



Comment on objections 166

Peter Kinsey

1 page

I refer to the to the proposed redistribution of the boundary for the federal seat of Mackellar.

I note that one of the reasons at least which it is stated why there is the need for a redistribution of Mackellar is because unless a redistribution occurs then the number of electors in Mackellar will not fall within what is called "the permissible range" of electors and hence the need to have more electors added. Not sure why this is a reason to require a redistribution

It is noted that some of the proposals would include areas outside the northern Beaches area and of course the Northern Beaches Council area.

It is difficult to understand why this is proposed or suggested.

Mackellar is within the Northern Beaches Council area and in my view should remain so if a redistribution is to occur then any changes should ensure that Mackellar remains within the Northern Beaches Council area.

I also note the submission by the Mayor of Ku-ring-gai Council who references 3 submissions and agree with the reasons set out by the Mayor as to why none of the 3 be supported

If there is to be a redistribution in my view then it should only be into other areas within the Northern Beaches and Northern Beaches Council areas that is to say that it be done to include Dee Why and North Curl Curl which in my view as a long terms resident of the Northern Beaches are a natural fit.

In other words should a redistribution in fact be required then I would support the Option 1 as suggested by Dr Sophie Scamps MP in her submission dated the 10th November 2023

Peter Kinsey