



Comment on objections 13

Christopher J Harkin

2 pages

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - VIC](#)
Subject: [VIC REDISTRIBUTION COMMENT ON OBJECTIONS] Chris Harkin *WWW* [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Saturday, 12 May 2018 1:08:33 PM
Attachments: [vic-Chris Harkin-.pdf](#)

Victorian Redistribution comments on objections uploaded from the AEC website.

Name: Chris Harkin

Organisation:

Address: [REDACTED]

Phone number: [REDACTED]

Additional information: Please find attached my comments on Objection 349 from Golden Plains re boundaries for Cox & Wannon.

C J Harkin



12 May 2018

Redistribution Secretariat for Victoria
Australian Electoral Commission
Via: FedRedistribution-VIC@aec.gov.au

Dear Sir/Madam

**Federal Electorate redistribution:
-comment on Golden Plains Shire's 'Objection 349' re Corangamite/Cox & Wannon
boundaries**

Golden Plains Shire contends that 'If the AEC was to consider the whole of a Shire being included in Wannon, it would make far more sense for that to be Colac Otways Shire, not Golden Plains.'

I don't accept that keeping the entire municipality, whether it is Colac Otway or Golden Plains, in just one electorate is important. Many municipalities have areas in more than one Federal electorate.

The Golden Plains Shire suggestion is just another poor option.

It is a long and widely held belief in the coastal and Great Ocean Road region of Colac Otway Shire that Colac and the Otways should never have been combined into one municipality as they have little in common.

The proposed Cox electorate boundaries better represent communities of interest than does the municipal boundaries of Colac Otway Shire.

The best option for the Federal electorate of Cox are the boundaries contained in the AEC Redistribution Committee's suggestion for Cox. I urge you to adopt those boundaries.

Yours sincerely



(Christopher J Harkin)