,833
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Deakin		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm		
Burwood East	1,386	1,548
Total transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm	1,386	1,548
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Menzies		
Blackburn	2,996	3,130
Donvale – Park Orchards	7,089	7,411
Mitcham (Vic.)	4,775	5,088
Nunawading	4,666	5,060
Warrandyte – Wonga Park	244	244
Total transferred from the existing Division of Menzies	19,770	20,933
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Deakin	21,156	22,481
Total for proposed Division of Deakin	124,392	130,314
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Deakin to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Aston		
Bayswater North	6,363	6,352
Ringwood	401	474
Ringwood East	3,714	3,768
Total transferred to proposed Division of Aston	10,478	10,594
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Deakin to another proposed electoral division	10,478	10,594

Proposed Division of Dunkley

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Dunkley		
Carrum Downs	15,372	16,381
Frankston	16,642	17,247
Frankston North	13,789	14,276
Frankston South	13,609	14,145
Langwarrin	18,049	18,863
Mount Eliza	3,732	3,673
Seaford (Vic.)	12,703	13,487
Skye – Sandhurst	9,177	9,794
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Dunkley	103,073	107,866
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Dunkley		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Isaacs		
Carrum – Patterson Lakes	9,096	9,615
Chelsea – Bonbeach	7,720	8,101
Chelsea Heights	1,459	1,433
Total transferred from the existing Division of Isaacs	18,275	19,149
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Dunkley	18,275	19,149
Total for proposed Division of Dunkley	121,348	127,015
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Dunkley to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Flinders		
Mount Eliza	9,642	9,785
Total transferred to proposed Division of Flinders	9,642	9,785
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Dunkley to another proposed electoral division	9,642	9,785

Proposed Division of Flinders

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Flinders		
Dromana	10,460	11,258
Flinders	4,662	4,997
French Island	94	110
Hastings – Somers	17,362	17,712
Mornington – East	11,014	11,329
Mornington – West	8,658	9,204
Mount Martha	14,529	15,224
Point Nepean	15,903	16,583
Rosebud – McCrae	17,757	18,392
Somerville	14,030	14,065
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Flinders	114,469	118,874
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Flinders		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Dunkley		
Mount Eliza	9,642	9,785
Total transferred from the existing Division of Dunkley	9,642	9,785
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Flinders	9,642	9,785
Total for proposed Division of Flinders	124,111	128,659

Proposed Division of Fraser

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Fraser		
Ardeer – Albion	4,600	4,698
Braybrook	12,031	13,363
Cairnlea	6,579	6,743
Deer Park	178	133
Derrimut	3	4
Footscray	11,639	14,188
Keilor	0	0
Keilor Downs	2,242	2,272
Maribyrnong	8,820	9,948
Seddon – Kingsville	6,509	6,960
St Albans – North	11,911	11,961
St Albans – South	10,168	9,757
Sunshine	5,552	6,052
Sunshine North	7,749	7,944
Sunshine West	12,083	11,858
West Footscray – Tottenham	7,722	8,519
Yarraville	5,303	5,456
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Fraser	113,089	119,856
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Fraser		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Gellibrand		
Altona North	1,288	1,355
Newport	1,928	2,016
Yarraville	5,560	5,979
Total transferred from the existing Division of Gellibrand	8,776	9,350
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Fraser	8,776	9,350
Total for proposed Division of Fraser	121,865	129,206

Proposed Division of Gellibrand

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Gellibrand		
Altona	9,744	10,200
Altona Meadows	12,991	12,894
Altona North	8,639	9,028
Laverton	2,587	2,582
Newport	10,929	11,351
Point Cook – East	9,603	11,260
Point Cook – North East	8,498	9,250
Point Cook – North West	4,874	5,522
Point Cook – South	8,575	9,499
Seabrook	3,191	3,041
Truganina – North	4,495	8,526
Truganina – South East	2,831	3,638
Truganina – South West	5,134	5,719
Williamstown	11,984	12,929
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Gellibrand	104,075	115,439
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Gellibrand		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Lalor		
Laverton	2,940	3,698
Truganina – South East	1,389	1,247
Truganina – South West	3,244	3,578
Werribee – South	2,148	3,585
Total transferred from the existing Division of Lalor	9,721	12,108
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Gellibrand	9,721	12,108
Total for proposed Division of Gellibrand	113,796	127,547
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Gellibrand to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Fraser		
Altona North	1,288	1,355
Newport	1,928	2,016
Yarraville	5,560	5,979
Total transferred to proposed Division of Fraser	8,776	9,350
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Gellibrand to another proposed electoral division	8,776	9,350

