



Australian Electoral Commission

2010 Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions

Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918
Section 75

ISBN 978-1-921427-18-3

© Commonwealth of Australia 2010

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney-General's Department, Canberra, ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>.

Published by the Australian Electoral Commission

Printed by Union Offset Printers, Fyshwick, ACT

Contents

Part 1	Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions	1
1.1	Determination made by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria	2
1.2	Reasons for the determination made by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria	3
	Executive summary	3
	Introduction to the redistribution of Victoria	6
	Consideration of the Redistribution Committee's proposal and of the initial objections, comments and arguments presented at the inquiry	11
	Consideration of the further objections and oral submissions about the proposal made by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria	27
	Conclusion	32
	Determination made by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria	32
1.3	Statistical summary	33
	Table 1 – Determination of the quota and enrolment projections	33
	Table 2 – Summary of divisions	34
	Table 3 – Summary of movement of electors between divisions	36
1.4	Description of the method used to calculate the area of electoral divisions	37
1.5	General description of each electoral division	38
Appendix A	Augmented Electoral Commission's public announcement on 21 October 2010	79
Appendix B	Composition of the augmented Electoral Commission and the Redistribution Committee for Victoria	83
Appendix C	List of initial objections lodged pursuant to section 69(1) of the <i>Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918</i>	84
Appendix D	List of comments on objections lodged pursuant to section 69(3) of the <i>Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918</i>	87

Appendix E	List of persons who appeared at the public inquiry into objections held by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria. Shepparton – 14 October 2010	88
Appendix F	List of persons who appeared at the public inquiry into objections held by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria. Melbourne – 15 October 2010	89
Appendix G	List of further objections lodged pursuant to section 72(13) of the <i>Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918</i>	90
Appendix H	List of persons who appeared at the public inquiry into further objections held by the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria. Melbourne – 8 November 2010	95
Appendix I	Redistribution timetable	96
 Part 2	 Enclosures	
	Redistribution statistics	DVD
	Public suggestions to the Redistribution Committee	
	Comments on suggestions	
	<i>2010 Proposed Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions</i> (Report)	
	Public objections to the proposed redistribution	
	Comments on objections to the proposed redistribution	
	Augmented Electoral Commission’s proposal maps	
	Further objections to the proposed redistribution	
	Transcripts of the inquiries into public objections	
	PDF maps of the 37 electoral divisions	
	Composite maps showing the boundaries of the electoral divisions for Victoria as determined by the augmented Electoral Commission	Paper maps (2 sheets)

PART 1

Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions

24 December 2010

Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918
Sections 73 and 74

1.1 DETERMINATION MADE BY THE AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION FOR VICTORIA

Pursuant to section 73 of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*, the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria hereby determines that the names and boundaries of the electoral divisions into which Victoria is to be distributed are as shown on the maps certified by the members of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria and lodged in file number 2010/622 at the National Office of the Australian Electoral Commission in Canberra. These maps are numbered in the following sequence:

VIC01/2010	Aston	VIC20/2010	Hotham
VIC02/2010	Ballarat	VIC21/2010	Indi
VIC03/2010	Batman	VIC22/2010	Isaacs
VIC04/2010	Bendigo	VIC23/2010	Jagajaga
VIC05/2010	Bruce	VIC24/2010	Kooyong
VIC06/2010	Calwell	VIC25/2010	Lalor
VIC07/2010	Casey	VIC26/2010	La Trobe
VIC08/2010	Chisholm	VIC27/2010	McEwen
VIC09/2010	Corangamite	VIC28/2010	McMillan
VIC10/2010	Corio	VIC29/2010	Mallee
VIC11/2010	Deakin	VIC30/2010	Maribyrnong
VIC12/2010	Dunkley	VIC31/2010	Melbourne
VIC13/2010	Flinders	VIC32/2010	Melbourne Ports
VIC14/2010	Gellibrand	VIC33/2010	Menzies
VIC15/2010	Gippsland	VIC34/2010	Murray
VIC16/2010	Goldstein	VIC35/2010	Scullin
VIC17/2010	Gorton	VIC36/2010	Wannon
VIC18/2010	Higgins	VIC37/2010	Wills
VIC19/2010	Holt		

Peter Heerey QC
Chairperson
Augmented Electoral Commission
for Victoria

1.2 REASONS FOR THE DETERMINATION MADE BY THE AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION FOR VICTORIA

Executive Summary

1. On 30 July 2010 the Redistribution Committee released its proposed redistribution of federal electoral boundaries for Victoria.
2. The Redistribution Committee noted that only nine of the 37 federal electoral divisions in Victoria fell within the acceptable numerical range for projected electors as at 17 June 2014, with the variations ranging from minus 12.70 per cent to plus 27.79 per cent. The extent and distribution of this imbalance guided the Redistribution Committee's approach to the proposal which is detailed in its report: *2010 Proposed Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions*. Key to the proposal was the creation of a new Division of Burke in the north-western metropolitan fringe, where high enrolment growth is projected, and the abolition of the Division of Murray.
3. In response to the Redistribution Committee's proposal, 129 objections and 40 comments on objections were received, with the majority opposing the proposed abolition of the Division of Murray. Public hearings into the objections were held in Shepparton and Melbourne. The augmented Electoral Commission (the Commission) gave careful consideration to all submissions. Subsequently the Commission proposed that the Division of Murray be reinstated and, on the basis of submissions made, was able to identify solutions to a substantial number of other objections to the boundaries proposed by the Redistribution Committee.
4. As required by section 72(10)(b) of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act), the Commission issued a public announcement on 21 October 2010 outlining the substance of its findings in relation to the initial objections, and its proposal. (Appendix A) The Commission also concluded, in accordance with section 72(12) of the Electoral Act, that its proposal was 'significantly different' from that of the Redistribution Committee.
5. As the Commission's proposal was deemed 'significantly different', further objections were invited and a second public inquiry was conducted. Most of the 278 further objections lodged opposed the proposals to split Craigieburn between the divisions of Calwell and McEwen, and to move Murrindindi Shire from the Division of McEwen to the Division of Indi.
6. After careful consideration of all matters brought before it, the augmented Electoral Commission adopted the revised proposal, which was announced on 21 October 2010, with some minor changes to better reflect the communities of interest, travel and communication issues raised in the further objections. Several small changes were also made to produce more clearly recognisable boundaries.

7. While acknowledging the merits of a number of other further objections, the Commission concluded that acceding to them would have significantly compromised the proposal to retain the Division of Murray, which was necessary to address the important community of interest issues raised in relation to Victoria's irrigated 'food bowl' region.
8. In this context, a number of other objections were unable to be accepted because of the overriding requirement that the projected numbers of electors in each division in the state fall within the statutory limits. Any alternative adjustments would, in the Commission's opinion, have caused significant flow-on effects for other divisions across Victoria under the criteria set by the Electoral Act.
9. The determination of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria is that the boundaries of the electoral divisions in Victoria and the names of those divisions shall be as proposed by the Commission in its public announcement on 21 October 2010, except for the changes described below.
 - The Colac Otway Local Government Area (LGA) shall be united within the Division of Corangamite.
 - The locality of Ringwood, in its entirety, shall be situated in the Division of Deakin.
 - The locality of Croydon North, in its entirety, shall be situated in the Division of Menzies.
 - That part of the localities of Keilor Park and Tullamarine south of the Western Ring Road shall be transferred from the Division of Calwell to the Division of Maribyrnong.
 - That part of the locality of Boronia east of Albert Avenue shall be transferred from the Division of Aston to the Division of La Trobe.
 - That part of the locality of Ormond bounded by Grange Road and North Road shall be transferred from the Division of Goldstein to the Division of Higgins.
 - That part of the locality of Murrumbeena bounded by Murrumbeena Road and North Road shall be transferred from the Division of Hotham to the Division of Higgins.
 - The locality of Macclesfield, and parts of Emerald and Yellingbo, shall be transferred from the Division of Casey to the Division of La Trobe.
 - That part of the locality of Fitzroy North which is north of Park Street shall be transferred from the Division of Melbourne to the Division of Wills.
 - The locality of Brunswick East, in its entirety, shall be situated in the Division of Wills.
 - That part of the locality of Strathmore south of the Tullamarine Freeway/ Citylink shall be transferred from the Division of Wills to the Division of Maribyrnong.
 - That part of the locality of Maidstone south of Ballarat Road shall be transferred from the Division of Maribyrnong to the Division of Gellibrand.

- That part of the locality of Altona Meadows bounded by Laverton Creek, Central Avenue and Queen Street shall be transferred from the Division of Gellibrand to the Division of Lalor.
10. In addition, minor changes shall be made to the boundaries of the divisions of Aston, Ballarat, Bruce, Corangamite, Indi, Kooyong, McEwen, Mallee, Melbourne, Menzies, Wannon and Wills in accordance with the enclosed maps.
 11. The substance of the findings and conclusions of the Commission concerning the objections, further objections, and the Redistribution Committee's proposal are detailed in this report.

Introduction to the Redistribution of Victoria

12. The redistribution of federal electoral boundaries in Victoria was conducted in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Electoral Act. The key stages of the process are outlined below.

Direction for a redistribution of Victorian electoral divisions

13. Victoria is entitled to 37 members of the House of Representatives, in accordance with section 24 of the Constitution and section 48 of the Electoral Act.
14. Section 59(2) of the Electoral Act provides that a direction to commence a redistribution shall be made if a period of seven years after the day on which the state was last distributed into electoral divisions has expired. The direction must be made within 30 days after the expiration of the seven-year period.
15. Victoria was last distributed into electoral divisions on 29 January 2003. Therefore, on 1 February 2010, the Australian Electoral Commission (the Electoral Commission) directed by notice published in the Commonwealth Government Gazette (the Gazette) that a redistribution was to commence in Victoria.
16. On 1 February 2010, the number of electors enrolled in Victoria was 3 479 476. Redistribution statistics, which show the detailed electoral enrolment figures as at 1 February 2010, were published on the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC) website. In addition, copies were available at the Victoria State Office of the AEC in Melbourne. The statistics were given at the following levels:
 - Census Collection District (CCD)
 - Statistical Local Area (SLA)
 - Electoral Division
 - State.

Appointment of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria

17. In accordance with section 60 of the Electoral Act, on 3 May 2010 the Electoral Commission appointed the Redistribution Committee for Victoria. (Appendix B) The Redistribution Committee comprised the following members:

Electoral Commissioner	Mr Ed Killesteyn
Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria	Mrs Jenni McMullan
Surveyor-General of Victoria	Mr John E Tulloch
Auditor-General of Victoria	Mr Des Pearson.

Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution

18. All preconditions having been met, the Redistribution Committee made a proposed redistribution of Victoria under section 66 of the Electoral Act, stating its reasons in writing; caused the notice required by section 68(1)(c) of the Electoral Act to be published in the Gazette on 30 July 2010; and took the other steps required by section 68.

Quota

19. Under section 65 of the Electoral Act, the Electoral Commissioner determined that the quota of electors for Victoria, as at the commencement of the redistribution, was 94 040 (3 479 476 divided by 37 members). The Redistribution Committee (under section 66(3)) and the augmented Electoral Commission (under section 73(4)) must ensure that the number of electors in each division is within 10 per cent of the quota. Therefore, the permitted range of tolerance, being 10 per cent below and 10 per cent above the quota, is from 84 636 to 103 444 as at 1 February 2010. (Table 1)

Projected enrolment

20. In making its proposed redistribution, the Redistribution Committee was required by section 66(3) of the Electoral Act to endeavour to ensure that, as far as practicable, the number of electors enrolled in each electoral division in Victoria would not, at the projection time determined under section 63A, be less than 96.5 per cent or more than 103.5 per cent of the average divisional enrolment of the state at that time. The augmented Electoral Commission, under section 73(4) is constrained by the same obligation.
21. Under section 63A the projection time for Victoria is 17 June 2014, which is three years and six months after the expected date of the redistribution determination.
22. The projected total enrolment for Victoria on 17 June 2014 is 3 745 205, resulting in an average projected enrolment of 101 222. Thus, as far as practicable, the permissible variance is between 97 680 and 104 764 electors. (Table 1)

Initial objections and comments on objections

23. A total of 129 initial objections and 40 comments on objections were received in response to the Redistribution Committee's proposal. These are listed at Appendices C and D of this report, and are provided in full on the enclosed DVD. The initial objections and comments on objections were made available on the AEC website.

Augmented Electoral Commission

24. Section 70(1) of the Electoral Act establishes for the purposes of a redistribution an augmented Electoral Commission for the relevant state or territory. By virtue of section 70(2), the members of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria are the Chairperson of the Electoral Commission (Hon. Peter Heerey QC); the non-judicial member of the Electoral Commission (Mr Brian Pink, Australian Statistician); and the occupants of the positions previously mentioned who constituted the Redistribution Committee.

Initial public inquiry

25. The Commission conducted a public inquiry into the initial objections with hearings held in Shepparton on 14 October 2010 and in Melbourne on 15 October 2010. Arguments were presented on these occasions by nine persons in Shepparton and eight persons in Melbourne. Persons who appeared at the initial public inquiry are listed at Appendices E and F.
26. The transcripts of the public inquiry are provided on the enclosed DVD.

Further objections

27. The Commission considered the initial objections and subsequently announced its findings and proposal on 21 October 2010. As, in the opinion of the Commission, its proposal was significantly different from that of the Redistribution Committee, further objections to the Commission's redistribution proposal were invited.
28. By the lodgement date of 1 November 2010, 278 further objections were received. These are listed at Appendix G of this report, and provided in full on the enclosed DVD. The further objections were made available on the AEC website.

Further public inquiry

29. The Commission conducted a public inquiry into the further objections in Melbourne on 8 November 2010. Arguments were presented by five speakers. Persons who appeared at this public inquiry are listed at Appendix H. The transcript is provided on the enclosed DVD.
30. The Commission was required, by section 72(2) of the Electoral Act, to complete its consideration of all objections to the proposed redistribution by 9 November 2010.

The statutory mandate of the augmented Electoral Commission

31. The Commission must determine, by notice published in the Gazette, the names and boundaries of the electoral divisions into which Victoria is to be distributed. That task must be performed in accordance with the requirements of sections 73(4) and 73(4A) of the Electoral Act, which provide:

73(4) *'In making the determination, the augmented Electoral Commission:*

- (a) shall, as far as practicable, endeavour to ensure that the number of electors enrolled in each Electoral Division in the State or Territory will not, at the projection time determined under section 63A, be less than 96.5% or more than 103.5% of the average divisional enrolment of that State or Territory at that time; and*
- (b) subject to paragraph (a), shall give due consideration, in relation to each Electoral Division, to:*
 - (i) community of interests within the Electoral Division, including economic, social and regional interests;*
 - (ii) means of communication and travel within the Electoral Division;*
 - (iv) the physical features and area of the Electoral Division; and*
 - (v) the boundaries of existing Divisions in the State or Territory;*

and subject thereto the quota of electors for the State or Territory shall be the basis for the redistribution, and the augmented Electoral Commission may adopt a margin of allowance, to be used whenever necessary, but in no case shall the quota be departed from to a greater extent than one-tenth more or one-tenth less.'

73(4A) *'When applying subsection (4), the augmented Electoral Commission must treat the matter in subparagraph (4)(b)(v) as subordinate to the matters in subparagraphs (4)(b)(i), (ii) and (iv).'*

32. These statutory requirements are expressed in an hierarchical order which puts, 'as far as practicable', the need to 'endeavour to ensure' a division will fall within the projected enrolment range first; the considerations of 'community of interests within [a division] including economic, social and regional interests', 'means of communication and travel within [a division]', and 'the physical features and area of [a division]' second; and 'the boundaries of existing divisions' third – while stating that, subject to these matters, 'the quota of electors for the State ... shall be the basis for the redistribution' and that 'the augmented Electoral Commission may adopt a margin of allowance' not departing from the quota further than by one-tenth more or less.

33. The purpose of section 73(4)(a) is suggested by its history. It has undergone some transformation since the *Commonwealth Electoral Legislation Amendment Act 1983* stipulated that boundaries were to be drawn, as far as practicable, to achieve equal numbers of electors in each of a state's electorates three-and-a-half years after a redistribution. By 1984 'it was observed that the three-and-a-half year rule had in some areas forced the adoption, on purely numerical grounds, of boundaries which took little account of perceived community of interest'.¹ Therefore, in 1987, the rule was relaxed to permit a measure of tolerance to plus or minus two per cent from average projected enrolment. Subsequently the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters concluded that:

'the numerical criteria do not allow "due consideration", in the words of the Act, to be given to the qualitative factors. Rather, the political parties and others attempting to frame electoral boundaries essentially find themselves engaged in a mathematical modelling exercise. In order to relax the enrolment requirements to that extent necessary to allow a realistic degree of flexibility the Committee recommends ... that subsections 66(3)(a) and 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act be amended, so as to extend the variation from average divisional enrolment allowed three-and-a-half years after a redistribution from two to 3.5 per cent.'²

34. The Joint Standing Committee also, in the same report, refers to its recommended amendment as one that 'would maintain substantial restrictions on malapportionment [and] would allow other legitimate policy objectives to be more effectively met'.
35. Section 73(4)(a) follows this recommendation. The terms of the recommendation, and the discussion which preceded it, make clear the purpose of this provision, as it now stands, and how it was intended to interact with the other criteria set out in section 73 (4)(b), to which 'due consideration' must be given. The Commission has made its redistribution on this basis.

