



---

## **Further Objection Number 4**

**Adrian Jackson**

**1 page**

---

**From:** adrian jackson [ajackson404@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, 25 October 2010 1:07 PM  
**Subject:** Melbourne Ports boundary redistribution - I understand new submissions are being received to 01 Nov 10

#### SUBMISSION - MELBOURNE PORTS REDISTRIBUTION REVIEW - FROM ADRIAN JACKSON

I understand only 5 submissions and 21 comment (including 1 comment from me) were made on the original review. I find it concerning that the AEC are proposing to backtrack on their excellent original proposed redistribution because of 5 submissions. Submission from vested interests like political parties and Melbourne Ports MP the Jew Michael Danby (he is always telling us he is a Jew). Danby's pro Israel right wing Zionist views are well know locally and in Parliament and they are despised by many as the Israelis are oppressors of the Palestinians.

Here is a letter I sent to the local press which is included in this submission.

LETTER TO THE PRESS - "Melbourne Ports MP Michael Danby (Melbourne Weekly - Port Phillip, 15 Sep 10) is wrong when he says Jews will be disadvantages following the AEC decision to remove much of Caulfield from the electorate.

Currently Melbourne Ports is made up of only 12.7% Jewish voters (ABS: Census 2006) and Jews are not anything special. The AEC has to review boundaries to ensure each electorate in Australia has similar voter numbers and well defined boundaries. With the population increase in the western parts of the electorate, particularly in Port Melbourne, the boundaries are naturally moving back to Melbourne's port area as Caulfield is not growing.

Sorry Mr Danby, race, language, religion and country of origin has nothing to do with electorate redistribution's as that would be segregation or apartheid like in Israel. The new Melbourne Ports will still be about 4% Jewish voters as St Kilda will remain within the electorate while the other 8% will find themselves in Higgins and Goldstein and these Liberal electorates have many Jewish voter already.

Danby's 13 years as an ineffective backbencher pandering to 12.7% of the constituents is enough so lets hope he retired in 2013 and a good ALP candidate, like Jackie Willox, is preselected". END OF LETTER

#### SUBMISSION CONTINUES

Having Docklands included is a good idea as both residential sides of the Yarra River should be under one MP as it is in local government with Melbourne Council managing both Southbank and Docklands.

The AEC original 2013 plan had well defined boundaries to make a compact electorate without the "Caulfield rump" which was only added in 1990. It looked more like a Queensland Gerrymander from the days of Premier Joh Bjelke Peterson.

Melbourne Ports should be near the bay and the Port of Melbourne as the electorates name implies. The adding of the voters in South Yarra from Punt Rd to William Rd was also a good idea as Williams Rd is a well defined boundary unlike the messy boundaries in Caulfield.

Danby is concerned that if the boundaries change and delete Caulfield his branch stack in Caulfield Labour Branch will be ineffective. When preselected that branch went for about 30 members to about 300 in a few weeks. This is the Danby so called "community of interest" in my opinion.

Adrian Jackson. Australian Regular Army Infantry Officer, Retired (A resident in Melbourne Ports since 1980)  
404 Richardson St, Middle Park, Vic 3206. Tele: (03) 9534 7615