Proposed Division of Gippsland

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Gippsland		
Alps – East	4	3
Alps – West	24	23
Bairnsdale	12,001	12,736
Bruthen – Omeo	6,326	6,818
Churchill	8,956	9,414
Lake King	1	1
Lakes Entrance	8,874	9,467
Leongatha	42	4
Longford – Loch Sport	3,866	4,186
Maffra	11,345	12,140
Moe – Newborough	106	107
Morwell	10,445	10,623
Mount Baw Baw Region	0	0
Orbost	5,079	5,436
Paynesville	6,056	6,653
Rosedale	2,995	2,992
Sale	11,212	11,647
Towong	0	0
Trafalgar (Vic.)	1	0
Traralgon – East	8,922	9,628
Traralgon – West	12,316	13,200
Yallourn North – Glengarry	3,623	3,798
Yarram	4,472	4,809
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Gippsland	116,666	123,685
Total for proposed Division of Gippsland	116,666	123,685

Proposed Division of Goldstein

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Goldstein		
Beaumaris	10,626	10,883
Bentleigh – McKinnon	16,674	18,295
Brighton (Vic.)	17,215	18,151
Brighton East	11,688	12,584
Caulfield – South	8,897	9,423
Elsterwick	4,417	4,726
Hampton	13,271	14,451
Highett (West) – Cheltenham	8,667	9,078
Ormond – Glen Huntly	6,461	7,330
Sandringham – Black Rock	13,167	13,998
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Goldstein	111,083	118,919
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Goldstein		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Hotham		
Bentleigh East – South	3,183	3,288
Total transferred from the existing Division of Hotham	3,183	3,288
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Isaacs		
Highett (East) – Cheltenham	4,025	4,580
Moorabbin – Heatherton	4,363	4,626
Total transferred from the existing Division of Isaacs	8,388	9,206
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Goldstein	11,571	12,494
Total for proposed Division of Goldstein	122,654	131,413

Proposed Division of Gorton

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Gorton		
Burnside	3,833	4,499
Burnside Heights	3,527	3,556
Caroline Springs	12,710	14,113
Deer Park	10,415	10,793
Delahey	5,704	5,742
Derrimut	4,629	5,033
Fraser Rise – Plumpton	8,614	11,496
Hillside	4,232	4,334
Keilor	4,396	4,590
Keilor Downs	7,296	7,302
Kings Park (Vic.)	9,076	9,077
Rockbank – Mount Cottrell	11,197	14,887
Sydenham	7,493	7,708
Taylor's Hill	12,390	13,728
Taylor's Lakes	13,087	12,515
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Gorton	118,599	129,373
Total for proposed Division of Gorton	118,599	129,373
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Gorton to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Hawke		
Keilor	59	50
Taylor's Lakes	50	42
Total transferred to proposed Division of Hawke	109	92
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Gorton to another proposed electoral division	109	92

Proposed Division of Hawke

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Hawke		
Bacchus Marsh	17,514	20,049
Bacchus Marsh Surrounds	4,862	5,070
Brookfield	5,859	6,068
Cobblebank – Strathtulloh	4,261	8,764
Diggers Rest	3,978	6,096
Eynesbury – Exford	2,063	2,282
Fraser Rise – Plumpton	72	72
Greenvale – Bulla	503	509
Hillside	7,011	7,418
Kurunjang – Toolern Vale	7,674	8,004
Lara	0	0
Melton	5,275	5,508
Melton South – Weir Views	10,131	13,918
Melton West	12,888	13,932
Rockbank – Mount Cottrell	14	13
Sunbury	10,062	10,250
Sunbury – South	10,960	12,557
Sunbury – West	8,098	7,826
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Hawke	111,225	128,336
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Hawke		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Gorton		
Keilor	59	50
Taylors Lakes	50	42
Total transferred from the existing Division of Gorton	109	92
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Lalor		
Manor Lakes – Quandong	3	4
Wyndham Vale – North	5	10
Total transferred from the existing Division of Lalor	8	14
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong		
Keilor	14	11
Melbourne Airport	79	76
Total transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong	93	87
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Hawke	210	193
Total for proposed Division of Hawke	111,435	128,529