1 Report of the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters on *The Effectiveness and Appropriateness of the Redistribution Provisions of Parts III and IV of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (December 1995) Section 4.3.

2 Ibid. Section 4.11.

Consideration of the Redistribution Committee's Proposal and of the Initial Objections, Comments and Arguments Presented at the Inquiry

The Redistribution Committee's proposal

36. In its report, *2010 Proposed Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions*, which is included in the enclosed DVD, the Redistribution Committee proposed the creation of a new Division of Burke comprising the southern areas of the Division of Bendigo, the south-western areas of the Division of McEwen, and the northern areas of the Division of Calwell. The proposed location of this division in the north-western metropolitan fringe reflected the high projected enrolment growth in the region, and positioned the Redistribution Committee to numerically realign other divisions in and around the western growth belt.
37. The Redistribution Committee then proposed to transfer southern areas of the Division of McEwen to supplement the under enrolled divisions on the Melbourne metropolitan fringe. The Redistribution Committee adjusted the south-western electoral divisions, beginning in the metropolitan area and the provincial divisions along the Port Phillip Bay and the Southern Ocean coastlines, progressively redrawing the boundaries so that each division contained the required number of projected electors.
38. This led to a series of consequential transfers throughout regional Victoria where supplementation was needed. The cumulative effect of this approach left the Division of Murray with no contact with the Murray River itself and well under the numerical tolerance. Similarly the remaining part of the Division of McEwen was well under the enrolment limit. Indi, having gained the Moira Shire, had more enrolment than required but not enough to supplement both Murray and McEwen. Therefore, the Redistribution Committee proposed that the western portion of Indi be combined with the remainder of Murray and the northern parts of McEwen into a single division which retained the name McEwen. As a result, the Division of Murray was abolished to address the enrolment deficit in the surrounding rural divisions.
39. The Redistribution Committee then addressed the low enrolment divisions in the south-east metropolitan area by proposing that the Docklands area be relocated from the Division of Melbourne to the Division of Melbourne Ports, setting up a series of consequential transfers throughout the inner eastern divisions which left the Division of Casey needing to gain electors. These electors were drawn from McEwen, making Casey more rural in nature and thereby reflecting a strong community of interest.
40. The Redistribution Committee's proposal was notified and public objections were invited in accordance with section 68 of the Electoral Act. Subsequently, the augmented Electoral Commission, as required by section 72 of the Electoral Act, considered the initial objections and comments on objections lodged in response to the proposal, and the submissions presented at the public inquiry into those objections held in Shepparton and Melbourne.

41. The main issue of contention raised in the initial objection phase was the abolition of the Division of Murray and the resultant effect on the boundaries of McEwen, Mallee and Indi. Other objections pertained to the proposed boundaries across much of the remainder of the state.
42. The Commission carefully deliberated on each of the areas subject to objection, and upon the matter of the boundaries and names of the electoral divisions into which the state was to be distributed.
43. Maps which illustrate the Commission's proposed changes to the Redistribution Committee's proposal are provided in the enclosed DVD.

Consideration of the initial public submissions by the augmented Electoral Commission

The abolition of the Division of Murray

44. The proposed abolition of the Division of Murray accounted for more than 70 per cent of objections. Ninety one objections and three comments either opposed the abolition of the Division of Murray or opposed the transfer of specific areas from Murray into neighbouring divisions. Six speakers at the public inquiry on 14 October 2010 in Shepparton expressed concern about the proposed disbanding of the Division of Murray and the consequential changes to surrounding divisions.
45. Opposition to the proposal to abolish the Division of Murray centred on community of interest concerns, arguing that the existing division represented a well connected and cohesive community of interest based on the Goulburn-Murray Irrigation District. Concerns were expressed that this regional community, with its shared social and economic issues, was to be dispersed between the divisions of Mallee, McEwen and Indi. The objections contended that these geographic areas were better connected to, and had greater affinity with, each other rather than surrounding divisions.
46. Demographic differences were also raised in the objections. In particular, it was claimed that there was little relationship between the communities in the northern rural areas of the proposed Division of McEwen and those urban fringe areas in the south.
47. In the Commission's opinion, the community of interest arguments presented in support of retaining Murray were compelling. The Commission was convinced of the logic of maintaining as much of the Goulburn-Murray Irrigation District in a single electoral division as possible, given the unique challenges facing the region.
48. While acknowledging that the logic underpinning the Redistribution Committee's approach was sound, the Commission proposed to adopt an alternative approach in which Murray was retained, albeit with some changes to ensure the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act were satisfied.

49. The Commission proposed that the Division of Murray comprise all existing areas of the division, being the LGAs of Campaspe, Greater Shepparton and the majority of Loddon and Moira, with the addition of the LGA of Strathbogie, gained from the proposed Division of McEwen, to provide supplementation required to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
50. The objections against the abolition of the Division of Murray were, therefore, upheld.
51. As a consequence of this decision, the Commission acknowledged that many of the Redistribution Committee's proposed boundaries in rural Victoria would require substantial alteration to ensure that the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act were satisfied.
52. The Commission concluded that the creation of a new Division of Burke, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee, could no longer proceed given the decision to reinstate Murray and noting that Victoria is entitled to 37 electoral divisions. The Commission also acknowledged that, without the Division of Burke, it would be necessary to substantially revise the proposed boundaries of a number of divisions north and west of Melbourne.

The proposed boundaries between Murray, Indi, McEwen and Casey

53. Upon concluding that the Division of Murray should be retained, the Commission looked to where the boundary should be located. As part of this deliberation, it decided to follow the existing electoral boundary between the divisions of Murray and Indi which runs through the south-east of Moira LGA. This left the Division of Indi with insufficient electors. In considering how to address the shortfall, the Commission was cognisant of the geographical features of the region which it believed limited the options available to it. The Commission concluded that moving the Indi boundary further south into McEwen, although less than ideal, was preferable to crossing over the Great Dividing Range into the Division of Gippsland.
54. Therefore, the Commission proposed to return the LGA of Mansfield and transfer the entire LGA of Murrindindi to the Division of Indi. As a result, the northern and eastern boundaries of the Division of McEwen would follow that of Mitchell LGA. The southernmost boundary of Indi would adhere to the LGA border between Murrindindi and Yarra Ranges, meaning Indi would share a border with the Division of Casey.
55. These changes to the proposed boundaries addressed objections to the transfer of localities in Moira LGA from the Division of Murray into the Division of Indi, although the Commission maintained the existing split of the LGA to ensure that Indi remained within the numerical range for projected enrolment.
56. The Commission's proposed northern boundary for the Division of McEwen, being approximately 75 kilometres further south than the boundary proposed by the Redistribution Committee, largely addressed those objections which raised concerns about the demographic differences between the north and south of the division.

The proposed boundaries between Murray, Mallee and Wannon

57. The Commission's proposal to retain the Division of Murray resulted in a reversion to the existing electoral boundary between the divisions of Murray and Mallee which largely adheres to the north and west borders of Loddon LGA.
58. Four objections and one submission at the public inquiry in Shepparton related to the proposed boundary between the divisions of Mallee and Wannon.
59. Opposition to the Redistribution Committee's proposal to transfer the LGAs of West Wimmera and Horsham from the Division of Mallee to the Division of Wannon centred on community of interest and demographic concerns, arguing that the dry areas of these LGAs have more affinity with the areas north in the Division of Mallee. The recent completion of the Wimmera-Mallee Pipeline was cited as a primary indicator of a shared community of interest.
60. The Commission concluded there was merit in returning Horsham and West Wimmera LGAs to the Division of Mallee, and that this was numerically feasible given the decision to retain the Division of Murray. To meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Commission then proposed to transfer Northern Grampians LGA, including Stawell, from the Division of Wannon to the Division of Mallee.
61. The objections against the transfer of Horsham and West Wimmera LGAs from Mallee to Wannon were, therefore, upheld.

The proposed boundaries between Wannon, Corangamite and Bendigo

62. Two objections related to the transfer of Corangamite LGA from the Division of Wannon to the Division of Corangamite. These objections cited differing communities of interest between the growth areas in the east and the more rural areas in the west of the proposed Division of Corangamite. While noting the inevitability of divisions containing a variety of communities of interest, the Commission found that it was able to support a return to the existing boundary between Wannon and Corangamite, as sought, because of changes already proposed to Wannon, Mallee and Murray. This approach also provided scope for the Commission to address a number of objections about the Redistribution Committee's proposals for the divisions of Corio and Lalor.
63. The objections were upheld, with the Commission proposing that existing boundaries between Corangamite and Wannon be retained.
64. As a consequence, the Division of Wannon was left below the numerical tolerance range at the projection time. Given the Commission's earlier conclusions about the boundary between Wannon and Mallee, the Division of Wannon could only gain electors from either the divisions of Bendigo or Ballarat. On balance, the Commission believed that gaining electors from Bendigo was the preferred option, as intruding into Ballarat would necessitate the transfer of large parts of the LGA of Ballarat itself. The

Commission further saw benefit in gaining the required electors from the Division of Bendigo as it then allowed Bendigo to extend south along the Calder Freeway to absorb some of the area initially proposed to be in the Division of Burke.

65. The Commission acknowledged that the LGA of Central Goldfields, in the west of the Division of Bendigo, would be best served by remaining with a central Victorian division. However, given the numerical constraints, the Commission deemed that the LGA of Central Goldfields was the most appropriate area to transfer to Wannon to bring the division within the projection time numerical range.
66. Therefore, the LGA of Central Goldfields was proposed to be transferred from the Division of Bendigo to the Division of Wannon.

The proposed boundary between Corangamite and Corio

67. Five objections related to the proposed boundary between the divisions of Corangamite and Corio. Four of these objections contended that the areas of the Bellarine Peninsula either side of the Barwon River represented a single community of interest, and one suggested that only minor change was required between these two divisions.
68. The Redistribution Committee had acknowledged the community of interest between Ocean Grove and Barwon Heads in its proposal report, but was unable to include both areas in a single division due to the realignment of those divisions north-west of Melbourne, where high projected enrolment necessitated considerable alteration of the boundaries.
69. The Commission's proposal to retain the Division of Murray, and not proceed with the proposed Division of Burke, meant that the divisions north-west of Melbourne required substantial revision, and that the boundary between Corangamite and Corio could be re-examined as part of this exercise. However, a return to the existing boundary between Corangamite and Corio would not have met the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act as Corangamite began the redistribution process above the projection time numerical range.
70. The Commission therefore proposed to adopt the clearly recognisable boundary of the Bellarine Highway between Bawtree Road in the north and Fellows Road in the south. The proposed boundary then followed Fellows Road north along the Queenscliffe LGA boundary to the coast line. This proposal transferred all of Queenscliffe LGA to Corangamite, as well as the locality of Ocean Grove and parts of Wallington, Marcus Hill and Point Lonsdale from the Greater Geelong LGA.
71. The objections to the boundary between Corangamite and Corio were largely upheld, other than where precluded by the need to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

The proposed boundary between Corio and Lalor

72. Four objections argued against the Redistribution Committee's proposal to transfer the northern areas of Greater Geelong LGA, including Lara and the Avalon airport, from Corio to Lalor. One objection and one comment supported the proposed boundaries with minor alterations.
73. The objections against including parts of Geelong LGA in Lalor noted that this would split the LGA between three divisions and argued that Avalon Airport and the surrounding district was an employment hub for many people in the Division of Corio.
74. The Commission noted that the Avalon area was also an employment hub for the areas west of Melbourne but acknowledged that, on balance, the area had a stronger connection to the rest of Greater Geelong. Transferring the areas of Greater Geelong LGA west of the Little River back to Corio also enabled the Commission to address the numerical shortfall in Corio created by the proposed changes to the boundary between Corio and Corangamite.
75. The objections were, therefore, upheld.

The proposed boundaries between McEwen, Scullin and Jagajaga

76. Six objections, seven comments on objections and three oral submissions at the public inquiries of 14 and 15 October 2010 opposed the Redistribution Committee's proposal to split the localities of Hurstbridge, Diamond Creek, Wattle Glen, Christmas Hills, Panton Hill and Smiths Gully between the divisions of McEwen, Scullin and Jagajaga.
77. The submissions argued that these localities represented small, cohesive communities which should be maintained in a single electoral division where possible. Community of interest arguments were made concerning the differences between the rural northern and urban southern areas of McEwen. These concerns were largely addressed by the Commission's proposal to retain the Division of Murray, which excised much of the territory initially proposed by the Redistribution Committee to be in the Division of McEwen.
78. A number of competing solutions were put forward in the objections to address the southern boundary of McEwen. Some were reliant on interactions with the Division of Burke, and therefore could not be accommodated given the Commission had concluded not to proceed with the creation of Burke. Other suggestions, which involved substantial swapping of territory between McEwen, Jagajaga and Scullin, were seen by the Commission as being overly disruptive. However the general goal of uniting localities was common to all objections.
79. Persuaded by the communities of interest arguments, the Commission examined the impact of acceding to the intent of the objections. Given the relatively small numbers of electors involved in the majority of these localities, all divisions could accommodate altered boundaries and remain within numerical tolerance.

80. The Commission, in these circumstances, upheld the objections, and proposed that the localities of Diamond Creek, Wattle Glen and Hurstbridge be placed in the Division of Scullin, and the localities of Christmas Hills, Panton Hill and Smiths Gully be placed in the Division of McEwen. The Commission also took the opportunity to clarify boundaries in the area by uniting the locality of Yarrambat in the Division of Scullin, which involved a further small transfer of electors from McEwen.

The proposed boundaries between Batman, Jagajaga and Scullin

81. Five objections, three comments on objections, and three oral submissions at the public inquiry on 15 October 2010 advocated the retention of the existing boundary between the divisions of Batman and Jagajaga. A further two objections and three comments on objections suggested at least a partial reversal, or alternative boundaries, between these two divisions.
82. Those objections which sought to retain the existing boundary argued that the localities of Heidelberg West, Heidelberg Heights and Bellfield were home to a number of communities of interests revolving around social and economic disadvantage and a reliance on shared health and community services. These objections also noted that the existing boundary, which predominantly follows the Darebin LGA boundary, including a significant portion of Darebin Creek, was more readily recognisable than Waterdale Road and the locality boundary of McLeod which was proposed by the Redistribution Committee.
83. The Commission was persuaded by these communities of interest arguments and, in assessing the numerical impact, found that the resulting loss of electors from the Division of Batman could be addressed by adjusting its northern boundary.
84. The Commission therefore upheld these objections, proposing a return to the existing boundary between Batman and Jagajaga and moving the northern boundary of Batman from Mahoneys Road to the section of the Northern Ring Road between Merri Creek and Plenty Road. This proposal resulted in the transfer of part of the locality of Thomastown and further parts of Bundoora from the Division of Scullin to the Division of Batman.

The proposed boundaries between Batman, Melbourne and Melbourne Ports

85. Two objections, ten comments on objections and one oral submission at the public inquiry on 15 October 2010 were received about the proposed transfer of the part of the locality of Docklands north of the Yarra River from the Division of Melbourne to the Division of Melbourne Ports. These objections argued that Docklands was essentially an extension of the Melbourne Central Business District and that there were insufficient transport connections to justify breaching the longstanding boundary of the Yarra River in this area.

86. The Commission noted the transfer of this area of Docklands to Melbourne Ports, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee, provided electors to supplement those divisions south of the Yarra River which were below tolerance but, on balance, accepted that the objections based on communities of interests and means of communication and travel grounds had significant merit.
87. On analysing the numerical implications upon the Division of Melbourne, the Commission identified that Melbourne would need to lose electors elsewhere to remain within the numerical parameters set by the Electoral Act. The Commission noted that a number of divisions, to the north and west of Melbourne, would need to absorb much of the excess enrolment created by the decision not to proceed with the Division of Burke. The Commission considered that breaching the Yarra River boundary to the east of Melbourne would not be appropriate given the sentiments expressed in the objections. Therefore, the Commission deemed the most logical transfer would be north-east to Batman.
88. To bring the Division of Melbourne within the projection time numerical range, the Commission proposed to transfer the locality of Clifton Hill from Melbourne to the Division of Batman. The Commission considered this an acceptable option to maintain the Yarra River boundary between the divisions of Melbourne and Melbourne Ports. It concluded that the objections be upheld and the boundary between Melbourne and Melbourne Ports be returned to the Yarra River.

