



**THE FEDERAL  
REDISTRIBUTION  
NEW SOUTH WALES**

# Objection 661

Eugene Lubarsky

1 page

Dear NSW Redistribution Committee,

I am writing to object to the proposal to abolish the electorate of North Sydney. I have resided in the electorate for about 15 years.

Please consider these reasons for retaining North Sydney and going with a better alternative:

1. North Sydney has a substantially different character to the 3 neighbouring electorates. This means that the community has specific issues and will benefit significantly by having its own representative in parliament.
  - a. It is significantly more dense (total area of 53 km<sup>2</sup> as compared with 60 km<sup>2</sup> for Bennelong, 68 km<sup>2</sup> for Warringah, and 101 km<sup>2</sup> for Bradfield), leading to a different type of lifestyle and issues faced by residents.
  - b. It is more urban, with many high-rises, and even more being planned owing to the soon-to-be-opened Metro line.
  - c. It has a much more substantial business community - in the North Sydney CBD, St Leonards and Chatswood areas.
  - d. It has an identity as the quintessential "Lower North Shore" area, as opposed to the "Upper North Shore" areas of Bradfield/Bennelong and the "Northern Beaches" areas of Warringah.
  
2. The North Sydney community was able to successfully organise to elect one of the few independents in Parliament in the last election. The area was also previously represented by Ted Mack - the only independent elected in the 1990 election. This shows the special community spirit of the area, which will be disrupted & weakened by the proposed distribution.

Thank you for your work and consideration.

Best Wishes,

Eugene Lubarsky