Proposed Division of Holt

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Holt		
Cranbourne	13,391	14,338
Cranbourne East – North	12,957	14,758
Cranbourne East – South	7,189	11,262
Cranbourne North – West	7,494	7,799
Cranbourne South	12,399	19,706
Cranbourne West	12,872	16,422
Hampton Park – East	7,476	7,957
Hampton Park – West	7,734	8,070
Lynbrook – Lyndhurst	10,217	11,641
Narre Warren South – West	8,780	9,147
Pearcedale – Tooradin	5,890	6,122
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Holt	106,399	127,222
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Holt to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Bruce		
Cranbourne North – East	6,760	7,866
Total transferred to proposed Division of Bruce	6,760	7,866
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Holt to another proposed electoral division	6,760	7,866

Proposed Division of Hotham

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Hotham		
Bentleigh East – North	10,962	12,025
Bentleigh East – South	6,629	6,862
Clarinda – Oakleigh South	8,322	8,352
Clayton (North) – Notting Hill	910	1,164
Clayton – Central	5,038	6,286
Clayton South	7,395	8,620
Hughesdale	4,985	5,427
Mulgrave	8,932	9,745
Noble Park – East	4,690	5,082
Noble Park – West	753	751
Noble Park North	1,661	1,693
Oakleigh – Huntingdale	13,651	14,967
Springvale	12,083	13,283
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Hotham	86,011	94,257
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Hotham		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm		
Ashwood – Chadstone	1,723	1,916
Clayton (North) – Notting Hill	2,280	2,721
Glen Waverley – West	550	587
Mount Waverley – South	2,681	2,874
Mulgrave	880	940
Oakleigh – Huntingdale	876	1,104
Whealers Hill	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm	8,990	10,142
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Higgins		
Carnegie	12,202	13,329
Malvern East	3,514	3,924
Murrumbeena	6,281	6,864
Ormond – Glen Huntly	1,286	1,437
Total transferred from the existing Division of Higgins	23,283	25,554
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Hotham	32,273	35,696
Total for proposed Division of Hotham	118,284	129,953
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Hotham to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Goldstein		
Bentleigh East – South	3,183	3,288
Total transferred to proposed Division of Goldstein	3,183	3,288
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Isaacs		
Clayton South	0	0
Dingley Village	2	0
Keysborough – North	8,041	8,178
Moorabbin – Heatherton	0	0
Noble Park – East	2,149	2,362
Noble Park – West	10,350	10,898
Springvale South	7,968	8,001
Total transferred to proposed Division of Isaacs	28,510	29,439
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Hotham to another proposed electoral division	31,693	32,727

Proposed Division of Indi

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Indi		
Alexandra	5,571	5,903
Baranduda – Leneva	9,093	10,470
Beechworth	3,776	3,760
Benalla	8,660	8,962
Benalla Surrounds	2,904	3,041
Bright – Mount Beauty	6,399	6,676
Bruthen – Omeo	0	0
Chiltern – Indigo Valley	2,573	2,746
Euroa	4,639	4,878
Healesville – Yarra Glen	6	6
Kinglake	3,166	3,537
Mansfield (Vic.)	7,813	8,780
Myrtleford	3,621	3,745
Rutherglen	3,235	3,488
Towong	4,784	4,862
Upper Yarra Valley	0	0
Wangaratta	14,878	15,584
Wangaratta Surrounds	7,771	7,965
West Wodonga	11,387	11,954
Wodonga	11,358	10,874
Yackandandah	4,024	4,531
Yea	3,218	3,764
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Indi	118,876	125,526
Total for proposed Division of Indi	118,876	125,526