The proposed boundaries between Melbourne Ports, Higgins and Goldstein

89. Four objections, eight comments on objections and one oral submission at the public inquiry on 15 October 2010 related to the proposed transfer of the localities of Caulfield, Caulfield North, Caulfield East, Caulfield South and Elsternwick from the Division of Melbourne Ports to the divisions of Higgins and Goldstein. Arguments centred on the splitting of the Jewish community between three divisions. Objections also noted that the existing boundaries of Dandenong Road and Punt Road between the divisions of Melbourne Ports and Higgins provided a more recognisable boundary than those proposed by the Redistribution Committee. Further, the objections suggested, on a number of communities of interests grounds, that the exchange of territory between the divisions of Higgins and Melbourne Ports was largely unnecessary.
90. In assessing the impact of acceding to these objections, the Commission acknowledged that the transfer of territory between Melbourne Ports and Higgins proposed by the Redistribution Committee was part of the strategy to supplement those divisions immediately south-east of the Yarra River. As this strategy had effectively been reversed by the Commission's proposed retention of the Yarra River boundary between Melbourne and Melbourne Ports, it was deemed that the scope of some transfers proposed by the Redistribution Committee could be re-examined.

91. It was noted that three objections, one comment on objections and one oral submission at the public inquiry on 15 October 2010 supported the Redistribution Committee's proposals for the boundaries for Melbourne Ports, citing changing demographics and communities of interests which would be consolidated under those proposed boundaries.
92. On balance however, the Commission found the arguments for a substantial reversal of boundaries more compelling and, in particular, agreed that suitable alternatives were available to negate the need to cross Dandenong Road as proposed by the Redistribution Committee.
93. Therefore the Commission upheld the objections to return to the existing boundary of Punt Road and Dandenong Road between the divisions of Melbourne Ports and Higgins. This proposal transferred the localities of Caulfield North and Caulfield East back to the Division of Melbourne Ports and parts of South Yarra, Prahran and Windsor back to the Division of Higgins.
94. In its existing configuration, Melbourne Ports' enrolment is projected to exceed the numerical range set out in the Electoral Act. Therefore, the Commission noted that fully restoring the boundary between Melbourne Ports and Goldstein was not feasible. The Commission proposed a partial reversal, adopting Glen Huntly Road between St Kilda Street and Grange Road as the boundary. This proposal transferred the locality of Caulfield and parts of Elsternwick and Glen Huntly back to Melbourne Ports.

The proposed boundaries between Aston, La Trobe, Holt and McMillan

95. Three objections and four comments on objections related to the Redistribution Committee's proposed transfer of the localities of Endeavour Hills, Lysterfield South and parts of Narre Warren North from the Division of Holt to Aston. In the Commission's view, a strong case was presented about these areas being effectively divorced from the rest of the Division of Aston by Churchill National Park and Lysterfield Park.
96. The Commission noted a number of differing solutions offered in the objections to offset the elector transfer needed to return the affected areas to Holt. Those recommending extending Aston east to gain further parts of Knox LGA from the Division of La Trobe were preferred by the Commission to breaching Aston's western boundary of Dandenong Creek.
97. The Commission therefore concluded that these objections should be upheld and the existing boundary between Aston and Holt be reinstated. This proposal returned Endeavour Hills, Lysterfield South and parts of Narre Warren North to the Division of Holt. To offset this move and bring Aston within the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Commission also proposed that those parts of Ferntree Gully west of Dorset Road, as well as the part of Boronia west of Dorset Road and north of Boronia and Forest Roads, be transferred from La Trobe to Aston.

98. As these transfers would have left Holt with too many electors and La Trobe under enrolled, the Commission proposed that the parts of the localities of Narre Warren and Narre Warren South east of Narre Warren-Cranbourne Road be transferred to the Division of La Trobe, bringing both divisions within the acceptable numerical range.
99. One objection, one comment on objections and one oral presentation at the public inquiry objected to the boundary between the divisions of La Trobe and McMillan, arguing that those areas proposed to be transferred from La Trobe to McMillan had a stronger community of interest with La Trobe and that it would be more logical to unite the locality of Pakenham in McMillan.
100. The Commission saw merit in these arguments and, given the numerical impact was minimal, upheld the objection. The Commission's proposal transferred the entire locality of Pakenham into the Division of McMillan and united the localities of Cockatoo, Nangana and Avonsleigh in the Division of La Trobe. La Trobe also regained parts of Dewhurst, Emerald, Gembrook, Mount Burnett and Pakenham Upper.

The proposed boundary between Deakin and Chisholm

101. Two objections and one comment were lodged against the Redistribution Committee's proposal to adopt Blackburn and Surrey Roads as the boundary between the divisions of Deakin and Chisholm. One of these objections argued that splitting the locality of Blackburn disbanded a number of communities of interest, and that Surrey Road and the northern parts of Blackburn Road were essentially suburban streets which did not provide for a strong boundary. Other objections argued more generally that Deakin's community of interest would be improved by shifting its boundary west and were presented in the context of proposed re-alignments to a number of other divisions.
102. Examining the information presented to it, the Commission agreed that the boundary proposed by the Redistribution Committee, which split the locality of Blackburn, could be improved upon, but did not consider other arguments against the proposed change to be so compelling as to warrant alteration to the boundaries of surrounding divisions.
103. The objection to splitting Blackburn was therefore upheld. The Commission proposed to unite both Blackburn and Blackburn North in the Division of Deakin, and Blackburn South and Burwood East in the Division of Chisholm. To meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and achieve a consistently recognisable boundary, the part of Forest Hill south of Canterbury Road and west of Springvale Road was also proposed to be transferred to the Division of Chisholm.

The proposed boundaries between Kooyong, Higgins and Chisholm

104. One objection related to the boundary between Kooyong and Higgins. It submitted that the part of the locality of Camberwell in the Division of Higgins was a better socio-economic fit, and had better transport connections, with the Division of Kooyong.

105. The Commission noted that this objection allowed for improved boundaries for Kooyong, Higgins and Chisholm. In particular, the opportunity to maintain the LGA of Stonnington entirely within the Division of Higgins was seen as a substantial improvement.
106. The objection was, therefore, upheld, with the part of the locality of Camberwell north of Toorak Road proposed to be transferred from Higgins to Kooyong and the entire locality of Glen Iris proposed to be united in the Division of Higgins – creating a consistent boundary along Toorak Road between Tooronga Road and Warrigal Road. The Commission also proposed that parts of the localities of Hughesdale and Malvern East, west of Warrigal Road, be returned to the Division of Higgins from Chisholm. Finally, the parts of the localities of Surrey Hills and Mont Albert in Whitehorse LGA, as well as the part of Mont Albert North south of Belmore Road, were proposed to be returned to the Division of Chisholm from the Division of Kooyong.

Other objections to proposed boundaries

107. One objection, advocating the transfer of part of the locality of Ascot Vale from the Division of Maribyrnong to the Division of Melbourne, was accepted by the Commission given the necessary realignment of divisions resulting from the reversal of the creation of Burke and the argument presented that Union Road was not the most appropriate boundary. Another objection, seeking to return part of the locality of Tullamarine to the Division of Calwell from the Division of Maribyrnong, was also accepted by the Commission as part of its proposed alterations to a number of divisions resulting from the disbanding of the Division of Burke.
108. One objection, based on community of interests grounds, sought the transfer of the Pyrenees LGA from the Division of Wannon to the Division of Ballarat and the subsequent transfer of the remainder of Golden Plains LGA from Ballarat to the Division of Corangamite. In light of the changes it had already proposed regarding the location of the boundaries for the Division of Wannon, the Commission believed that further transferring the Pyrenees LGA from Wannon would have flow on effects to a number of surrounding divisions which could not be readily accommodated. The Commission also considered that no compelling arguments were made to adopt such transfers given the Division of Ballarat did not require any alteration. Accordingly, the objection was not upheld.
109. One objection suggested the small part of the locality of Bulla in the Division of Calwell be removed to the Division of Burke. Given the proposal to not proceed with the creation of Burke, this could not be accommodated. However, the Commission was convinced of the merit of the objection and, given the minor nature of the requested change, proposed to place this part of the locality of Bulla in the Division of McEwen.
110. One objection argued that the town of Mia Mia, currently split between the divisions of Bendigo and McEwen, had stronger community of interest links with Bendigo. Given the small numerical impact of uniting Mia Mia in Bendigo, the Commission upheld the objection.

111. Two objections were received suggesting, on community of interest grounds, that the orientation of the divisions of Bruce and Chisholm be redrawn in an east-west direction due to socio-economic differences between the north and the south. Given the relatively minor alterations proposed by the Redistribution Committee to the Division of Bruce, the extent of elector movement required to accede to these objections, and the way such a redrawing of these divisions would impact on surrounding areas, the Commission considered this change to be unnecessarily disruptive. Therefore the objections were not upheld.
112. One objection suggested options for altering the boundary between McMillan and Gippsland on community of interest grounds. On assessing the feasibility of this objection, the Commission found that at least 25 000 actual electors would need to be transferred, which it deemed was unnecessarily disruptive. Therefore the objection was not be upheld.
113. One objection on community of interest grounds suggested that Tucker Road be retained as the boundary between Hotham and Goldstein. While the Commission felt that the community of interests argument alone was not sufficient to justify alteration, its considerations into the boundaries of Melbourne Ports and Higgins allowed for the retention of the existing boundary. Therefore the objection was upheld.
114. Two objections and one comment which advocated transferring further parts of Mooney Valley LGA from the Division of Wills to the Division of Maribyrnong on community of interest grounds, could not be accommodated given the scope of change required in the northern metropolitan divisions because of the decision not to create the Division of Burke.
115. Two objections and one comment recommended that Loddon LGA be transferred to the Division of Bendigo for community of interest reasons. Similarly four objections recommended that Campaspe LGA be transferred to the Division of Bendigo. While acknowledging the strong transport and community links which Loddon and Campaspe LGAs have with areas in Bendigo, the Commission was unable to accommodate the moves numerically while still retaining the proposed Division of Murray, which was pivotal to its overarching proposal.
116. One objection and one comment suggested a minor adjustment to the boundary between the divisions of Corio and Lalor by further following the Geelong Ring Road. The Commission was unable to uphold this objection due to its earlier decision to revert to the existing Little River boundary between Corio and Lalor.
117. One objection suggested that railway lines were not appropriate for use as divisional boundaries in the south-eastern metropolitan divisions due to the possibility of construction taking place over them. While accepting this may potentially be the case in rare instances, the Commission did not believe the argument justified the amount of elector movement required to altogether abandon railway lines as boundaries.

118. A number of objections dealt with the boundaries of the proposed Division of Burke. Given the Commission's proposal reversed the creation of Burke, these objections were no longer relevant to the considerations.
119. Many of the remaining objections and comments on objections related to parts of larger submissions that dealt with the state as a whole. These submissions have been addressed earlier in the report. The smaller components of these submissions could not be adopted in isolation without major consequential changes to the surrounding divisions.

Further alterations proposed by the augmented Electoral Commission

The proposed boundary between Bendigo and McEwen

120. The decision to not create a new Division of Burke necessitated the dispersion of its electors into neighbouring divisions, including Bendigo.
121. The Commission's proposal to transfer Central Goldfields LGA from the Division of Bendigo to the Division of Wannon provided scope for the Division of Bendigo to absorb some of this excess enrolment.
122. The Commission believed it to be logical to extend Bendigo south along the Calder Freeway to regain most of the area of Macedon Ranges LGA, which the Redistribution Committee had proposed be transferred to Burke. Therefore, the Commission proposed that the localities of Edgecombe, Kyneton, Kyneton South, Baynton, Baynton East, Pastoria, Pastoria East, Benloch and Sidonia, be returned to the Division of Bendigo.
123. In assessing the appropriateness of the existing split of Macedon Ranges LGA between the divisions of Bendigo and McEwen, the Commission noted that Bendigo still had the capacity to gain electors. It therefore chose to deviate from the current boundary, which follows the ABS Statistical Local Area border for Macedon Ranges – Kyneton, to transfer some further areas into Bendigo following the locality boundaries. This allowed for both improved boundaries and the unification of a number of localities.
124. Therefore, the Commission proposed to unite the previously split localities of Benloch, Pipers Creek, Carlsruhe, Cadello, Woodend and Ashbourne within Bendigo. The localities of Newham, Woodend North, Heskett, Macedon and Mount Macedon were also proposed to be transferred into Bendigo to further reduce elector numbers in McEwen.
125. The Commission had earlier proposed to accept one objection which argued that the locality of Mia Mia be placed wholly in the Division of Bendigo. The Commission further proposed that the localities of Glenhope, Glenhope East and Heathcote South also be transferred from the Division of McEwen to Bendigo in the interests of adopting a clear and logical boundary.

The proposed boundary between McEwen and Calwell

126. A number of objections to the Redistribution Committee's southern boundary of McEwen became redundant following the proposed disbandment of the Division of Burke. However, the Commission still needed to consider the boundary between McEwen and Calwell to ensure that all divisions met the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. Therefore some further changes were proposed.
127. The challenge for the Commission was to adopt appropriate boundaries given the high projected enrolment in and around the northern suburbs on the urban fringe. It was found that moving even small geographical areas had an adverse impact on the ability of the Commission to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act in these densely populated areas.
128. After considering options which would enable the numerical criteria to be satisfied, the Commission proposed to adopt the section of Craigieburn Road between Mickleham Road and Merri Creek as the northern boundary of Calwell. This approach united the locality of Greenvale in the Division of Calwell, and added the localities of Somerton and Roxburgh Park, as well as the southern areas of Craigieburn.

The proposed boundaries between Calwell, Gorton and Maribyrnong

129. Calwell's proposed gain of electors from the now disbanded Division of Burke demanded a compensating loss of electors so that Calwell could remain within the acceptable numerical range.
130. The approach proposed by the Commission was to transfer localities in their entirety wherever possible to maintain communities of interest and recognisable boundaries. The Commission therefore proposed to transfer the entire localities of Delahey and Kings Park from the Division of Calwell to the Division of Gorton, and Calwell's share of St Albans to the Division of Maribyrnong.
131. These proposed transfers left the Division of Gorton with too many electors. The Commission therefore proposed that Gorton also shed its portion of St Albans to Maribyrnong. This proposed alteration united St Albans in the Division of Maribyrnong.
132. In its consideration of the public objections, the Commission had already agreed to transfer part of the locality of Tullamarine to the Division of Calwell from the Division of Maribyrnong. The Commission further proposed to transfer Maribyrnong's remaining portion of Tullamarine, as well as the locality of Keilor Park, to the Division of Calwell. The Commission concluded that this move provided a well defined boundary between these divisions by adopting the Craigieburn/Broadmeadows Railway Line.

The proposed boundaries between Maribyrnong, Gellibrand and Lalor

133. While few objections were lodged in respect of the boundaries between Maribyrnong, Gellibrand and Lalor, the adjustments proposed by the Commission in order to bring Calwell and Gorton within the Electoral Act's numerical parameters left Maribyrnong with an excessive number of electors.
134. The Commission's proposed change to the boundary between the divisions of Corio and Lalor had also left Lalor below the acceptable numerical range. The Commission therefore adopted an approach to transfer excess enrolment from the Division of Maribyrnong to the Division of Gellibrand, which could in turn supplement the Division of Lalor.
135. The Commission believed the transfer of those localities in the south-west of Maribyrnong, directly east of the Western Ring Road, were the most appropriate to transfer to Gellibrand. The Commission considered this appendage to Maribyrnong to be in some ways disconnected from the remainder of the division, but well connected to areas of Gellibrand by Somerville Road, Geelong Road and the Princes Freeway.
136. The Commission proposed to transfer the localities of Ardeer, Albion, Sunshine, Sunshine West and Brooklyn from the Division of Maribyrnong to Gellibrand, using the Western Ring Road, St Albans Road and Ballarat Road as boundaries.
137. In return, the localities of Braybrook and Maidstone were proposed to be transferred from the Division of Gellibrand to the Division of Maribyrnong to ensure Maribyrnong remained within the projection time numerical range.
138. These proposed adjustments left the Division of Gellibrand with excess enrolment, and the capacity to supplement the Division of Lalor.
139. The Commission identified the locality of Laverton, being east of the Princes Freeway, and the localities of Seabrook and Point Cook, being south of Laverton Creek, as logical areas for Lalor to gain. However, to meet the legislative requirement of being plus or minus ten per cent of the enrolment quota, the Commission found that they had little choice but to split the locality of Altona Meadows.
140. Therefore, the localities of Laverton and Seabrook, and the remainder of Point Cook, were proposed to be transferred from Gellibrand to Lalor as well as the part of the locality of Altona Meadows north of Central Avenue and west of Merton Street. This proposed adjustment brought Lalor's actual enrolment to minus 8.69 per cent.

