



## Objection 395

John

2 pages

## Redistribution of Warringah (and North Sydney)

Dear Redistribution Committee,

I write to object to the proposal to take northern areas from the division of Warringah, in particular North Curl Curl and the proposal to abolish the seat of North Sydney in the NSW federal redistribution.

## Instead, I support:

- Keeping the divisions of Warringah and North Sydney;
- Moving the boundaries of the division of North Sydney in a northerly direction and allowing the electorates surrounding to assume the required number of voters from Bradfield.
- Move the boundaries of the division of Mackellar westward into St Ives and Berowra to move east to include the area around Hornsby.
- This approach allows the areas around Killarney Heights and Forestville, Dee Why and North Curl Curl to continue the strong links they share with communities within Warringah and remain in the division.
- On the Lower North Shore, Warringah expand into the North Sydney LGA up to the eastern side of the Warringah Freeway, including the whole of Kirribilli, areas of Neutral Bay not already part of the division, North Cremorne and Cammeray.

I write in support of option A as set out in Suggestion 42 by Zali Steggall MP to maintain the northern boundaries of the Warringah electorate and minimise the impact on North Sydney by pushing the south/western boundaries of the division of Warringah to the Warringah Freeway only.

Both the divisions of North Sydney and Warringah are engaged and politically active communities, that have helped shape the political landscape in the 2019 and 2022 Federal Elections. These engaged communities are critical and will help grow the interest and debate and improve representation of existing communities of interest.

As a community member residing in Curl Curl, I am concerned that the suggestions proposing abolishing either Warringah or North Sydney may be politically motivated rather than focussed on accommodating expected growth, keeping together or improving representation of existing communities of interest and use of readily identifiable features

I respectfully submit that this proposal delivers a better boundary redistribution, accommodates the differing rates of growth, and decline across New South Wales, keeps together, and improves representation of existing communities of interest and uses strong and readily identifiable features as electoral boundaries to the extent possible.

Regards.

John