



Comment on Objections 142

Judy Riddell
1 page

Redistribution Committee for New South Wales Australian Electoral Commission Locked Bag 4007 Canberra ACT 2601

Re: Response to objections 671 & 686 boundary redistribution

Please accept my submission to objection 671 and 686 which propose moving the boundaries of the Division of Mackellar westward into St Ives.

I do not support the recommendations in 671& 686. They are illogical and inconsistent with the electoral act.

I moved from North Sydney to Mackellar in 1979 and since that time have lived in many different suburbs before retiring in Bayview.

Mackellar is a community with connected transport links across the electorate. Residents have a shared identity consistent across the electorate.

St Ives is not located within the Northern beaches LGA and is logistically difficult or impossible to access for shopping or employment opportunities by public transport. My children have all played sport within the Northern Beaches LGA and these competitions were not located in the St Ives area.

There is no residential continuity between these areas except by Kuringai Chase and Garigal National Parks. Transport links are minimal with no direct connection the southern area of Mackellar or between Frenchs Forest and St Ives. The connection between the Northern area of Mackellar is negligible.

I support the draft changes to Mackellar Boundaries to include areas of Dee Why, North Curl Curl, Frenchs Forest, Killarney Heights and Forestville and the most sensible course of action.

Your sincerely,

Judy Riddell

Bayview