The proposed boundaries between Higgins, Hotham and Isaacs

141. In the context of the objections proposed to be upheld in relation to the boundaries between Higgins, Goldstein and Hotham, some further small alterations were considered by the Commission. The Commission proposed that part of the locality of Murrumbreena south of the Pakenham/Cranbourne railway line be transferred from the Division of Higgins to the Division of Hotham. Further, it proposed that part of the locality of Cheltenham in the Division of Isaacs be transferred to the Division of Hotham.

Whether the augmented Electoral Commission's proposal was 'significantly different' from the Redistribution Committee's proposal

142. The Commission concluded that, in its opinion, its proposal was 'significantly different' from the Redistribution Committee's proposal within the meaning of section 72(12) of the Electoral Act.

143. Therefore, in accordance with the requirements set out in section 72 of the Electoral Act, on 21 October 2010 the augmented Commission made a public announcement about its proposed redistribution, invited further written objections to be lodged, and notified that a public inquiry would be held into the further objections. (Appendix A)

144. Maps illustrating the Commission's proposed changes to the Redistribution Committee's proposal are included in the enclosed DVD.

Consideration of the Further Objections and Oral Submissions about the Proposal made by the Augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria

145. Section 72(2) of the Electoral Act requires the Commission to complete its consideration of the initial objections, initial comments and further objections before the expiration of the period of 60 days after the closing date for comments on objections. The timeframe imposed by the legislation determined the period of time during which further objections could be submitted and the scheduling of the further public inquiry.
146. By the lodgement date, a total of 278 further objections were received in response to the Commission's proposal. These are listed at Appendix G and are provided in full on the enclosed DVD. They were also published on the AEC website.
147. Five persons presented oral submissions at the public inquiry held in Melbourne on 8 November 2010. The speakers are listed in Appendix H and the transcript of the inquiry is included on the DVD.
148. The majority of the further objections related to splitting Craigieburn between the divisions of Calwell and McEwen. A number of other objections opposed the proposed boundary between the divisions of McEwen and Indi.
149. The Commission gave consideration to the boundaries which were subject to further objections.

The proposed boundary between Calwell and McEwen

150. Of the 278 further objections, 257 opposed the proposal to split Craigieburn between the divisions of Calwell and McEwen. Two people spoke about this issue at the public inquiry. The objections asserted that Craigieburn is a single community and evidence was submitted about communication and travel links, physical barriers such as the Melbourne Airport, and the relationship between the existing federal boundaries and the municipal boundaries. Further arguments highlighted the differences in communities of interest between Craigieburn and the north of the proposed Division of McEwen, including Seymour. Most of the objections suggested that Craigieburn be placed entirely in the Division of Calwell, given the similarity of nearby localities, or placed in a newly created Division of Burke consistent with the Redistribution Committee's proposal.
151. While sympathetic to the notion of creating relatively homogenous electoral divisions, the Commission's overriding consideration is to ensure that the number of electors in each division is equitable as required by the Electoral Act. As a result, the Commission acknowledged that divisions will inevitably contain a diversity of communities of interest. The Commission particularly noted the difficulty of creating a division in this part of the state which is purely rural or urban, given the prescriptive nature of the numerical criteria.

152. With the Division of Calwell already very close to the maximum 3.5 per cent variation from the average projected enrolment, the Commission noted that to include all of Craigieburn would require the transfer of more than 11 000 electors from the Division of McEwen to Calwell, which would place Calwell well above the projection time numerical range. This approach would also have major flow on effects to other divisions, given no logical exchange with McEwen could be identified by the Commission.
153. Similarly, the suggested approach of unifying Craigieburn in the Division of McEwen would take McEwen above the projection time numerical range unless wide-scale changes were made to other divisions to accommodate it.
154. The Commission was not convinced that reintroducing the Division of Burke was a viable option, given the extent of disruption which would be caused to electors across the state.
155. On balance, the Commission concluded that it could not accede to the objections which sought to place Craigieburn within one division.

The proposed boundary between Indi and McEwen

156. Eight further objections and one oral submission at the public inquiry opposed moving the LGA of Murrindindi to the Division of Indi. These objections argued that Murrindindi's community of interest and transport links were stronger with the Division of McEwen to the west and the Division of Casey to the south.
157. The removal of Murrindindi Shire from Indi would once again leave Indi below the projection time numerical range. In the Commission's opinion, this loss could only viably be offset by gaining substantial areas of Moira LGA from the Division of Murray, given the geographic constraints of the state border north of Indi and the mountainous territory to the south. Having earlier acceded to those objections to retain the Division of Murray, the Commission felt that transferring parts of Moira LGA would be contrary to maintaining the community of interest between Moira, Shepparton, and the rest of the Goulburn-Murray Irrigation District.
158. The Commission noted that the transfer, or partial transfer, of Murrindindi LGA from the Division of McEwen to the Division of Indi was supported in six of the twelve public suggestions at the outset of the redistribution.
159. Although sympathetic to the community of interest and communication and travel arguments presented, after weighing the benefits and compromises associated with possible alternative boundary arrangements, the Commission concluded that it was unable to uphold these objections.

The proposed boundaries between Chisholm, Deakin and Menzies

160. One further objection and two oral submissions related to the boundaries between Chisholm, Deakin and Menzies. These objections argued that Chisholm and Deakin's boundaries should be drawn more on an east-west orientation along the Burwood and Maroondah Highways. These objections further sought to unite a number of localities on community of interest grounds and to alleviate the possibility of elector confusion.
161. In the Commission's opinion, the suggested alterations between Chisholm and Deakin would produce boundaries that were not as clearly recognisable as those which it had proposed. The Commission concluded that the case put forward did not justify varying the proposed boundaries. Therefore the objections to the boundary between Chisholm and Deakin are not sustained.
162. In considering the boundary between Deakin and Menzies, the Commission believed there was considerable merit in uniting the locality of Ringwood and acknowledged that the boundary could be improved upon. However, the boundary as described in the objection could not be adopted numerically in isolation of the other boundary changes to Deakin and Chisholm, and is therefore slightly altered to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
163. The Commission decided, in light of the argument put forward, to transfer that part of the locality of Ringwood proposed to be in Menzies to the Division of Deakin, thereby uniting Ringwood in that division. Further, the Commission has agreed that the part of Croydon North proposed to be in Deakin be transferred to the Division of Menzies, thereby uniting Croydon North in that division.
164. The objections to the boundary between Deakin and Menzies are therefore upheld with some alteration.

The proposed boundaries of Melbourne, Wills, Maribyrnong, Gellibrand and Lalor

165. One further objection proposed a series of minor transfers to the divisions of Melbourne, Wills, Maribyrnong, Gellibrand and Lalor to better reflect communities of interest and adopt more recognisable boundaries. The Commission considered that these suggested alterations did improve upon the proposed boundaries in the majority of cases, with the exception of the boundary between Gellibrand and Lalor. Given these cascading adjustments could only work if all were made, the Commission decided to uphold the objections on the grounds that the majority of changes were beneficial.
166. Therefore, the Commission decided to transfer the remainder of Brunswick East and part of Fitzroy North from the Division of Melbourne to the Division of Wills. Beginning at the intersection of Park and Nicholson Streets, the boundary runs east through Janet Millman and Park Street Reserves before connecting back to Park Street, Rushall Crescent and Falconer Street and then connecting with the western boundary of the Division of Batman. This outcome unites the locality of Brunswick East in the Division of Wills and provides a clear boundary between the divisions of Melbourne and Wills.

167. The Commission also concluded that the part of the locality of Strathmore south of the Tullamarine Freeway/Citylink shall be transferred from the Division of Wills to the Division of Maribyrnong. This transfer was originally proposed by the Redistribution Committee and provides a clearer boundary between Maribyrnong and Wills.
168. Further, the Commission agreed to transfer that part of the locality of Maidstone south of Ballarat Road from the Division of Maribyrnong to the Division of Gellibrand in order to provide a more recognisable boundary than Suffolk Street as originally proposed.
169. The Commission also concluded that a further part of the locality of Altona Meadows, bounded by Laverton Creek in the north and Queen Street in the south, should be transferred from the Division of Gellibrand to the Division of Lalor.

Other further objections to the boundaries proposed by the augmented Electoral Commission

170. One further objection suggested that the LGA of Colac Otway be united in the Division of Corangamite to better represent communities of interest. Given the small numerical impact and improvement to boundaries, the objection is upheld.
171. Another further objection suggested a minor reversion to existing boundaries between the divisions of La Trobe and McMillan, near the locality of Macclesfield, on community of interest grounds. The Commission acknowledged that the area in question is well connected south to La Trobe and, given the small numerical impact, the objection is upheld.
172. One further objection and one oral submission opposed the boundaries for Melbourne Ports, suggesting a return to the boundaries as proposed by the Redistribution Committee. The Commission felt no compelling argument was made to counter the earlier objections which opposed the crossing of Dandenong Road. Therefore, the objection is not upheld.
173. A number of individual objections advocated a return to the boundaries proposed by the Redistribution Committee for the divisions of Corio and Corangamite. In the Commission's view, no compelling arguments were made to counter those earlier objections acceded to in relation to these boundaries.
174. Two further objections proposed that all of the LGA of Moira be placed in the Division of Murray. The Commission noted that the unification of Moira in Murray would take Indi below the numerical tolerance range. To compensate for such a move, electors would need to be transferred from Murray, McEwen or Gippsland into the Division of Indi. On balance, the Commission concluded that retaining the existing boundary between Murray and Indi was preferable to splitting another LGA between Indi and one of its neighbouring divisions. Therefore the objection is not upheld.

175. One further objection proposed that Loddon LGA be placed in the Division of Bendigo, rather than the Division of Murray, on community of interest grounds. The Commission acknowledged, as it did in its considerations of the initial objections, the strong community and transport links between Loddon LGA and the city of Bendigo. However, removing Loddon from the Division of Murray would, in the Commission's opinion, compromise the intent and reasoning behind the retention of the bulk of the Goulburn-Murray Irrigation District in the Division of Murray. The Commission also noted that the transfer would mean the divisions of Murray and Bendigo would not meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act and so compensating alterations would be required. The Commission did not accept that the case for such change had been proven. Therefore the objection is not upheld.
176. One further objection suggested that the Division of Wannon's existing northern boundary be retained and that the LGA of Central Goldfields be transferred to the Division of Mallee. While conceding that the Central Goldfields area in the north-east of Wannon differs from other areas of Wannon, like the coastal towns of Warrnambool and Port Fairy, the Commission noted that similar disparities would be evident with Murray River towns in Mallee, such as Mildura and Swan Hill. The Commission also considered that the Pyrenees Highway was a strong connection between the major town of Maryborough in the Central Goldfields LGA and other towns in Wannon like Ararat. Therefore, the objection is not upheld.
177. Another further objection purported that the removal of part of Camberwell from the Division of Higgins to the Division of Kooyong was unnecessary. The Commission believes that uniting as much of Camberwell in the Division of Kooyong as possible, following the recognisable boundary of Toorak Road between Higgins and Kooyong, is logical and noted that it allowed for the retention of all of Stonnington LGA in the Division of Higgins. Therefore the objection is not upheld.
178. The augmented Electoral Commission also agreed to a number of minor changes to produce more clearly defined boundaries. These adjustments are:
- An alteration to part of the boundary between the divisions of Calwell and Maribyrnong, to adopt the Western Ring Road between the Calder Freeway and Melrose Drive instead of the Craigieburn-Broadmeadows Railway Line.
 - An alteration to part of the boundary between the divisions of Higgins and Goldstein to adopt North and Grange Roads instead of the locality boundary for Carnegie.
 - An alteration to part of the boundary between the divisions of Higgins and Hotham to adopt North and Murrumbeena Roads instead of the locality boundary for Carnegie.
 - An alteration to part of the boundary between the divisions of Aston and La Trobe to adopt Albert Avenue between Boronia and Miller Roads instead of Forest Road and the locality boundary between Boronia and The Basin.

Variations from the enrolment quota

179. Two further objections and one speaker at the public hearing on 8 November 2010 queried whether the Commission’s application of the numerical criteria was appropriate. The Commission noted that all 37 electoral divisions, as proposed and as finally determined, meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Commission is confident that the flexible use of the range of variation from the enrolment quota and from the projected average enrolment, as provided for in the legislation, is both appropriate and necessary in order to satisfactorily address the qualitative criteria specified in the Electoral Act, including communities of interests.

Conclusion

180. The Commission decided, subject to the minor adjustments detailed above, to adopt the boundaries proposed by the augmented Electoral Commission as outlined in its public announcement on 21 October 2010.

181. The boundaries adopted by the augmented Electoral Commission result in 374 807 electors, or 10.77 per cent, of electors changing division. (Table 3)

182. A general description of each distributed electoral division is outlined in Section 1.5 of this report, and maps illustrating the boundaries of each division are enclosed.

Determination made by the Augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria

183. For the reasons stated under section 74 of the Electoral Act, the augmented Electoral Commission, having considered all objections, comments on objections, further objections, and submissions at the public inquiries, made the determination, the terms of which are set out above, by notice published in the Gazette on the 24th day of December 2010.

Peter Heerey	Ed Killesteyn	Brian Pink	Jenni McMullan	John Tulloch	Des Pearson
Chairperson	Member	Member	Member	Member	Member

Augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria
24 December 2010

1.3 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

STATISTICAL SUMMARY AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE MANNER IN WHICH EACH DIVISION HAS BEEN CONSTITUTED

Table 1: Determination of the Quota and Enrolment Projections

DETERMINATION OF QUOTA

Number of divisions into which Victoria is to be distributed	37
Number of electors in Victoria at 1 February 2010	3 479 476
Quota for Victoria	94 040
Permissible maximum number of electors (plus 10 per cent) in a division	103 444
Permissible minimum number of electors (minus 10 per cent) in a division	84 636

ENROLMENT PROJECTIONS AT 17 JUNE 2014

Projected number of electors in Victoria at 17 June 2014	3 745 205
Average enrolment for Victoria at 17 June 2014	101 222
103.5% of average enrolment projected at 17 June 2014	104 764
96.5% of average enrolment projected at 17 June 2014	97 680

Table 2: Summary of Divisions

Division	Actual enrolment 1.02.2010	% Variation from average	Projected enrolment 17.06.2014	Variation from average	Approx area (sq km)
Aston	92 370	-1.78	98 469	-2.72	99
Ballarat	95 003	1.02	100 786	-0.43	4 652
Batman	96 909	3.05	104 258	3.00	66
Bendigo	95 729	1.80	102 582	1.34	6 255
Bruce	95 472	1.52	99 904	-1.30	73
Calwell	99 031	5.31	104 734	3.47	175
Casey	93 226	-0.87	98 055	-3.13	2 337
Chisholm	93 839	-0.21	100 024	-1.18	65
Corangamite	92 749	-1.37	99 931	-1.28	7 624
Corio	95 855	1.93	101 394	0.17	989
Deakin	95 110	1.14	99 849	-1.36	70
Dunkley	93 680	-0.38	98 427	-2.76	140
Flinders	97 220	3.38	102 063	0.83	1 952
Gellibrand	97 178	3.34	103 171	1.93	102
Gippsland	95 719	1.79	102 036	0.80	33 054
Goldstein	95 895	1.97	101 770	0.54	50
Gorton	86 830	-7.67	104 496	3.23	562
Higgins	92 939	-1.17	99 993	-1.21	40
Holt	91 065	-3.16	103 874	2.62	131
Hotham	95 201	1.23	99 845	-1.36	75
Indi	93 799	-0.26	98 015	-3.17	28 567
Isaacs	89 509	-4.82	98 525	-2.66	166
Jagajaga	99 720	6.04	102 871	1.63	128
Kooyong	93 969	-0.08	98 449	-2.74	52
Lalor	87 898	-6.53	103 554	2.30	546
La Trobe	90 805	-3.44	102 925	1.68	562
McEwen	90 003	-4.29	104 401	3.14	4 592
McMillan	92 698	-1.43	101 924	0.69	8 358

Division	Actual enrolment 1.02.2010	% Variation from average	Projected enrolment 17.06.2014	Variation from average	Approx area (sq km)
Mallee	95 248	1.28	97 988	-3.19	73 879
Maribyrnong	101 158	7.57	104 399	3.14	73
Melbourne	85 546	-9.03	100 575	-0.64	46
Melbourne Ports	85 983	-8.57	98 016	-3.17	40
Menzies	97 188	3.35	100 335	-0.88	125
Murray	96 110	2.20	100 085	-1.12	19 500
Scullin	96 914	3.06	103 508	2.26	169
Wannon	94 195	0.16	99 364	-1.84	32 047
Wills	97 713	3.91	104 610	3.35	57
Average	94 040		101 222		
Victoria	3 479 476		3 745 205		227 418

Table 3: Summary of Movement of Electors Between Divisions

Number of electors remaining in their existing division	3 104 669
Number of electors transferred to another division (This results in 10.77% electors changing divisions)	374 807
TOTAL	3 479 476

1.4 DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD USED TO CALCULATE THE AREA OF ELECTORAL DIVISIONS

The area of electoral divisions in Victoria has been calculated by aggregating the area of:

- all land-based Census Collection Districts (CCDs),
- any parts of land-based CCDs, and
- any lakes ponds rivers creeks wetlands or marshes not already included in land-based CCDs that are wholly contained within the divisional boundary of each electoral division.