Proposed Division of Isaacs

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Isaacs		
Aspendale Gardens – Waterways	6,375	6,845
Braeside	26	22
Chelsea – Bonbeach	3,249	3,413
Chelsea Heights	2,465	2,492
Dandenong – South	5,042	5,418
Dingley Village	7,757	8,110
Edithvale – Aspendale	9,965	10,340
Highett (East) – Cheltenham	13,145	13,817
Keysborough – North	2,107	2,112
Keysborough – South	9,388	10,841
Mentone	9,713	10,335
Moorabbin – Heatherton	1,829	1,903
Moorabbin Airport	5	5
Mordialloc – Parkdale	15,355	16,157
Noble Park – East	0	0
Springvale South	0	0
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Isaacs	86,421	91,810
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Isaacs		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Bruce		
Dandenong – North	3,410	3,479
Noble Park – East	116	118
Total transferred from the existing Division of Bruce	3,526	3,597
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Hotham		
Clayton South	0	0
Dingley Village	2	0
Keysborough – North	8,041	8,178
Moorabbin – Heatherton	0	0
Noble Park – East	2,149	2,362
Noble Park – West	10,350	10,898
Springvale South	7,968	8,001
Total transferred from the existing Division of Hotham	28,510	29,439
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Isaacs	32,036	33,036
Total for proposed Division of Isaacs	118,457	124,846
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Isaacs to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Dunkley		
Carrum – Patterson Lakes	9,096	9,615
Chelsea – Bonbeach	7,720	8,101
Chelsea Heights	1,459	1,433
Total transferred to proposed Division of Dunkley	18,275	19,149
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Goldstein		
Highett (East) – Cheltenham	4,025	4,580
Moorabbin – Heatherton	4,363	4,626
Total transferred to proposed Division of Goldstein	8,388	9,206
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Isaacs to another proposed electoral division	26,663	28,355

Proposed Division of Jagajaga

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Jagajaga		
Bundoora – East	6,691	6,915
Eltham	17,089	17,204
Greensborough	15,155	15,227
Heidelberg – Rosanna	10,961	11,839
Heidelberg West	10,517	11,770
Ivanhoe	9,259	9,911
Ivanhoe East – Eaglemont	6,027	6,374
Montmorency – Briar Hill	12,168	12,526
Plenty – Yarrambat	3,869	3,776
Research – North Warrandyte	2,922	2,866
Viewbank – Yallambie	12,973	13,468
Watsonia	6,705	6,788
Wattle Glen – Diamond Creek	0	0
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Jagajaga	114,336	118,664
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Jagajaga		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Menzies		
Research – North Warrandyte	2,209	2,181
Total transferred from the existing Division of Menzies	2,209	2,181
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Scullin		
Bundoora – North	1,984	2,306
Total transferred from the existing Division of Scullin	1,984	2,306
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Jagajaga	4,193	4,487
Total for proposed Division of Jagajaga	118,529	123,151

Proposed Division of Kooyong

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Kooyong		
Balwyn	10,107	10,758
Balwyn North	12,182	12,774
Camberwell	10,937	11,207
Glen Iris – East	2	2
Hawthorn – North	7,154	7,944
Hawthorn – South	8,420	9,323
Hawthorn East	11,270	12,724
Kew – South	8,113	8,623
Kew – West	9,131	9,852
Kew East	4,680	5,124
Surrey Hills (West) – Canterbury	11,060	11,278
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Kooyong	93,056	99,609
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Kooyong		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Higgins		
Armadale	6,996	7,198
Malvern – Glen Iris	8,363	8,962
Prahran – Windsor	4,488	4,624
Toorak	10,033	10,556
Total transferred from the existing Division of Higgins	29,880	31,340
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Kooyong	29,880	31,340
Total for proposed Division of Kooyong	122,936	130,949
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Kooyong to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Chisholm		
Camberwell	3,982	4,162
Glen Iris – East	2,972	3,085
Hawthorn East	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Chisholm	6,954	7,247
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Menzies		
Balwyn	559	586
Balwyn North	1,607	1,684
Box Hill North	3,879	4,185
Doncaster	0	0
Surrey Hills (East) – Mont Albert	7,058	7,635
Surrey Hills (West) – Canterbury	473	509
Total transferred to proposed Division of Menzies	13,576	14,599
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Kooyong to another proposed electoral division	20,530	21,846

