



The Federal Redistribution 2009
QUEENSLAND



Objection Number 460

W R Trevor OAM

2 pages

From: Bill Trevor [wrtrevor@bigpond.com]
Sent: Friday, 21 August 2009 12:12 AM
To: QLD Redistribution
Subject: Proposed Hinkler Boundries
Attachments: IMG_0001.pdf

Please find my submission on the proposed new boundaries for the seat of Hinkler. Regards Bill Trevor

The Redistribution Committee for Queensland.

W R TREVOR

GPO Box 2590

674 Adies Rd

Brisbane QLD 4001

CHILDERS QLD

18/08/2009

Dear Committee, I wish to make a submission on the proposed new federal boundaries for the seat of Hinkler. Having studied the recommendations I believe there is a better way to keep the numbers of electors within the requirements of the electoral act and at the same time preserve the community of interest, as well as minimize the confusion if some electors that were moved into Hinkler at the last redistribution were to be moved straight to a new electorate. My proposal is that Biggenden should be kept in the Hinkler electorate due to the community of interest with Childers and Bundaberg. This community of interest is built around both of these centres being used for shopping, medical facilities, recreation and the fact that students from this area are educated at ISIS STATE HIGH SCHOOL.

In the southern part I believe that having just brought the city of Hervey Bay into the electorate that it would be less disruptive if the areas of Woocoo and the area between Maryborough and Hervey Bay all remain in Hinkler. This would certainly be an advantage for the electors of this region who were only moved into Hinkler at the last redistribution

As the former Mayor of Isis shire based on Childers, I believe that I have had a lot to do with these areas over a 23 year period in local government, including 3 yrs as President of the Wide Bay Regional Organization of Councils which covered these areas .

To balance the numbers I believe that it would be far better to follow the major land mark of the Burnett River and to put all of North Bundaberg into the electorate of FLYNN. As some of north Bundaberg is already in Flynn it would be far better to have one member representing the whole area . From an electors point of view it would be far easier to know where you were and in what electorate if a major land mark like the river was the boundary. If an issue were to arise affecting the North Bundaberg area it would be far more expedient to work together on the issue with one member rather than dividing between two.

I believe the options I have put forward are workable and the least confusing for electors and at the same time retain communities of interest for those communities with the least disruption

Yours faithfully



W R TREVOR OAM