Areas are calculated on the *Geocentric Datum of Australia (GDA94)* spheroid using the AEC *Electoral Boundary Mapping System (EBMS)* developed within the 'Mapinfo Professional' software package.

1.5 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EACH ELECTORAL DIVISION

The tables in this section set out how each electoral division is constituted and arranged under Statistical Local Areas (SLAs). Each SLA comprises a number of CCDs. The CCDs which applied at the 2006 Census of Population and Housing have been used.

Division 1: Aston

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Aston SLAs of –		
Knox (C) – North-East (part)	16 696	17 591
Knox (C) – North-West	32 826	34 689
Knox (C) – South	27 218	29 892
Total from existing Division of Aston	76 740	82 172
From existing Division of Bruce SLA of –		
Greater Dandenong (C) – Dandenong (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Bruce	0	0
From existing Division of La Trobe SLA of –		
Knox (C) – North-East (part)	15 630	16 297
Total from existing Division of La Trobe	15 630	16 297
Total for Division of Aston	92 370	98 469
SLA transferred to Division of Deakin		
Whitehorse (C) – Nunawading East (part)	15 723	16 088
Total transferred to Division of Deakin	15 723	16 088
Total transferred from existing Division of Aston	15 723	16 088

Note:

The following abbreviations are used in these tables:

- B – Borough
- C – City
- RC – Rural City
- S – Shire

Division 2: Ballarat

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Ballarat		
SLAs of –		
Ballarat (C) – Central	23 352	24 563
Ballarat (C) – Inner North	21 911	23 849
Ballarat (C) – North (part)	761	754
Ballarat (C) – South	16 503	17 777
Golden Plains (S) – North-West (part)	3 531	3 798
Hepburn (S) – East	5 565	5 599
Hepburn (S) – West	4 938	4 885
Moorabool (S) – Bacchus Marsh	11 369	12 189
Moorabool (S) – Ballan	4 438	4 677
Moorabool (S) – West	2 635	2 695
Total from existing Division of Ballarat	95 003	100 786
From existing Division of Bendigo		
SLA of –		
Central Goldfields (S) Balance (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Bendigo	0	0
Total for Division of Ballarat	95 003	100 786
SLA transferred to Division of Wannon		
Ballarat (C) – North (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Wannon	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Ballarat	0	0

Division 3: Batman

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Batman SLAs of –		
Darebin (C) – Northcote	32 565	35 151
Darebin (C) – Preston	54 136	58 551
Total from existing Division of Batman	86 701	93 702
From existing Division of Melbourne SLA of –		
Yarra (C) – North (part)	5 706	6 098
Total from existing Division of Melbourne	5 706	6 098
From existing Division of Scullin SLAs of –		
Whittlesea (C) – South-East (part)	4 487	4 438
Whittlesea (C) – South-West (part)	15	20
Total from existing Division of Scullin	4 502	4 458
Total for Division of Batman	96 909	104 258

Division 4: Bendigo

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Bendigo SLAs of –		
Campaspe (S) – South (part)	1	1
Greater Bendigo (C) – Central	12 318	12 448
Greater Bendigo (C) – Eaglehawk	6 249	6 563
Greater Bendigo (C) – Inner East	16 884	17 946
Greater Bendigo (C) – Inner North	7 503	8 971
Greater Bendigo (C) – Inner West	12 362	13 374
Greater Bendigo (C) – Part B (part)	8 571	9 276
Greater Bendigo (C) – Strathfieldsaye	5 038	5 801
Loddon (S) – South (part)	802	806
Macedon Ranges (S) – Kyneton (part)	6 093	6 234
Mitchell (S) – North (part)	0	0
Mount Alexander (S) – Castlemaine	5 142	5 534
Mount Alexander (S) Balance	7 606	8 314
Total from existing Division of Bendigo	88 569	95 268
From existing Division of McEwen SLAs of –		
Greater Bendigo (C) – Part B (part)	0	0
Macedon Ranges (S) – Romsey (part)	23	22
Macedon Ranges (S) Balance (part)	7 018	7 173
Mitchell (S) – North (part)	119	119
Total from existing Division of McEwen	7 160	7 314
Total for Division of Bendigo	95 729	102 582
SLA transferred to Division of Ballarat		
Central Goldfields (S) Balance (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Ballarat	0	0
SLA transferred to Division of McEwen		
Macedon Ranges (S) – Kyneton (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of McEwen	0	0

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
SLAs transferred to Division of Wannon		
Central Goldfields (S) – Maryborough	5 610	5 674
Central Goldfields (S) Balance (part)	3 855	3 780
Total transferred to Division of Wannon	9 465	9 454
Total transferred from existing Division of Bendigo	9 465	9 454

Division 5: Bruce

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Bruce SLAs of –		
Greater Dandenong (C) – Dandenong (part)	29 972	31 619
Greater Dandenong (C) Balance (part)	10 946	11 560
Monash (C) – South-West (part)	209	233
Monash (C) – Waverley East	41 061	42 185
Monash (C) – Waverley West (part)	5 721	6 198
Total from existing Division of Bruce	87 909	91 795
From existing Division of Chisholm SLA of –		
Monash (C) – Waverley West (part)	7 563	8 109
Total from existing Division of Chisholm	7 563	8 109
Total for Division of Bruce	95 472	99 904
SLA transferred to Division of Aston		
Greater Dandenong (C) – Dandenong (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Aston	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Bruce	0	0

Division 6: Calwell

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Calwell SLAs of –		
Hume (C) – Broadmeadows	39 784	39 154
Hume (C) – Craigieburn (part)	26 116	30 739
Total from existing Division of Calwell	65 900	69 893
From existing Division of Gorton SLA of –		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	31 054	32 767
Total from existing Division of Gorton	31 054	32 767
From existing Division of Maribyrnong SLA of –		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	2 077	2 074
Total from existing Division of Maribyrnong	2 077	2 074
Total for Division of Calwell	99 031	104 734
SLAs transferred to Division of McEwen		
Hume (C) – Craigieburn (part)	10 212	13 992
Hume (C) – Sunbury	23 172	25 149
Total transferred to Division of McEwen	33 384	39 141
Total transferred from existing Division of Calwell	33 384	39 141

Division 7: Casey

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Casey SLAs of –		
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	11 498	12 070
Yarra Ranges (S) – Dandenongs (part)	3 408	3 566
Yarra Ranges (S) – Lilydale (part)	48 591	51 332
Yarra Ranges (S) – Seville (part)	9 955	10 474
Total from existing Division of Casey	73 452	77 442
From existing Division of McEwen SLAs of –		
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	7	7
Yarra Ranges (S) – Central	10 213	10 561
Yarra Ranges (S) – North (part)	9 139	9 571
Yarra Ranges (S) – Part B (part)	415	474
Total from existing Division of McEwen	19 774	20 613
Total for Division of Casey	93 226	98 055
SLAs transferred to Division of Deakin		
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	6 859	7 550
Maroondah (C) – Ringwood (part)	1 066	1 113
Total transferred to Division of Deakin	7 925	8 663
SLA transferred to Division of Menzies		
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	9 300	9 798
Total transferred to Division of Menzies	9 300	9 798
Total transferred from existing Division of Casey	17 225	18 461

Division 8: Chisholm

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Chisholm SLAs of –		
Kingston (C) – North (part)	369	359
Monash (C) – South-West (part)	13 685	15 198
Monash (C) – Waverley West (part)	29 904	31 674
Whitehorse (C) – Box Hill (part)	32 262	34 765
Total from existing Division of Chisholm	76 220	81 996
From existing Division of Deakin SLA of –		
Whitehorse (C) – Nunawading West (part)	17 619	18 028
Total from existing Division of Deakin	17 619	18 028
Total for Division of Chisholm	93 839	100 024
SLA transferred to Division of Bruce		
Monash (C) – Waverley West (part)	7 563	8 109
Total transferred to Division of Bruce	7 563	8 109
SLA transferred to Division of Kooyong		
Whitehorse (C) – Box Hill (part)	1 404	1 530
Total transferred to Division of Kooyong	1 404	1 530
Total transferred from existing Division of Chisholm	8 967	9 639

Division 9: Corangamite

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Corangamite SLAs of –		
Colac-Otway (S) – Colac	8 143	8 556
Colac-Otway (S) – North (part)	4 213	4 404
Colac-Otway (S) – South (part)	2 704	2 648
Corio – Inner (part)	144	143
Golden Plains (S) – North-West (part)	1 894	1 904
Golden Plains (S) – South-East	6 869	7 678
Greater Geelong (C) – Part B (part)	12 744	13 262
Newtown (part)	2	2
Queenscliffe (B)	2 488	2 587
South Barwon – Inner	36 357	39 940
Surf Coast (S) – East	10 383	11 909
Surf Coast (S) – West	6 663	6 744
Total from existing Division of Corangamite	92 604	99 777
From existing Division of Wannon SLA of –		
Colac-Otway (S) – North (part)	145	154
Total from existing Division of Wannon	145	154
Total for Division of Corangamite	92 749	99 931
SLA transferred to Division of Corio Greater Geelong (C) – Part B (part)	5 744	6 255
Total transferred to Division of Corio	5 744	6 255
SLA transferred to Division of Wannon Colac-Otway (S) – South (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Wannon	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Corangamite	5 744	6 255

Division 10: Corio

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Corio SLAs of –		
Bellarine – Inner	17 137	18 036
Corio – Inner (part)	38 192	40 540
Geelong	8 203	8 383
Geelong West	9 838	10 279
Greater Geelong (C) – Part B (part)	8 093	8 494
Greater Geelong (C) – Part C	1 667	1 961
Newtown (part)	6 981	7 446
Total from existing Division of Corio	90 111	95 139
From existing Division of Corangamite SLA of –		
Greater Geelong (C) – Part B (part)	5 744	6 255
Total from existing Division of Corangamite	5 744	6 255
Total for Division of Corio	95 855	101 394

Division 11: Deakin

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Deakin SLAs of –		
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	10 750	11 385
Maroondah (C) – Ringwood (part)	24 084	25 042
Whitehorse (C) – Nunawading East (part)	16 446	17 399
Whitehorse (C) – Nunawading West (part)	17 852	18 877
Total from existing Division of Deakin	69 132	72 703
From existing Division of Aston SLA of –		
Whitehorse (C) – Nunawading East (part)	15 723	16 088
Total from existing Division of Aston	15 723	16 088
From existing Division of Casey SLAs of –		
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	6 859	7 550
Maroondah (C) – Ringwood (part)	1 066	1 113
Total from existing Division of Casey	7 925	8 663
From existing Division of Menzies SLAs of –		
Manningham (C) – West (part)	219	220
Maroondah (C) – Ringwood (part)	2 111	2 175
Total from existing Division of Menzies	2 330	2 395
Total for Division of Deakin	95 110	99 849
SLA transferred to Division of Chisholm		
Whitehorse (C) – Nunawading West (part)	17 619	18 028
Total transferred to Division of Chisholm	17 619	18 028
Total transferred from existing Division of Deakin	17 619	18 028

Division 12: Dunkley

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Dunkley SLAs of –		
Frankston (C) – East (part)	15 257	16 858
Frankston (C) – West (part)	50 000	52 035
Mornington Peninsula (S) – West (part)	27 792	28 891
Total from existing Division of Dunkley	93 049	97 784
From existing Division of Flinders SLA of –		
Mornington Peninsula (S) – East (part)	596	606
Total from existing Division of Flinders	596	606
From existing Division of Isaacs SLA of –		
Frankston (C) – West (part)	35	37
Total from existing Division of Isaacs	35	37
Total for Division of Dunkley	93 680	98 427

Division 13: Flinders

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Flinders SLAs of –		
Bass Coast (S) – Phillip Island	6 479	6 894
Bass Coast (S) Balance (part)	4 642	4 654
Cardinia (S) – South (part)	3 840	4 114
Casey (C) – Cranbourne (part)	952	1 047
Casey (C) – South (part)	7 924	7 905
French Island	90	90
Mornington Peninsula (S) – East (part)	25 282	26 756
Mornington Peninsula (S) – South	36 947	38 226
Mornington Peninsula (S) – West (part)	11 064	12 377
Total from existing Division of Flinders	97 220	102 063
Total for Division of Flinders	97 220	102 063
SLA transferred to Division of Dunkley		
Mornington Peninsula (S) – East (part)	596	606
Total transferred to Division of Dunkley	596	606
SLA transferred to Division of McMillan		
Cardinia (S) – South (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of McMillan	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Flinders	596	606

Division 14: Gellibrand

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Gellibrand SLAs of –		
Hobsons Bay (C) – Altona (part)	26 407	27 204
Hobsons Bay (C) – Williamstown	20 701	22 377
Maribyrnong (C) (part)	29 623	32 655
Total from existing Division of Gellibrand	76 731	82 236
From existing Division of Gorton SLA of –		
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	12 954	13 202
Total from existing Division of Gorton	12 954	13 202
From existing Division of Lalor SLA of –		
Wyndham (C) – North (part)	3	4
Total from existing Division of Lalor	3	4
From existing Division of Maribyrnong SLA of –		
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	7 490	7 729
Total from existing Division of Maribyrnong	7 490	7 729
Total for Division of Gellibrand	97 178	103 171
SLA transferred to Division of Lalor		
Hobsons Bay (C) – Altona (part)	6 480	6 701
Total transferred to Division of Lalor	6 480	6 701
SLA transferred to Division of Maribyrnong		
Maribyrnong (C) (part)	10 601	13 311
Total transferred to Division of Maribyrnong	10 601	13 311
Total transferred from existing Division of Gellibrand	17 081	20 012

Division 15: Gippsland

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Gippsland SLAs of –		
East Gippsland (S) – Bairnsdale	19 339	20 213
East Gippsland (S) – Orbost	6 168	6 697
East Gippsland (S) – South-West	3 005	3 517
East Gippsland (S) Balance (part)	1 889	2 054
Latrobe (C) – Moe (part)	0	0
Latrobe (C) – Morwell	14 910	15 744
Latrobe (C) – Traralgon	19 533	21 503
Latrobe (C) Balance	1 871	1 934
Towong (S) – Part B (part)	0	0
Wellington (S) – Alberton	4 134	4 150
Wellington (S) – Avon	2 887	3 187
Wellington (S) – Maffra	7 397	7 723
Wellington (S) – Rosedale	5 051	4 868
Wellington (S) – Sale	9 535	10 446
Total from existing Division of Gippsland	95 719	102 036
Total for Division of Gippsland	95 719	102 036
SLA transferred to Division of McMillan		
Latrobe (C) – Moe (part)	2	2
Total transferred to Division of McMillan	2	2
Total transferred from existing Division of Gippsland	2	2

Division 16: Goldstein

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Goldstein SLAs of –		
Bayside (C) – Brighton	25 770	27 515
Bayside (C) – South	38 319	40 009
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	6 713	7 163
Glen Eira (C) – South (part)	16 551	17 947
Total from existing Division of Goldstein	87 353	92 634
From existing Division of Melbourne Ports SLA of –		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	8 542	9 136
Total from existing Division of Melbourne Ports	8 542	9 136
Total for Division of Goldstein	95 895	101 770
SLA transferred to Division of Higgins		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	4 785	5 115
Total transferred to Division of Higgins	4 785	5 115
Total transferred from existing Division of Goldstein	4 785	5 115

Division 17: Gorton

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Gorton SLAs of –		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	11 803	12 095
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	18 600	22 552
Melton (S) – East (part)	27 333	37 355
Total from existing Division of Gorton	57 736	72 002
From existing Division of Lalor SLAs of –		
Melton (S) – East (part)	1 198	1 147
Melton (S) Balance	27 896	31 347
Total from existing Division of Lalor	29 094	32 494
Total for Division of Gorton	86 830	104 496
SLA transferred to Division of Calwell		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	31 054	32 767
Total transferred to Division of Calwell	31 054	32 767
SLA transferred to Division of Gellibrand		
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	12 954	13 202
Total transferred to Division of Gellibrand	12 954	13 202
SLAs transferred to Division of Maribyrnong		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	4 042	4 147
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	4 556	4 633
Total transferred to Division of Maribyrnong	8 598	8 780
Total transferred from existing Division of Gorton	52 606	54 749