Proposed Division of Lalor

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Lalor		
Hoppers Crossing – North	12,142	11,862
Hoppers Crossing – South	11,519	11,305
Manor Lakes – Quandong	5,497	7,475
Tarneit (West) – Mount Cottrell	5,637	9,874
Tarneit – Central	12,775	14,009
Tarneit – North	3,981	7,177
Tarneit – South	5,798	6,437
Werribee – East	11,969	12,027
Werribee – South	8,284	8,512
Werribee – West	14,911	23,425
Wyndham Vale – North	5,567	10,620
Wyndham Vale – South	8,057	8,710
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Lalor	106,137	131,433
Total for proposed Division of Lalor	106,137	131,433
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Lalor to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Corio		
Werribee – South	0	0
Werribee – West	640	758
Total transferred to proposed Division of Corio	640	758
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Gellibrand		
Laverton	2,940	3,698
Truganina – South East	1,389	1,247
Truganina – South West	3,244	3,578
Werribee – South	2,148	3,585
Total transferred to proposed Division of Gellibrand	9,721	12,108
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Hawke		
Manor Lakes – Quandong	3	4
Wyndham Vale – North	5	10
Total transferred to proposed Division of Hawke	8	14
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Lalor to another proposed electoral division	10,369	12,880

Proposed Division of La Trobe

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of La Trobe		
Beaconsfield – Officer	15,808	22,369
Belgrave – Selby	0	0
Berwick – South East	11,397	12,576
Bunyip – Garfield	7,095	7,612
Clyde North – North	6,158	10,568
Clyde North – South	9,748	15,951
Emerald – Cockatoo	12,220	12,506
Koo Wee Rup	7,603	9,916
Pakenham – North East	10,000	10,920
Pakenham – North West	5,164	6,880
Pakenham – South East	3,975	4,095
Pakenham – South West	16,331	18,076
Total electors retained from the existing Division of La Trobe	105,499	131,469
Total for proposed Division of La Trobe	105,499	131,469
Electors transferred from the existing Division of La Trobe to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Bruce		
Beaconsfield – Officer	316	401
Berwick – North	6,680	6,972
Berwick – South East	335	382
Narre Warren North	476	495
Total transferred to proposed Division of Bruce	7,807	8,250
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of La Trobe to another proposed electoral division	7,807	8,250

Proposed Division of Macnamara

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Macnamara		
Albert Park	11,169	11,976
Caulfield – North	15,073	16,446
Caulfield – South	3,953	4,181
Docklands	1,683	2,066
Elsternwick	3,952	4,399
Elwood	10,999	11,291
Ormond – Glen Huntly	716	824
Port Melbourne	11,957	12,773
Port Melbourne Industrial	1,258	2,287
South Melbourne	8,430	9,033
Southbank (West) – South Wharf	3,234	3,582
Southbank – East	7,266	7,885
St Kilda – Central	8,269	9,048
St Kilda – West	9,428	10,264
St Kilda East	11,121	11,640
West Melbourne – Industrial	0	0
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Macnamara	108,508	117,695
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Macnamara		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Higgins		
Prahran – Windsor	4,895	5,251
Total transferred from the existing Division of Higgins	4,895	5,251
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Macnamara	4,895	5,251
Total for proposed Division of Macnamara	113,403	122,946
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Macnamara to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne		
East Melbourne	0	0
Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria	4	3
South Yarra – West	4,369	4,421
Total transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne	4,373	4,424
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Macnamara to another proposed electoral division	4,373	4,424

Proposed Division of Mallee

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Mallee		
Ararat Surrounds	0	0
Avoca	2,075	2,202
Bendigo Surrounds – North	103	119
Bendigo Surrounds – South	93	103
Buloke	4,758	4,925
Castlemaine Surrounds	16	17
Creswick – Clunes	0	0
Gannawarra	5,281	5,196
Horsham	12,577	12,897
Horsham Surrounds	2,617	2,504
Irymple	5,303	5,812
Kerang	2,929	2,901
Loddon	5,395	5,681
Maryborough (Vic.)	6,426	6,921
Maryborough Surrounds	4,464	4,943
Merbein	3,457	3,354
Mildura – North	12,488	13,002
Mildura – South	11,426	12,014
Mildura Surrounds	2,555	2,797
Nhill Region	5,216	5,149
Red Cliffs	4,238	4,432
Robinvale	1,735	1,673
Southern Grampians	0	0
St Arnaud	2,767	2,853
Stawell	6,295	6,431
Swan Hill	7,743	7,360
Swan Hill Surrounds	4,559	4,786
West Wimmera	2,069	2,058
Yarriambiack	4,978	4,921
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Mallee	121,563	125,051
Total for proposed Division of Mallee	121,563	125,051

