



# Objection 27

Matthew Briggs

1 page

The name should not be changed for the seat of Corangamite.

The seat is already known by an aboriginal name which is entirely appropriate for the area. While the lake is no longer included within the boundaries many other identifying features associated with Corangamite are.

Wanting to change the name of the seat because Lake Corangamite is no longer within the boundary is a very simplistic view. There are many services, locations, businesses etc. which use the Corangamite name as an identifier for the region. E.g. Corangamite Shire, Library, Catchment Management Authority etc. etc.

Corangamite as a seat has a long history. Why upend that just to find a seat to name "Tucker"?

I totally disagree with tokenistic renaming of seats. It is not in the interests of continuity and history, but fashionable re-naming of the day. In a few years should we then pick another name *du jour*?

Give Tucker some real credit and give it to a new seat all its own. Then it will have real meaning and be tied to a continuous seat which will then create its own history. This re-naming smacks of a cheap way to find a seat to use the name Tucker. Last time people wanted to rename it Cox, now it's Tucker. Next time in a few years someone will want to rename it Smith or Jones.

Keep the seat as Corangamite.