Division 18: Higgins

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Higgins SLAs of –		
Boroondara (C) – Camberwell South (part)	15 580	16 397
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	3 977	4 431
Monash (C) – South-West (part)	1 417	1 579
Stonnington (C) – Malvern	31 368	33 487
Stonnington (C) – Prahran	31 243	34 092
Total from existing Division of Higgins	83 585	89 986
From existing Division of Goldstein SLA of –		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	4 785	5 115
Total from existing Division of Goldstein	4 785	5 115
From existing Division of Hotham SLA of –		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	4 150	4 426
Total from existing Division of Hotham	4 150	4 426
From existing Division of Kooyong SLA of –		
Boroondara (C) – Hawthorn (part)	419	466
Total from existing Division of Kooyong	419	466
Total for Division of Higgins	92 939	99 993
SLA transferred to Division of Kooyong		
Boroondara (C) – Camberwell South (part)	5 138	5 298
Total transferred to Division of Kooyong	5 138	5 298
Total transferred from existing Division of Higgins	5 138	5 298

Division 19: Holt

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Holt SLAs of –		
Casey (C) – Berwick (part)	15 972	18 637
Casey (C) – Cranbourne (part)	41 912	50 545
Casey (C) – Hallam	33 181	34 692
Total from existing Division of Holt	91 065	103 874
Total for Division of Holt	91 065	103 874
SLA transferred to Division of La Trobe		
Casey (C) – Berwick (part)	14 253	18 069
Total transferred to Division of La Trobe	14 253	18 069
Total transferred from existing Division of Holt	14 253	18 069

Division 20: Hotham

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Hotham SLAs of –		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	3 842	4 183
Glen Eira (C) – South (part)	18 011	19 124
Greater Dandenong (C) Balance (part)	12 998	13 286
Kingston (C) – North (part)	40 236	41 779
Monash (C) – South-West (part)	9 485	10 458
Total from existing Division of Hotham	84 572	88 830
From existing Division of Isaacs SLAs of –		
Greater Dandenong (C) Balance (part)	7 338	7 589
Kingston (C) – North (part)	3 291	3 426
Total from existing Division of Isaacs	10 629	11 015
Total for Division of Hotham	95 201	99 845
SLA transferred to Division of Higgins		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	4 150	4 426
Total transferred to Division of Higgins	4 150	4 426
Transferred from Division of Hotham	4 150	4 426

Division 21: Indi

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Indi SLAs of –		
Alpine (S) – East	5 537	5 356
Alpine (S) – West	3 390	3 633
Benalla (RC) – Benalla	6 823	6 866
Benalla (RC) Balance	3 459	3 615
East Gippsland (S) Balance (part)	0	0
Falls Creek Alpine Resort	104	104
Indigo (S) – Part A	8 126	8 479
Indigo (S) – Part B	2 787	3 083
Mansfield (S)	5 523	6 046
Moirā (S) – East (part)	844	839
Mount Buller Alpine Resort	64	64
Mount Hotham Alpine Resort	19	19
Mount Stirling Alpine Resort	0	0
Towong (S) – Part A	1 797	1 897
Towong (S) – Part B (part)	2 767	2 794
Wangaratta (RC) – Central	11 809	12 707
Wangaratta (RC) – North	3 746	4 202
Wangaratta (RC) – South	4 382	4 664
Wodonga (RC)	22 659	23 335
Total from existing Division of Indi	83 836	87 703
From existing Division of McEwen SLAs of –		
Lake Mountain Alpine Resort	0	0
Mitchell (S) – South (part)	0	0
Murrindindi (S) – East	4 739	4 853
Murrindindi (S) – West (part)	5 224	5 459
Yarra Ranges (S) – North (part)	0	0
Yarra Ranges (S) – Part B (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of McEwen	9 963	10 312
Total for Division of Indi	93 799	98 015

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
SLA transferred to Division of Murray		
Strathbogie (S)	7 332	7 683
Total transferred to Division of Murray	7 332	7 683
Total transferred from existing Division of Indi	7 332	7 683

Division 22: Isaacs

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Isaacs		
SLAs of –		
Frankston (C) – East (part)	14 088	16 545
Frankston (C) – West (part)	756	904
Greater Dandenong (C) – Dandenong (part)	4 839	5 166
Greater Dandenong (C) Balance (part)	15 989	17 941
Kingston (C) – North (part)	21 037	22 893
Kingston (C) – South	32 800	35 076
Total from existing Division of Isaacs	89 509	98 525
Total for Division of Isaacs	89 509	98 525
SLA transferred to Division of Dunkley		
Frankston (C) – West (part)	35	37
Total transferred to Division of Dunkley	35	37
SLAs transferred to Division of Hotham		
Greater Dandenong (C) Balance (part)	7 338	7 589
Kingston (C) – North (part)	3 291	3 426
Total transferred to Division of Hotham	10 629	11 015
Total transferred from existing Division of Isaacs	10 664	11 052

Division 23: Jagajaga

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Jagajaga SLAs of –		
Banyule (C) – Heidelberg	44 730	46 951
Banyule (C) – North (part)	31 822	32 211
Nillumbik (S) – South (part)	15 638	15 907
Nillumbik (S) – South-West (part)	1 825	1 840
Total from existing Division of Jagajaga	94 015	96 909
From existing Division of McEwen SLAs of –		
Nillumbik (S) – South (part)	4 166	4 365
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	162	176
Total from existing Division of McEwen	4 328	4 541
From existing Division of Scullin SLA of –		
Banyule (C) – North (part)	1 377	1 421
Total from existing Division of Scullin	1 377	1 421
Total for Division of Jagajaga	99 720	102 871

Division 24: Kooyong

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Kooyong SLAs of –		
Boroondara (C) – Camberwell North	30 882	32 288
Boroondara (C) – Camberwell South (part)	14 642	15 395
Boroondara (C) – Hawthorn (part)	21 718	23 134
Boroondara (C) – Kew	20 185	20 804
Total from existing Division of Kooyong	87 427	91 621
From existing Division of Chisholm SLA of –		
Whitehorse (C) – Box Hill (part)	1 404	1 530
Total from existing Division of Chisholm	1 404	1 530
From existing Division of Higgins SLA of –		
Boroondara (C) – Camberwell South (part)	5 138	5 298
Total from existing Division of Higgins	5 138	5 298
From existing Division of Menzies SLA of –		
Manningham (C) – West (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Menzies	0	0
Total for Division of Kooyong	93 969	98 449
SLA transferred to Division of Higgins		
Boroondara (C) – Hawthorn (part)	419	466
Total transferred to Division of Higgins	419	466
Total transferred from existing Division of Kooyong	419	466

Division 25: Lalor

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Lalor SLAs of –		
Hobsons Bay (C) – Altona (part)	2 558	2 554
Wyndham (C) – North (part)	51 431	58 634
Wyndham (C) – South	12 599	17 766
Wyndham (C) – West	14 830	17 899
Total from existing Division of Lalor	81 418	96 853
From existing Division of Gellibrand SLA of –		
Hobsons Bay (C) – Altona (part)	6 480	6 701
Total from existing Division of Gellibrand	6 480	6 701
Total for Division of Lalor	87 898	103 554
SLA transferred to Division of Gellibrand		
Wyndham (C) – North (part)	3	4
Total transferred to Division of Gellibrand	3	4
SLAs transferred to Division of Gorton		
Melton (S) – East (part)	1 198	1 147
Melton (S) Balance	27 896	31 347
Total transferred to Division of Gorton	29 094	32 494
Total transferred from existing Division of Lalor	29 097	32 498

Division 26: La Trobe

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of La Trobe SLAs of –		
Cardinia (S) – North (part)	11 135	11 742
Cardinia (S) – Pakenham (part)	4 752	6 293
Casey (C) – Berwick (part)	26 566	29 833
Casey (S) – South (part)	2 859	4 776
Knox (C) – North-East (part)	12 565	12 943
Yarra Ranges (S) – Dandenongs (part)	17 765	18 345
Yarra Ranges (S) – Lilydale (part)	22	23
Yarra Ranges (S) – Seville (part)	888	901
Total from existing Division of La Trobe	76 552	84 856
From existing Division of Holt SLA of –		
Casey (C) – Berwick (part)	14 253	18 069
Total from existing Division of Holt	14 253	18 069
Total for Division of La Trobe	90 805	102 925
SLA transferred to Division of Aston		
Knox (C) – North-East (part)	15 630	16 297
Total transferred to Division of Aston	15 630	16 297
SLAs transferred to Division of McMillan		
Cardinia (S) – North (part)	23	23
Cardinia (S) – Pakenham (part)	2 756	4 586
Total transferred to Division of McMillan	2 779	4 609
Total transferred from existing Division of La Trobe	18 409	20 906

Division 27: McEwen

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of McEwen SLAs of –		
Macedon Ranges (S) – Romsey (part)	7 941	8 277
Macedon Ranges (S) Balance (part)	7 468	7 753
Mitchell (S) – North (part)	7 121	7 079
Mitchell (S) – South (part)	14 267	16 050
Murrindindi (S) – West (part)	0	0
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	3 770	4 014
Whittlesea (C) – North (part)	16 048	22 083
Yarra Ranges (S) – North (part)	4	4
Total from existing Division of McEwen	56 619	65 260
From existing Division of Bendigo SLA of –		
Macedon Ranges (S) – Kyneton (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Bendigo	0	0
From existing Division of Calwell SLAs of –		
Hume (C) – Craigieburn (part)	10 212	13 992
Hume (C) – Sunbury	23 172	25 149
Total from existing Division of Calwell	33 384	39 141
Total for Division of McEwen	90 003	104 401
SLAs transferred to Division of Bendigo		
Greater Bendigo (C) – Part B (part)	0	0
Macedon Ranges (S) – Romsey (part)	23	22
Macedon Ranges (S) Balance (part)	7 018	7 173
Mitchell (S) – North (part)	119	119
Total transferred to Division of Bendigo	7 160	7 314
SLAs transferred to Division of Casey		
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	7	7
Yarra Ranges (S) – Central	10 213	10 561
Yarra Ranges (S) – North (part)	9 139	9 571
Yarra Ranges (S) – Part B (part)	415	474
Total transferred to Division of Casey	19 774	20 613

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
SLAs transferred to Division of Indi		
Lake Mountain Alpine Resort	0	0
Mitchell (S) – South (part)	0	0
Murrindindi (S) – East	4 739	4 853
Murrindindi (S) – West (part)	5 224	5 459
Yarra Ranges (S) – North (part)	0	0
Yarra Ranges (S) – Part B (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Indi	9 963	10 312
SLAs transferred to Division of Jagajaga		
Nillumbik (S) – South (part)	4 166	4 365
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	162	176
Total transferred to Division of Jagajaga	4 328	4 541
SLAs transferred to Division of Scullin		
Nillumbik (S) – South (part)	248	258
Nillumbik (S) – South-West (part)	8 156	8 790
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	2 775	2 885
Whittlesea (C) – North (part)	1 718	2 856
Total transferred to Division of Scullin	12 897	14 789
Total transferred from existing Division of McEwen	54 122	57 569

Division 28: McMillan

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of McMillan SLAs of –		
Bass Coast (S) Balance (part)	9 470	9 430
Bass Strait Islands	0	0
Baw Baw (S) – Part A	3 337	3 641
Baw Baw (S) – Part B East	2 879	2 994
Baw Baw (S) – Part B West	22 394	24 144
Cardinia (S) – North (part)	5 675	6 087
Cardinia (S) – Pakenham (part)	13 485	16 891
Latrobe (C) – Moe (part)	12 924	13 900
Mount Baw Baw Alpine Resort	3	3
South Gippsland (C) – Central	9 515	9 626
South Gippsland (S) – East	4 297	4 384
South Gippsland (S) – West	5 938	6 213
Total from existing Division of McMillan	89 917	97 313
From existing Division of Gippsland SLA of –		
Latrobe (C) – Moe (part)	2	2
Total from existing Division of Gippsland	2	2
From existing Division of La Trobe SLAs of –		
Cardinia (S) – North (part)	23	23
Cardinia (S) – Pakenham (part)	2 756	4 586
Total from existing Division of La Trobe	2 779	4 609
From existing Division of Flinders SLA of –		
Cardinia (S) – South (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Flinders	0	0
Total for Division of McMillan	92 698	101 924

Division 29: Mallee

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Mallee SLAs of –		
Buloke (S) – North	2 430	2 389
Buloke (S) – South	2 613	2 671
Gannawarra (S)	7 981	7 847
Hindmarsh (S)	4 432	4 360
Horsham (RC) – Central	9 984	10 379
Horsham (RC) Balance (part)	3 643	3 905
Mildura (RC) – Part A	30 807	32 734
Mildura (RC) – Part B	2 546	2 486
Northern Grampians (S) – St Arnaud	2 578	2 522
Northern Grampians (S) – Stawell (part)	1	1
Swan Hill (RC) – Central	6 680	7 051
Swan Hill (RC) – Robinvale	1 975	2 124
Swan Hill (RC) Balance	4 715	4 651
West Wimmera (S) (part)	3 232	3 215
Yarriambiack (S) – North	1 414	1 412
Yarriambiack (S) – South	3 985	3 974
Total from existing Division of Mallee	89 016	91 721
From existing Division of Wannon SLAs of –		
Glenelg (S) – North (part)	0	0
Horsham (RC) – Balance (part)	0	0
Northern Grampians (S) – Stawell (part)	6 232	6 267
Total from existing Division of Wannon	6 232	6 267
Total for Division of Mallee	95 248	97 988
SLAs transferred to Division of Wannon		
Southern Grampians (S) – Wannon (part)	0	0
West Wimmera (S) (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Wannon	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Mallee	0	0

Division 30: Maribyrnong

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Maribyrnong SLAs of –		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	10 153	10 283
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	12 705	12 752
Maribyrnong (C) (part)	1 383	1 516
Moonee Valley (C) – Essendon (part)	25 948	25 319
Moonee Valley (C) – West (part)	27 859	28 690
Total from existing Division of Maribyrnong	78 048	78 560
From existing Division of Gellibrand SLA of –		
Maribyrnong (C) (part)	10 601	13 311
Total from existing Division of Gellibrand	10 601	13 311
From existing Division of Gorton SLAs of –		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	4 042	4 147
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	4 556	4 633
Total from existing Division of Gorton	8 598	8 780
From existing Division of Wills SLA of –		
Moonee Valley (C) – Essendon (part)	3 911	3 748
Total from existing Division of Wills	3 911	3 748
Total for Division of Maribyrnong	101 158	104 399
SLA transferred to Division of Calwell		
Brimbank (C) – Keilor (part)	2 077	2 074
Total transferred to Division of Calwell	2 077	2 074
SLA transferred to Division of Gellibrand		
Brimbank (C) – Sunshine (part)	7 490	7 729
Total transferred to Division of Gellibrand	7 490	7 729
Total transferred from existing Division of Maribyrnong	9 567	9 803

Division 31: Melbourne

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Melbourne SLAs of –		
Melbourne (C) – Inner	3 870	6 410
Melbourne (C) – Remainder (part)	21 634	30 025
Melbourne (C) – Southbank-Docklands (part)	1 465	2 394
Moonee Valley (C) – Essendon (part)	16 727	15 833
Yarra (C) – North (part)	24 189	26 762
Yarra (C) – Richmond	17 661	19 151
Total from existing Division of Melbourne	85 546	100 575
From existing Division of Wills SLA of –		
Moreland (C) – Brunswick (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Wills	0	0
Total for Division of Melbourne	85 546	100 575
SLA transferred to Division of Batman		
Yarra (C) – North (part)	5 706	6 098
Total transferred to Division of Batman	5 706	6 098
SLAs transferred to Division of Wills		
Moreland (C) – Brunswick (part)	3 716	3 956
Yarra (C) – North (part)	1 998	2 065
Total transferred to Division of Wills	5 714	6 021
Total transferred from existing Division of Melbourne	11 420	12 119

Division 32: Melbourne Ports

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Melbourne Ports SLAs of –		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	19 708	21 349
Melbourne (C) – Remainder (part)	3 624	4 257
Melbourne (C) – Southbank-Docklands (part)	4 849	7 622
Port Phillip (C) – St Kilda	32 696	36 126
Port Phillip (C) – West	25 106	28 662
Total from existing Division of Melbourne Ports	85 983	98 016
Total for Division of Melbourne Ports	85 983	98 016
SLA transferred to Division of Goldstein		
Glen Eira (C) – Caulfield (part)	8 542	9 136
Total transferred to Division of Goldstein	8 542	9 136
Total transferred from existing Division of Melbourne Ports	8 542	9 136