Proposed Division of Maribyrnong

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Maribyrnong		
Airport West	5,998	6,255
Ascot Vale	10,693	11,127
Avondale Heights	8,780	8,773
Essendon (West) – Aberfeldie	11,801	12,450
Essendon – East	8,767	9,292
Essendon Airport	2	2
Flemington	6,163	6,851
Flemington Racecourse	53	53
Gladstone Park – Westmeadows	5,975	5,847
Glenroy – West	0	0
Gowanbrae	2,259	2,381
Keilor	2,011	2,066
Keilor Downs	0	0
Keilor East	10,896	11,486
Kensington (Vic.)	7,665	8,385
Melbourne Airport	0	0
Moonee Ponds	11,558	12,567
Niddrie – Essendon West	5,477	5,781
Strathmore	7,531	7,829
Tullamarine	4,716	4,672
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Maribyrnong	110,345	115,817
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Maribyrnong		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne		
Parkville	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne	0	0
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Wills		
Brunswick West	961	1,060
Glenroy – West	4,503	4,916
Gowanbrae	0	0
Oak Park	2,768	3,028
Pascoe Vale South	912	938
Total transferred from the existing Division of Wills	9,144	9,942
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Maribyrnong	9,144	9,942
Total for proposed Division of Maribyrnong	119,489	125,759
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Hawke		
Keilor	14	11
Melbourne Airport	79	76
Total transferred to proposed Division of Hawke	93	87
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne		
Flemington	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne	0	0
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Wills		
Moonee Ponds	0	0
Strathmore	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Wills	0	0
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong to another proposed electoral division	93	87

Proposed Division of McEwen

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of McEwen		
Doreen – North	10,684	11,711
Doreen – South	6,867	8,391
Gisborne	10,379	10,737
Hurstbridge	2,643	2,581
Macedon	2,626	2,780
Mernda – North	7,046	7,790
Panton Hill – St Andrews	2,455	2,616
Plenty – Yarrambat	3,250	3,429
Research – North Warrandyte	2	2
Riddells Creek	3,424	3,521
Romsey	8,016	8,839
Wallan	16,079	21,341
Wattle Glen – Diamond Creek	10,755	10,322
Whittlesea	8,450	12,721
Wollert	3,345	7,648
Woodend	5,962	6,341
Yea	0	0
Total electors retained from the existing Division of McEwen	101,983	120,770
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of McEwen		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Calwell		
Craigieburn – Central	0	0
Craigieburn – North	37	36
Mickleham – Yuroke	3,597	7,597
Total transferred from the existing Division of Calwell	3,634	7,633
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of McEwen	3,634	7,633
Total for proposed Division of McEwen	105,617	128,403
Electors transferred from the existing Division of McEwen to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Casey		
Panton Hill – St Andrews	1,512	1,589
Total transferred to proposed Division of Casey	1,512	1,589
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Nicholls		
Kilmore – Broadford	7,443	8,354
Total transferred to proposed Division of Nicholls	7,443	8,354
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Scullin		
Mernda – South	3,144	4,635
Total transferred to proposed Division of Scullin	3,144	4,635
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of McEwen to another proposed electoral division	12,099	14,578

Proposed Division of Melbourne

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Melbourne		
Abbotsford	6,373	7,129
Carlton	8,393	9,951
Clifton Hill – Alphington	0	0
Collingwood	6,741	8,197
Docklands	4,833	5,587
East Melbourne	3,749	3,730
Fitzroy	7,771	8,959
Fitzroy North	0	0
Melbourne CBD – East	3,952	4,510
Melbourne CBD – North	3,921	4,717
Melbourne CBD – West	4,937	5,733
North Melbourne	9,414	11,339
Parkville	3,752	3,832
Richmond (South) – Cremorne	13,101	13,492
Richmond – North	9,948	10,893
West Melbourne – Industrial	2	1
West Melbourne – Residential	3,607	4,298
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Melbourne	90,494	102,368
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Melbourne		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Higgins		
Prahran – Windsor	4,628	4,802
South Yarra – North	6,921	7,720
South Yarra – South	7,023	7,205
Total transferred from the existing Division of Higgins	18,572	19,727
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Macnamara		
East Melbourne	0	0
Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria	4	3
South Yarra – West	4,369	4,421
Total transferred from the existing Division of Macnamara	4,373	4,424
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong		
Flemington	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong	0	0
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Wills		
Brunswick West	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Wills	0	0
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Melbourne	22,945	24,151
Total for proposed Division of Melbourne	113,439	126,519
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Cooper		
Clifton Hill – Alphington	4,938	5,697
Total transferred to proposed Division of Cooper	4,938	5,697
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Maribyrnong		
Parkville	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Maribyrnong	0	0
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Wills		
Brunswick East	3,369	3,897
Carlton North – Princes Hill	6,517	6,530
Fitzroy North	9,821	10,377
Total transferred to proposed Division of Wills	19,707	20,804
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne to another proposed electoral division	24,645	26,501

Proposed Division of Menzies

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Menzies		
Balwyn North	0	0
Blackburn	5,533	5,867
Box Hill	1,792	2,207
Box Hill North	7,129	7,772
Bulleen	8,313	8,880
Doncaster	15,540	17,265
Doncaster East – North	10,370	10,826
Doncaster East – South	9,097	9,844
Donvale – Park Orchards	4,556	4,722
Templestowe	11,840	12,393
Templestowe Lower	9,757	10,430
Warrandyte – Wonga Park	4,698	4,512
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Menzies	88,625	94,718
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Menzies		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm		
Blackburn	4,038	4,296
Blackburn South	6,039	6,105
Box Hill	8,435	9,142
Total transferred from the existing Division of Chisholm	18,512	19,543
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Kooyong		
Balwyn	559	586
Balwyn North	1,607	1,684
Box Hill North	3,879	4,185
Doncaster	0	0
Surrey Hills (East) – Mont Albert	7,058	7,635
Surrey Hills (West) – Canterbury	473	509
Total transferred from the existing Division of Kooyong	13,576	14,599
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Menzies	32,088	34,142
Total for proposed Division of Menzies	120,713	128,860
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Menzies to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Casey		
Warrandyte – Wonga Park	2,390	2,390
Total transferred to proposed Division of Casey	2,390	2,390
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Deakin		
Blackburn	2,996	3,130
Donvale – Park Orchards	7,089	7,411
Mitcham (Vic.)	4,775	5,088
Nunawading	4,666	5,060
Warrandyte – Wonga Park	244	244
Total transferred to proposed Division of Deakin	19,770	20,933
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Jagajaga		
Research – North Warrandyte	2,209	2,181
Total transferred to proposed Division of Jagajaga	2,209	2,181
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Menzies to another proposed electoral division	24,369	25,504

Proposed Division of Monash

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Monash		
Churchill	61	70
Drouin	15,149	17,726
Emerald – Cockatoo	0	0
Foster	7,442	7,953
Koo Wee Rup	0	0
Korumburra	7,491	8,231
Leongatha	8,796	9,634
Moe – Newborough	13,049	13,606
Mount Baw Baw Region	5,064	5,456
Phillip Island	10,590	12,249
Trafalgar (Vic.)	6,418	6,930
Upper Yarra Valley	0	0
Warragul	17,485	20,053
Wilsons Promontory	7	7
Wonthaggi – Inverloch	21,846	25,116
Yallourn North – Glengarry	0	0
Yarra Valley	0	0
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Monash	113,398	127,031
Total for proposed Division of Monash	113,398	127,031

Proposed Division of Nicholls

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Nicholls		
Benalla Surrounds	39	41
Bendigo Surrounds – North	0	0
Cobram	4,970	5,527
Echuca	12,054	12,507
Euroa	705	754
Kialla	6,323	7,613
Kilmore – Broadford	3,981	4,227
Kyabram	8,347	8,310
Lockington – Gunbower	2,942	3,097
Moira	2,191	2,174
Mooroopna	5,701	5,859
Nagambie	3,606	4,193
Numurkah	9,151	9,254
Rochester	3,028	3,026
Rushworth	3,149	3,181
Seymour	4,788	5,050
Seymour Surrounds	2,111	1,989
Shepparton – North	12,913	13,277
Shepparton – South East	10,372	10,455
Shepparton Surrounds – East	2,870	3,021
Shepparton Surrounds – West	7,512	7,830
Yarrawonga	7,046	7,786
Yea	29	38
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Nicholls	113,828	119,209
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Nicholls		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of McEwen		
Kilmore – Broadford	7,443	8,354
Total transferred from the existing Division of McEwen	7,443	8,354
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Nicholls	7,443	8,354
Total for proposed Division of Nicholls	121,271	127,563
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Nicholls to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Bendigo		
Seymour Surrounds	863	897
Total transferred to proposed Division of Bendigo	863	897
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Nicholls to another proposed electoral division	863	897