Division 33: Menzies

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Menzies SLAs of –		
Manningham (C) – East	11 023	11 500
Manningham (C) – West (part)	71 098	72 916
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	3 127	3 319
Maroondah (C) – Ringwood (part)	2 640	2 802
Total from existing Division of Menzies	87 888	90 537
From existing Division of Casey SLA of –		
Maroondah (C) – Croydon (part)	9 300	9 798
Total from existing Division of Casey	9 300	9 798
Total for Division of Menzies	97 188	100 335
SLAs transferred to Division of Deakin		
Manningham (C) – West (part)	219	220
Maroondah (C) – Ringwood (part)	2 111	2 175
Total transferred to Division of Deakin	2 330	2 395
SLA transferred to Division of Kooyong		
Manningham (C) – West (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Kooyong	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Menzies	2 330	2 395

Division 34: Murray

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Murray SLAs of –		
Campaspe (S) – Echuca	8 744	9 371
Campaspe (S) – Kyabram	8 630	9 007
Campaspe (S) – Rochester	5 827	6 010
Campaspe (S) – South (part)	2 681	2 739
Greater Shepparton (C) – Part A	30 499	31 482
Greater Shepparton (C) – Part B East	2 643	2 674
Greater Shepparton (C) – Part B West	6 026	6 344
Loddon (S) – North	2 308	2 231
Loddon (S) – South (part)	2 727	2 664
Moira (S) – East (part)	5 924	6 222
Moira (S) – West	12 769	13 658
Total from existing Division of Murray	88 778	92 402
From existing Division of Indi SLA of –		
Strathbogie (S)	7 332	7 683
Total from existing Division of Indi	7 332	7 683
Total for Division of Murray	96 110	100 085

Division 35: Scullin

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Scullin SLAs of –		
Banyule (C) – North (part)	7 259	7 576
Nillumbik (S) – South-West (part)	6 985	7 830
Whittlesea (C) – North (part)	4 577	5 825
Whittlesea (C) – South-East (part)	24 510	25 978
Whittlesea (C) – South-West (part)	40 686	41 510
Total from existing Division of Scullin	84 017	88 719
From existing Division of McEwen SLAs of –		
Nillumbik (S) – South (part)	248	258
Nillumbik (S) – South-West (part)	8 156	8 790
Nillumbik (S) Balance (part)	2 775	2 885
Whittlesea (C) – North (part)	1 718	2 856
Total from existing Division of McEwen	12 897	14 789
Total for Division of Scullin	96 914	103 508
SLAs transferred to Division of Batman		
Whittlesea (C) – South-East (part)	4 487	4 438
Whittlesea (C) – South-West (part)	15	20
Total transferred to Division of Batman	4 502	4 458
SLA transferred to Division of Jagajaga		
Banyule (C) – North (part)	1 377	1 421
Total transferred to Division of Jagajaga	1 377	1 421
Total transferred from existing Division of Scullin	5 879	5 879

Division 36: Wannon

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Wannon SLAs of –		
Ararat (RC)	8 032	8 423
Corangamite (S) – North	6 719	6 754
Corangamite (S) – South	5 083	5 204
Glenelg (S) – Heywood	4 461	4 881
Glenelg (S) – North (part)	2 447	2 473
Glenelg (S) – Portland	7 445	7 979
Lady Julia Percy Island	0	0
Moyne (S) – North-East	1 725	1 778
Moyne (S) – North-West	1 936	1 986
Moyne (S) – South	7 685	8 245
Pyrenees (S) – North	2 403	2 441
Pyrenees (S) – South	2 510	2 771
Southern Grampians (S) – Hamilton	6 695	7 070
Southern Grampians (S) – Wannon (part)	1 683	1 692
Southern Grampians (S) Balance	3 913	4 213
Warrnambool (C)	21 993	24 000
Total from existing Division of Wannon	84 730	89 910
From existing Division of Bendigo SLAs of –		
Central Goldfields (S) – Maryborough	5 610	5 674
Central Goldfields (S) Balance (part)	3 855	3 780
Total from existing Division of Bendigo	9 465	9 454
From existing Division of Mallee SLAs of –		
Southern Grampians (S) – Wannon (part)	0	0
West Wimmera (S) (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Mallee	0	0
From existing Division of Corangamite SLA of –		
Colac-Otway (S) – South (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Corangamite	0	0

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Ballarat		
SLA of –		
Ballarat (C) – North (part)	0	0
Total from existing Division of Ballarat	0	0
Total for Division of Wannon	94 195	99 364
SLA transferred to Division of Corangamite		
Colac-Otway (S) – North (part)	145	154
Total transferred to Division of Corangamite	145	154
SLAs transferred to Division of Mallee		
Glenelg (S) – North (part)	0	0
Horsham (RC) Balance (part)	0	0
Northern Grampians (S) – Stawell (part)	6 232	6 267
Total transferred to Division of Mallee	6 232	6 267
Total transferred from existing Division of Wannon	6 377	6 421

Division 37: Wills

How constituted	Actual enrolment 1.02.10	Projected enrolment 17.06.14
From existing Division of Wills SLAs of –		
Moonee Valley (C) – Essendon (part)	57	53
Moonee Valley (C) – West (part)	2 662	2 721
Moreland (C) – Brunswick (part)	24 667	26 658
Moreland (C) – Coburg	33 140	35 838
Moreland (C) – North	31 473	33 319
Total from existing Division of Wills	91 999	98 589
From existing Division of Melbourne SLAs of –		
Moreland (C) – Brunswick (part)	3 716	3 956
Yarra (C) – North (part)	1 998	2 065
Total from existing Division of Melbourne	5 714	6 021
Total for Division of Wills	97 713	104 610
SLA transferred to Division of Maribyrnong		
Moonee Valley (C) – Essendon (part)	3 911	3 748
Total transferred to Division of Maribyrnong	3 911	3 748
SLA transferred to Division of Melbourne		
Moreland (C) – Brunswick (part)	0	0
Total transferred to Division of Melbourne	0	0
Total transferred from existing Division of Wills	3 911	3 748

APPENDIX A: AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION'S PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT ON 21 OCTOBER 2010

Augmented Electoral Commission proposes revised boundaries for Federal Electoral Divisions in Victoria and invites objections.

21 October 2010

After public hearings in Shepparton and Melbourne and the consideration of written objections and comments, the presiding member of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria, the Hon. Peter Heerey QC, today announced the outcome of its deliberations on the boundaries and names of the 37 federal electoral divisions in Victoria.

The key change to the Redistribution Committee's proposal is the reinstatement of the Division of Murray, meaning that the creation of a new Division of Burke would not proceed. A number of changes to the boundaries of other electoral divisions have also been made in response to public objections to the Redistribution Committee's proposal.

Mr Heerey advised that, in the opinion of the augmented Electoral Commission, its revised proposal is 'significantly different' from that of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria, published on 30 July in its report *2010 Proposed Redistribution of Victoria into Electoral Divisions*.

As a result, a further objection period is now open and another public inquiry will be held in accordance with the requirements of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*.

Mr Heerey said that the need to conduct another round of objections and a public inquiry means that the formal determination of the distributed boundaries will be gazetted on 24 December 2010 rather than on 17 December 2010 as originally scheduled.

Further information about the redistribution, including maps illustrating the augmented Electoral Commission's proposal and the Redistribution Committee's report, is available on the Victorian redistribution page on the AEC's website (<http://www.aec.gov.au/Electorates/Redistributions/2010/vic/index.htm>).

Objections about the augmented Electoral Commission's proposal must be lodged in writing with the Redistribution Secretariat for Victoria by 6pm on Monday 1 November 2010. Objections received will be published on the AEC's website on Tuesday 2 November 2010.

A public inquiry into the objections will be held in Melbourne on Monday 8 November 2010. Persons wishing to make an oral submission at the inquiry should contact the Redistribution Secretariat to schedule their attendance.

Contact details for the Redistribution Secretariat are as follows:

Email: vicredistribution@aec.gov.au

Phone: 03 9285 7177

Fax: 03 9285 7169

Australian Electoral Commission

Level 8

Casselden Place

2 Lonsdale Street

Melbourne Victoria 3000

Australian Electoral Commission

GPO Box 9867

Melbourne Victoria 8060

Further information

The presiding member of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria, the Hon. Peter Heerey QC, announced that the Commission had considered the proposal of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria, published on 30 July 2010, in light of the 129 written objections and 40 comments which were lodged, and the submissions made at public hearings held in Shepparton and Melbourne on 14 and 15 October respectively.

The augmented Electoral Commission has proposed, as outlined in this announcement, to reinstate the Division of Murray. In addition, on the basis of submissions made, the augmented Electoral Commission has been able to identify solutions to a substantial number of other objections to the boundaries proposed by the Redistribution Committee. In achieving these outcomes, the augmented Electoral Commission has concluded, in accordance with section 72(12) of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act) that its proposal is 'significantly different' from that of the Redistribution Committee.

The substance of the findings or conclusions of the augmented Electoral Commission concerning the objections and the Redistribution Committee's proposal are as follows.

A central consideration for the Redistribution Committee was the fact that only nine of the 37 federal electoral divisions in Victoria fell within the acceptable projected numerical range set by the Electoral Act, i.e.: a maximum of 104 764 and a minimum of 97 680 electors in each proposed division. The Redistribution Committee had noted that several divisions surrounding the Melbourne metropolitan fringe contained well over the number of permissible electors, while a number of rural divisions and divisions in the east of Melbourne contained insufficient numbers of electors.

The Redistribution Committee had approached the redistribution by first focusing on the metropolitan fringe divisions which needed to lose electors. McEwen, a division with too many electors and bridging the low enrolment growth metropolitan divisions to the south and rural divisions to the north, was selected as the Committee's starting point. The Committee proposed that parts of McEwen and adjoining divisions be combined to form a new division named Burke. This led to a series of consequential transfers throughout regional Victoria, where supplementation was needed. As a consequence, the Division of Murray was proposed for abolition.

The majority of objections and comments were submitted in opposition to the proposal to abolish the Division of Murray. At the public inquiry in Shepparton, the augmented Electoral Commission heard a number of convincing arguments to maintain a cohesive 'irrigated food bowl' community with strong economic, transport and social links. The augmented Electoral Commission gave careful consideration to the objections put forward. While acknowledging that the logic underpinning the Redistribution Committee's approach to the redistribution was sound, the augmented Electoral Commission concluded that the case for adopting an alternative approach, in which Murray was retained albeit with some changes to ensure that the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act were satisfied, was compelling.

As a consequence, a series of changes were then necessary in a number of other electoral divisions to meet the statutory criterion relating to the permissible number of projected enrolments in each division. This particularly affected the north-west metropolitan region where the Redistribution Committee had proposed to situate a new Division of Burke, and divisions west of Melbourne such as Maribyrnong, Gellibrand, Lalor and Corio. The divisions of Indi, McEwen, Mallee and Wannon are also impacted by the reinstatement of the Division of Murray.

The augmented Electoral Commission, after consideration of all matters brought before it, further acceded to a number of objections to better reflect community of interest and travel and communication issues. These include:

- Returning the localities of Caulfield, Caulfield North and Caulfield East to the Division of Melbourne Ports.
- Returning the locality of Docklands north of the Yarra River to the Division of Melbourne.
- Returning all of Stonnington Local Government Area (LGA) to the Division of Higgins.
- Returning all of Darebin LGA to the Division of Batman.
- Returning Heidelberg West, Bellfield and Ivanhoe to the Division of Jagajaga.
- Uniting the locality of Blackburn in the Division of Deakin.
- Uniting the localities of Hurstbridge, Wattle Glen and Diamond Creek in the Division of Scullin.
- Returning the LGAs of Horsham and West Wimmera to the Division of Mallee.
- Returning areas of the Greater Geelong LGA south of the Bellarine Highway and the Queenscliffe Borough to the Division of Corangamite.
- Returning the locality of Endeavour Hills to the Division of Holt.
- Uniting the locality of Pakenham in the Division of McMillan.

The augmented Electoral Commission concluded that a number of other objections failed to meet the criterion relating to projected enrolment numbers. In cases where compensatory adjustments to the enrolment numbers could have been made elsewhere, the augmented Electoral Commission concluded that other criteria in s.66 of the Electoral Act were more appropriately met by the Redistribution Committee's proposal.

Maps which illustrate the proposal made by the augmented Electoral Commission are available for perusal on the AEC website or can be obtained from the Redistribution Secretariat.

As will be stated as part of the reasons for its determination, in the opinion of the augmented Electoral Commission, its proposal is significantly different from the Redistribution Committee proposal within the meaning of s.72(12)(c) of the Electoral Act.

Therefore, as required by s.72(13) of the Electoral Act, any person or organisation may, forthwith, lodge a written further objection to the augmented Electoral Commission's proposal. Objections must be lodged with the Redistribution Secretariat (contact details above) by 6pm on Monday 1 November 2010. The augmented Electoral Commission will hold an inquiry into the further objections in Melbourne on Monday 8 November 2010.

The augmented Electoral Commission will then complete its considerations regarding the further objections, and issue a statement outlining its final decision.

The final divisional boundaries will come into effect at the next federal election following the 24 December 2010 determination date, and any by-election that may occur before the next federal election would be held on the boundaries as determined at the previous (2003) redistribution.

APPENDIX B: COMPOSITION OF THE AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION AND THE REDISTRIBUTION COMMITTEE FOR VICTORIA

Members of the augmented Electoral Commission for Victoria

Hon. Peter Heerey, QC	Chairperson of the Australian Electoral Commission
Mr Ed Killesteyn	Electoral Commissioner
Mr Brian Pink	Australian Statistician
Mrs Jenni McMullan	Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria
Mr John E Tulloch	Surveyor-General of Victoria
Mr Des Pearson	Auditor-General of Victoria

Members of the Redistribution Committee for Victoria

Mr Ed Killesteyn	Electoral Commissioner
Mrs Jenni McMullan	Australian Electoral Officer for Victoria
Mr John E Tulloch	Surveyor-General of Victoria
Mr Des Pearson	Auditor-General of Victoria

**APPENDIX C: LIST OF INITIAL OBJECTIONS LODGED PURSUANT
TO SECTION 69(1) OF THE COMMONWEALTH
ELECTORAL ACT 1918**

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Mandy Mullens, Secretary,
Boorhaman Branch,
Country Women’s Association | 24. Cr Jan Farrell, Councillor
for Beangalla Ward,
City of Greater Geelong |
| 2. Patrick Kelly | 25. Linda and James A Neilson |
| 3. Erik S Dober | 26. Faye and Col Berryman |
| 4. Dean Rudeforth | 27. Mr Paul Battista |
| 5. Frank Peiffer | 28. Cr Dr Srechko Kontelj OAM,
Councillor for Kildare Ward,
City of Greater Geelong |
| 6. Dr Mark Mulcair | 29. Harry M Carr |
| 7. Arthur and Beryl Gregson | 30. Justin Lamond |
| 8. Carolyn Eade | 31. Gail Robertson |
| 9. Dennis Cartledge | 32. Raymond Richard James Larcombe
and Gladys Annie Larcombe |
| 10. Keith Baillie, Chief Executive Officer,
Shire Of Campaspe | 33. John M Stiff and D J Stiff |
| 11. Ruth Turpin | 34. Leigh and Lynne Johnston |
| 12. Philip Kennedy | 35. Peter Johnston, Chief Executive
Officer, Macedon Ranges Shire
Council |
| 13. Sean Isbester | 36. Susanne Bennett and Annabel
Harwood |
| 14. Karen Clavin | 37. Keppel and Helen Turnour |
| 15. Phil Pearce, Chief Executive Officer,
Greater Shepparton City Council | 38. Peter Williams |
| 16. John Forrest MP, Federal Member
for Mallee | 39. Blair Trewin, Secretary – ALP
Ivanhoe Branch |
| 17. Miss Lorna E Hoffmann | 40. Frank Oliver, Rochester Ward
Councillor, Shire of Campaspe |
| 18. William S and Jean M Osborne | 41. Michael Ritchie |
| 19. Simon Hawkins | 42. Nina Slade |
| 20. John Burrell | |
| 21. Dorothy Boyle and Alan Boyle | |
| 22. Leo and Catherine O’Connor | |
| 23. Jennifer Margaret Briody and
Michael Joseph Briody | |