Proposed Division of Scullin

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Scullin		
Bundoora – North	2,850	3,177
Bundoora – West	4,191	4,586
Epping (Vic.) – West	6,964	7,854
Epping – East	8,778	9,164
Epping – South	5,274	5,312
Lalor – East	6,360	6,360
Lalor – West	8,869	9,393
Mernda – South	4,036	3,818
Mill Park – North	12,597	12,379
Mill Park – South	8,139	8,122
South Morang – North	8,444	8,952
South Morang – South	8,464	8,851
Thomastown	13,664	14,089
Wollert	10,630	17,466
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Scullin	109,260	119,523
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Scullin		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Calwell		
Campbellfield – Coolaroo	2,481	2,433
Craigieburn – South	8	8
Roxburgh Park (South) – Somerton	2	3
Total transferred from the existing Division of Calwell	2,491	2,444
Electors transferred from the existing Division of McEwen		
Mernda – South	3,144	4,635
Total transferred from the existing Division of McEwen	3,144	4,635
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Scullin	5,635	7,079
Total for proposed Division of Scullin	114,895	126,602
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Scullin to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Jagajaga		
Bundoora – North	1,984	2,306
Total transferred to proposed Division of Jagajaga	1,984	2,306
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Scullin to another proposed electoral division	1,984	2,306

Proposed Division of Wannon

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Wannon		
Ararat	5,695	5,560
Ararat Surrounds	2,436	2,478
Avoca	708	770
Beaufort	3,151	3,228
Camperdown	2,751	2,827
Colac	9,347	9,205
Colac Surrounds	4,349	4,201
Corangamite – North	4,073	4,108
Corangamite – South	5,358	5,541
Glenelg (Vic.)	6,836	7,074
Hamilton (Vic.)	7,723	7,673
Horsham Surrounds	0	0
Lorne – Anglesea	5,160	5,586
Moyne – East	5,178	5,357
Moyne – West	7,677	8,177
Otway	3,080	3,091
Portland	8,709	8,795
Southern Grampians	4,849	4,816
Stawell	0	0
Warrnambool – North	16,973	17,990
Warrnambool – South	9,957	10,229
Winchelsea	2,475	2,696
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Wannon	116,485	119,402
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Wannon		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Corangamite		
Golden Plains – South	1,228	1,230
Torquay	496	552
Winchelsea	2,604	2,573
Total transferred from the existing Division of Corangamite	4,328	4,355
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Wannon	4,328	4,355
Total for proposed Division of Wannon	120,813	123,757

Proposed Division of Wills

Proposed division make up	Enrolment as at Wednesday 9 August 2023	Projected enrolment as at Monday 17 April 2028
Electors retained from the existing Division of Wills		
Brunswick – North	9,915	10,911
Brunswick – South	10,095	11,171
Brunswick East	6,221	7,025
Brunswick West	9,563	10,520
Coburg – East	9,249	10,425
Coburg – West	10,096	10,596
Coburg North	3,079	3,304
Fawkner	8,472	9,087
Glenroy – East	9,127	9,560
Glenroy – West	362	391
Hadfield	4,219	4,535
Oak Park	2,432	2,787
Pascoe Vale	11,742	12,532
Pascoe Vale South	6,512	6,796
Total electors retained from the existing Division of Wills	101,084	109,640
Electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Wills		
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong		
Moonee Ponds	0	0
Strathmore	0	0
Total transferred from the existing Division of Maribyrnong	0	0
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne		
Brunswick East	3,369	3,897
Carlton North – Princes Hill	6,517	6,530
Fitzroy North	9,821	10,377
Total transferred from the existing Division of Melbourne	19,707	20,804
Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the proposed Division of Wills	19,707	20,804
Total for proposed Division of Wills	120,791	130,444
Electors transferred from the existing Division of Wills to another proposed electoral division		
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Maribyrnong		
Brunswick West	961	1,060
Glenroy – West	4,503	4,916
Gowanbrae	0	0
Oak Park	2,768	3,028
Pascoe Vale South	912	938
Total transferred to proposed Division of Maribyrnong	9,144	9,942
Electors transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne		
Brunswick West	0	0
Total transferred to proposed Division of Melbourne	0	0
Total electors transferred from the existing Division of Wills to another proposed electoral division	9,144	9,942