43. Sandra Gatehouse, Secretary – Barwon Heads Association
44. Neil Repacholi
45. Sr Sally Bradley, Exodus Community and Sisters of Mercy
46. Bill King
47. Shirley Dunstall
48. Bahati Watuta
49. C D Woodley
50. Craig Bartlett
51. Councillor Barbara Abley AM, DSJ, FRCNA, Brownbill Ward Councillor, City of Greater Geelong
52. Colin Mibus, Acting CEO, West Wimmera Shire Council
53. Alister Barton
54. Louise H
55. Tony Nutt, State Director, Liberal Party Victoria
56. William Sali
57. Leanne Chandler
58. Michelle Cleary
59. Adolphine Mupenda
60. Jean Marie Mupenda
61. Geoff Gerrish and Sue Gerrish
62. Stephen Cooper
63. Ian C Bailey
64. N Barrett
65. Chris O'Brien
66. Steven Trevaskis
67. N Sali
68. Diana A Shaholli
69. David Sali
70. Brian Sali
71. Abidin Shaholli
72. Beverley Sali
73. Alma Dajko
74. Ian H Price, President – Numurkah Senior Citizens Club Inc.
75. Adrian Falsetta
76. Petition signed by 14 people
77. Ron and Ronda Crossman
78. Peter L Twomey
79. Greg Toll
80. Harold Chalmers
81. Brett and Nicole Christie
82. Michele and Chris Minchin
83. Anita Bhatti
84. James Maxwell Price and Melva Christine Price
85. Joanne Shannon
86. Nicholas Reece, Victorian ALP State Secretary
87. Bernadette Burchell, Chief Executive Officer, Children's Protection Society
88. Bob Holschier, Immediate Past President, Stanhope and District Development Committee
89. Graham Gofton
90. Rod Hagen
91. Jim Pasinis, Chief Executive Officer, Banyule Community Health
92. John Bacon
93. Luke O'Sullivan, State Director, The Nationals for Regional Victoria

- | | |
|--|----------------------------|
| 94. Danielle Green MP,
State Member for Yan Yean,
Parliamentary Secretary for
Police and Emergency Services | 108. Maureen Turnbull |
| 95. Daniel Zmood | 109. Ian Gibb |
| 96. Abdalla Ahmed, President Australian
– Somali Society Inc | 110. Barry Hobs |
| 97. Richard Morrow | 111. Stan Zurcas |
| 98. Louis Davis | 112. Darshan Singh Manget |
| 99. Michael Danby MP, Federal Member
for Melbourne Ports | 113. Surjit Kaur Manget |
| 100. Prue Beltz | 114. Harbhajan Bhatti |
| 101. The Hon. Sharman Stone MP,
Federal Member for Murray,
Adrian Falsetta, Shepparton
Liberal Party Branch President,
William Parsons, Chairman Murray
Federal Electorate Conference | 115. Sumandeep K Sangha |
| 102. Tim Colebatch | 116. R S Bhatti |
| 103. Greer and Kevin Dellar | 117. Ruth Barnet |
| 104. Andrew Abelesz | 118. Peter Barnet |
| 105. Tony, Vicki and Fraser Neele and
Scott and Georgia Johnstone | 119. Lance C H Ward |
| 106. Dr Liz Curran, Director – West
Heidelberg Community Legal
Service Inc. | 120. Devinder Singh |
| 107. Colin McLaren | 121. Rajinder Kaur |
| | 122. G. Singh |
| | 123. Wendy J Ward |
| | 124. Chain Sangha |
| | 125. Name illegible 1 |
| | 126. Aydin Sali |
| | 127. J Cartwright |
| | 128. C Cartwright |
| | 129. Jenni Newton-Farrelly |

A copy of the initial objections is included on the DVD enclosed with this Report.

**APPENDIX D: LIST OF COMMENTS ON OBJECTIONS LODGED
PURSUANT TO SECTION 69(3) OF THE
COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL ACT 1918**

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. John P C Gray | 24. Laurie Wood |
| 2. Peter Moore | 25. Dr Chris Barry |
| 3. Adrian Jackson | 26. Julie Elliott |
| 4. M R Innes | 27. Noel, Nola, Ian, Karen and Robyn Cocking |
| 5. James E Woodley | 28. Rohan Cresp |
| 6. Anne Woodley | 29. Betty Dodd President – Olympic Village Combined Pensioners Association and Members |
| 7. Martin Gordon | 30. The Hon. Jenny Macklin MP, Federal Member for Jagajaga |
| 8. Gary Arnold – Chief Executive Officer – Moira Shire Council | 31. William D King and Lynda King |
| 9. Tristan McLeay | 32. Meni Christofakis on behalf of Port Phillip Greens |
| 10. John McLinden – Chief Executive Officer – Loddon Shire Council | 33. Nicholas Reece, State Secretary Victorian ALP |
| 11. Sue Zimmerman | 34. John Francis, Acting Chief Executive Officer – City of Whittlesea |
| 12. Dr Tim Gilley JP | 35. Betty Tydeman Deputy Chair – Heidelberg West Neighbourhood Renewal Steering Committee |
| 13. Norman Deards | 36. Tony Nutt, State Director, Liberal Party Victoria |
| 14. Isabelle Higgins | 37. Ian and Anne Mackay |
| 15. Fay Kingsley | 38. Sandra Genovesi |
| 16. Amy Stebbing | 39. Karin Geradts, Greens Candidate for Yan Yean |
| 17. Fiona R | 40. Roger Byrne |
| 18. Dr John B Myers | |
| 19. Liz Pain | |
| 20. John Lewis | |
| 21. Dr Mark Mulcair | |
| 22. William Lord | |
| 23. Stuart Burdack – Chief Executive Officer – Nillumbik Shire Council | |

A copy of the comments on objections is included on the DVD enclosed with this Report.

**APPENDIX E: LIST OF PERSONS WHO APPEARED AT THE PUBLIC
INQUIRY INTO OBJECTIONS HELD BY THE
AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION FOR
VICTORIA. SHEPPARTON – 14 OCTOBER 2010**

1. Danielle Green MP, State Member for Yan Yean,
Parliamentary Secretary for Police and Emergency Services
2. Ken King
3. Steven Graham
4. Keppel Turnour
5. Anne Howard
6. Bill Baxter
7. The Hon. Sharman Stone MP, Federal Member for Murray
8. Peter Twomey
9. Ian Price

A copy of the transcript of the inquiry is included on the DVD enclosed with this Report.

**APPENDIX F: LIST OF PERSONS WHO APPEARED AT THE PUBLIC
INQUIRY INTO OBJECTIONS HELD BY THE
AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION FOR
VICTORIA. MELBOURNE – 15 OCTOBER 2010**

1. Neil Pharaoh
2. Phillip Walker
3. Michael Danby MP, Federal Member for Melbourne Ports
4. The Hon. Jenny Macklin MP, Federal Member for Jagajaga
5. Abdalla Ahmed
6. Shane Easson
7. Cr Anthony Carbins
8. Harry Prout

A copy of the transcript of the inquiry is included on the DVD enclosed with this Report.

**APPENDIX G: LIST OF FURTHER OBJECTIONS LODGED
PURSUANT TO SECTION 72(13) OF THE
COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL ACT 1918**

- | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Dean Rudeforth | 28. Marianela Lobos |
| 2. Don Gibson | 29. Debbie Munoz |
| 3. Paul Laruso | 30. John Moore |
| 4. Adrian Jackson | 31. Shaun Pyle |
| 5. Julie Elliott | 32. Greg Matthews |
| 6. Helen Emmanuel-Pras | 33. Haylee Warren |
| 7. Patsi Rowe | 34. Ivanka Prasad |
| 8. Casey Nunn | 35. Luis Munoz |
| 9. John Makaronis | 36. Ashleigh Grey |
| 10. Harry Makaronis | 37. Brayden Gant |
| 11. Joshua Bull | 38. K K Prasad |
| 12. Remo Ascenzo | 39. Craig Gant |
| 13. Emily Ratopoulos | 40. Nicole Kerr |
| 14. Alma Gillon | 41. Abdul Z Hakim |
| 15. Donatella Giuliano | 42. Kaitlyn Patterson |
| 16. Cynthia Naidu | 43. Chris Crooks |
| 17. S John Brizzi | 44. Corrine McPhee |
| 18. Dale Graham | 45. Kokila Devi |
| 19. P Brizzi | 46. Nicole Foenander |
| 20. Mario Petrolo | 47. Nathan Foggie |
| 21. Angela Janssen | 48. Zackary Bell |
| 22. Natalie Munoz | 49. Daniel Turnor |
| 23. Chris Beattie | 50. Tiziana Giuliano |
| 24. Linda Bonavia | 51. Linda Gant |
| 25. Michael Gillon | 52. Antonietta Giuliano |
| 26. Ashley Lombardozi | 53. Domenic Mercuri |
| 27. J Oddo | 54. M M Prasad |

55. Stephenie Warren
56. P Maniam
57. Thomas Rolls
58. Kamini Pillay
59. Rosalie Davies
60. Kristy Tau
61. Nick Gourley
62. Natalie Huggett
63. John Zuccarelli
64. Pana Trajkovski
65. Kristen Andreula
66. James Yates
67. Zoran Trajkovski
68. Caroline Trajkovski
69. Ravi Ritesh
70. Name illegible
71. Shri Ram
72. Darren Ashby
73. Michelle Rad
74. Jitendre Pratap
75. Ian McPhee
76. Amanda Davies
77. Name illegible
78. Josie Petrolo
79. Daniel English
80. Rakesh Maniam
81. Name illegible
82. Rachael Gillon
83. Chandrika Prasad
84. Simal Krishna
85. Chris Raptopoulos
86. Muni Prasad
87. Vinnie Michielin
88. Deb Naidu
89. Raj
90. Danae Jayne
91. Teng Yang
92. Domenica Bulled
93. Jarryd Byng
94. Bianca Dowd
95. Kushma Pratap
96. Shweta Shivani
97. Sailesh Chandra
98. Doreen Englehart
99. A Mudaliar
100. David Mudaliar
101. Denise Gant
102. Norelle Pyle
103. Michael Condron
104. Jade Debono
105. Robyn Jones
106. Name illegible
107. Name illegible
108. Name illegible
109. Name illegible
110. Name illegible
111. Name illegible
112. Name illegible
113. Name illegible
114. Name illegible
115. Name illegible
116. Name illegible

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 117. Name illegible | 148. Drago Ribaric |
| 118. Name illegible | 149. Sebastiana Giuliano |
| 119. Greater Geelong City Council | 150. Andrew Mooney |
| 120. Vanaja Karagiannidis | 151. Sandeep Kaur Takhar |
| 121. Laleena Ram | 152. Sudhir Bansal |
| 122. Sapna Bansal | 153. Neil Thomason |
| 123. Felix Brincat | 154. Chandra Bamunusinghe |
| 124. Valerie Brincat | 155. Mohinder Kaur |
| 125. Helen Locke | 156. Kashmir Kaur Bains |
| 126. Sandra C Brown | 157. Ray Bracewell |
| 127. Hasitha Ariyaratne | 158. Rajinder Singh |
| 128. Graeme Locke | 159. Anujot Kaur |
| 129. Liz Munro | 160. Shontia |
| 130. Colin G Brown | 161. Amanpreet Singh Saluja |
| 131. Rahul Sharma | 162. Terry Martin |
| 132. Veronica Murphy | 163. Annu Joshi |
| 133. Judith Austin | 164. Manjit Singh Bains |
| 134. Max Johnson | 165. Harinderjit Singh Takhar |
| 135. John Arrowsmith | 166. Abd Kilic |
| 136. Ken Hodson | 167. Carmen Page |
| 137. Victor Lomiglio | 168. Kanwaljit Kaur |
| 138. Susan Spiteri | 169. Daniel Foley |
| 139. Kerri Etherton | 170. Carly Moore |
| 140. Peter Etherton | 171. Sharmila Devi |
| 141. Baldev Kaur Takhar | 172. Diane Gillon |
| 142. Beverley Bailey | 173. Melissa Gillon |
| 143. A Bailey | 174. Simon Murray |
| 144. Ron Wilson | 175. Name illegible |
| 145. Kevin Hayes | 176. Steven Andreula |
| 146. Michelle Brincat | 177. Darren Byng |
| 147. Ross Finlayson | 178. Amanda Morris |

179. Darren Paul
180. Jagwant Ugrasen
181. Joyce Prasad
182. Samantha Debono
183. June R Murray
184. Kuljit Singh Saluja
185. Kim Hicks
186. Maria Montalvao
187. Philip Nicholson
188. John McLinden, CEO, Loddon Shire
189. Gary Arnold, CEO, Moira Shire
190. Casey Nunn, Team Leader, Craigieburn and District First Response Team
191. Josh Bull, Craigieburn Branch Secretary, Craigieburn ALP Branch
192. Allison Watt, Manager Governance and Communications, Nillumbik Shire Council
193. Cris Ruhr
194. Graeme Blakey
195. Lynn Dean
196. Cheryl and Alan Phillips
197. Margaret and Wayne English
198. Executive Committee of the Gurddwara Sri Guru Singh Saba and 12 Individual Objections
199. Margaret Abbey, Chief Executive Officer, Murrindindi Shire Council
200. Craig Cochrane
201. Dimitra Likotraftis
202. Annette Mcdonald
203. Deborah Clow
204. Cathy Condidorio
205. Tina Costa
206. Maree Feehan-Bell
207. Nicholas Caruso
208. Melinda White
209. Dr Mark Mulcair
210. Nicholas Reece, Victorian ALP State Secretary
211. Mrs Jill Smith
212. The Hon. Sharman Stone MP, Federal Member for Murray, and Adrian Falsetta, Shepparton Liberal Party Branch President, and William Parsons, Chairman Murray Federal Electorate Conference
213. Margaret Rae
214. Liz Beattie MLA, State Member for Yuroke, Parliamentary Secretary assisting The Premier on Multicultural and Veterans Affairs
215. Michael and Jan Nunn
216. Val Price
217. Pam Caruso
218. Tony Paladino
219. Chantal Ranno
220. Peter Paladino
221. Nick Rischitelli
222. Tony Nutt, State Director, The Liberal Party of Australia, Victorian Division
223. Charles Richardson
224. Michael Hammond
225. Vera and Peter Gill
226. Doug Castles
227. Fay Castles
228. Beryl Larrad

- | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 229. Nadine Earp | 254. Giovanni Portaro |
| 230. Alex Zammit | 255. Kaitlyn Lowe |
| 231. Tina Paladino | 256. Kristie Hollow |
| 232. Caterina Costa | 257. Avinash Sharma |
| 233. Ruciano Ivancic | 258. Kylie Barbera |
| 234. Brian Simpson | 259. Anthony Spiteri |
| 235. Cheryl Rowstran | 260. S Caruso |
| 236. Jeanette O'Neill | 261. Anna Colosimo |
| 237. Alana Bell | 262. Rebecca Pettitt |
| 238. Lisa Milich | 263. Amar Sharma |
| 239. Sam Consiglio | 264. Sumeet Punia |
| 240. Dale Milich | 265. Marjory Yelverton |
| 241. Kerry Greer | 266. Kay Lallo |
| 242. Simmon Sleiman | 267. Kimberley Ames |
| 243. Dianne Davis | 268. Tina Ganzevoor |
| 244. Julie Edwards | 269. Graeme Wilson |
| 245. Trish Lopez | 270. Cheryl Cochrane |
| 246. Sue Cochrane | 271. Mark Earp |
| 247. Tania Bonavia | 272. Tim English |
| 248. Evan Jones | 273. Brendon Kendall |
| 249. Rashed Sleiman | 274. Angela Davies |
| 250. Angie McIntosh | 275. Bilal Sleiman |
| 251. Jacklynne Majerczak | 276. Judy Berry |
| 252. Craigieburn Seafoods | 277. Catherine Davies |
| 253. Doreen Griffin | 278. Jeanette Davey |

A copy of the further objections is included on the DVD enclosed with this Report.

**APPENDIX H: LIST OF PERSONS WHO APPEARED AT THE
PUBLIC INQUIRY INTO FURTHER OBJECTIONS
HELD BY THE AUGMENTED ELECTORAL
COMMISSION FOR VICTORIA. MELBOURNE –
8 NOVEMBER 2010**

1. Adrian Jackson
2. Shane Easson
3. Michael Symon MP, Federal Member for Deakin
4. Charles Richardson
5. Sophie Mirrabella MP, Federal Member for Indi

A copy of the transcript of the inquiry is included on the DVD enclosed with this Report.

APPENDIX I: REDISTRIBUTION TIMETABLE

1 February 2010	Direction for Victoria to be redistributed
2 February 2010	Quota of electors determined
10 March 2010	Public suggestions and comments on public suggestions invited
9 April 2010	Public suggestions closed
23 April 2010	Public comments on suggestions closed
3 May 2010	Redistribution Committee appointed
30 July 2010	Redistribution Committee for Victoria gave notice in the Gazette of its proposal
27 August 2010	Objections closed
10 September 2010	Comments on objections closed
14 October 2010	Inquiry into objections to the proposed redistribution (Shepparton)
15 October 2010	Inquiry into objections to the proposed redistribution (Melbourne)
21 October 2010	Public announcement of the augmented Electoral Commission's proposal, which is deemed 'significantly different' to the Redistribution Committee's proposal
1 November 2010	Further objection period closed
8 November 2010	Inquiry into the further objections to the proposed redistribution (Melbourne)
9 November 2010	Augmented Electoral Commission announced the redistribution of Victoria
24 December 2010	Determination of boundaries and names